

# On semi-hyperbolicity

Jacek Tabor  
*Jagiellonian University*

September 7, 2007

# Motivation

$M$  a  $C^1$ -manifold,  $f : M \rightarrow M$  a diffeomorphism. We are given an invariant subset  $S$  of  $M$  [that is  $f(S) = S$ ].

**Interested in:** the behaviour of  $f$  in (the neighbourhood) of  $S$ .

A lot of information comes from the fact that  $f$  is **hyperbolic** on  $S$ .

## Hyperbolicity:

- important: guarantees stability, shadowing, etc.;
- hard to verify;

# Motivation

$M$  a  $C^1$ -manifold,  $f : M \rightarrow M$  a diffeomorphism. We are given an invariant subset  $S$  of  $M$  [that is  $f(S) = S$ ].

**Interested in:** the behaviour of  $f$  in (the neighbourhood) of  $S$ .

A lot of information comes from the fact that  $f$  is **hyperbolic** on  $S$ .

## Hyperbolicity:

- important: guarantees stability, shadowing, etc.;
- hard to verify;

# Definition of hyperbolicity

By  $\mathcal{T}_x M$  we denote the tangent space to  $x$  at the point  $M$ .

$$\mathcal{T}M = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathcal{T}_x M, \quad \mathcal{T}_S M = \bigcup_{x \in S} \mathcal{T}_x M.$$

We consider the linear mapping

$$Df : \mathcal{T}M \rightarrow \mathcal{T}M$$

restricted to  $\mathcal{T}_S M$ . Roughly speaking, we say that  $f$  is **hyperbolic** on an invariant set  $S$  if the linearization  $Df$  is hyperbolic on  $\mathcal{T}_S M$ .

# Definition of hyperbolicity

By  $\mathcal{T}_x M$  we denote the tangent space to  $x$  at the point  $M$ .

$$\mathcal{T}M = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathcal{T}_x M, \quad \mathcal{T}_S M = \bigcup_{x \in S} \mathcal{T}_x M.$$

We consider the linear mapping

$$Df : \mathcal{T}M \rightarrow \mathcal{T}M$$

restricted to  $\mathcal{T}_S M$ . Roughly speaking, we say that  $f$  is **hyperbolic** on an invariant set  $S$  if the linearization  $Df$  is hyperbolic on  $\mathcal{T}_S M$ .

# Definition of hyperbolic operator

We therefore go to the linear case.  $X$  is a Banach space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  is a linear operator.

## Definition

We say that  $A$  is **hyperbolic** if there exists a splitting  $X = X_s \oplus X_u$  and an equivalent norm on  $X$  such that

- $X_s, X_u$  are  $A$ -invariant;
- there exist  $\lambda_s < 1$ ,  $\lambda_u > 1$  such that

$$\|Ax_s\| \leq \lambda_s \|x_s\|, \|Ax_u\| \geq \lambda_u \|x_u\| \quad \text{for } x_s \in X_s, x_u \in X_u.$$

One can easily see that if  $A$  is hyperbolic then  $A$  has no nontrivial bounded orbit. Small modification of a hyperbolic operator is still a hyperbolic one, but the invariant subspaces change.

# Definition of hyperbolic operator

We therefore go to the linear case.  $X$  is a Banach space and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  is a linear operator.

## Definition

We say that  $A$  is **hyperbolic** if there exists a splitting  $X = X_s \oplus X_u$  and an equivalent norm on  $X$  such that

- $X_s, X_u$  are  $A$ -invariant;
- there exist  $\lambda_s < 1, \lambda_u > 1$  such that

$$\|Ax_s\| \leq \lambda_s \|x_s\|, \|Ax_u\| \geq \lambda_u \|x_u\| \quad \text{for } x_s \in X_s, x_u \in X_u.$$

One can easily see that if  $A$  is hyperbolic then  $A$  has no nontrivial bounded orbit. Small modification of a hyperbolic operator is still a hyperbolic one, but the invariant subspaces change.

Reformulation:

### Definition

We say that  $A$  is **hyperbolic** if there exists a splitting  $X = X_s \oplus X_u$  and an equivalent norm on  $X$  such that

- $A$  has the matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_s & 0 \\ 0 & A_u \end{bmatrix};$$

- there exist  $\lambda_s < 1$ ,  $\lambda_u > 1$  such that

$$\|A_s\| \leq \lambda_s, \|A_u^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_u};$$



# Henon

## Open problem:

hyperbolicity of the Henon attractor for the classical parameter values.

**Partial Answer:** Z. Arai, *On Hyperbolic Plateaus of the Hénon Maps* [to appear in *Experimental Mathematics*].

Henon attractor is hyperbolic on the chain recurrent set for the large set of parameter values.

The use of the quasi-hyperbolicity.

# Henon

## Open problem:

hyperbolicity of the Henon attractor for the classical parameter values.

**Partial Answer:** Z. Arai, *On Hyperbolic Plateaus of the Hénon Maps* [to appear in Experimental Mathematics].

Henon attractor is hyperbolic on the chain recurrent set for the large set of parameter values.

The use of the **quasi-hyperbolicity**.

# Quasi-hyperbolicity

## Definition

A linear mapping  $A$  is called **quasi-hyperbolic** if it does not have a nontrivial bounded orbit.

Zin Arai proved that the Henon attractor is quasi-hyperbolic for the large set of parameter values.

Theorem (Churchill et al. 77, Sacker and Sell 74)

*Assume that  $f|_{\Lambda}$  is chain recurrent. Then  $f$  is hyperbolic on  $\Lambda$  if and only if  $f$  is quasi-hyperbolic on it.*

Consequently, by the above theorem Z. Arai obtained that the Henon attractor is hyperbolic on its chain recurrent subset. In particular that every periodic orbit is hyperbolic.

# Quasi-hyperbolicity

## Definition

A linear mapping  $A$  is called **quasi-hyperbolic** if it does not have a nontrivial bounded orbit.

Zin Arai proved that the Henon attractor is quasi-hyperbolic for the large set of parameter values.

## Theorem (Churchill et al. 77, Sacker and Sell 74)

*Assume that  $f|_{\Lambda}$  is chain recurrent. Then  $f$  is hyperbolic on  $\Lambda$  if and only if  $f$  is quasi-hyperbolic on it.*

Consequently, by the above theorem Z. Arai obtained that the Henon attractor is hyperbolic on its chain recurrent subset. In particular that every periodic orbit is hyperbolic.

# How to deal with hyperbolicity?

One of possible solutions is **semi-hyperbolicity** [introduced by P. Diamond, P. E. Kloeden, V. S. Kozyakin, A. V. Pokrovskii]:

- easier to check;
- allows lipschitzian perturbations;

A.A. Al-Nayef, P.E. Kloeden, A.V. Pokrovskii, *Semi-hyperbolic mappings, condensing operators, and neutral delay equations*, J. Differential Equations **137** (1997), 320–339.

P. Diamond, P.E. Kloeden, V. S. Kozyakin, A.V. Pokrovskii, Semi-hyperbolic mappings, in preparation.

Situation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_s & \\ & \lambda_u \end{bmatrix}$$

# How to deal with hyperbolicity?

One of possible solutions is **semi-hyperbolicity** [introduced by P. Diamond, P. E. Kloeden, V. S. Kozyakin, A. V. Pokrovskii]:

- easier to check;
- allows lipschitzian perturbations;

A.A. Al-Nayef, P.E. Kloeden, A.V. Pokrovskii, *Semi-hyperbolic mappings, condensing operators, and neutral delay equations*, J. Differential Equations **137** (1997), 320–339.

P. Diamond, P.E. Kloeden, V. S. Kozyakin, A.V. Pokrovskii, Semi-hyperbolic mappings, in preparation.

Situation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_s & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_u \end{bmatrix}$$

# How to deal with hyperbolicity?

One of possible solutions is **semi-hyperbolicity** [introduced by P. Diamond, P. E. Kloeden, V. S. Kozyakin, A. V. Pokrovskii]:

- easier to check;
- allows lipschitzian perturbations;

A.A. Al-Nayef, P.E. Kloeden, A.V. Pokrovskii, *Semi-hyperbolic mappings, condensing operators, and neutral delay equations*, J. Differential Equations **137** (1997), 320–339.

P. Diamond, P.E. Kloeden, V. S. Kozyakin, A.V. Pokrovskii, *Semi-hyperbolic mappings*, in preparation.

Situation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_s & ? \\ ? & \lambda_u \end{bmatrix}$$

# How to deal with hyperbolicity?

One of possible solutions is **semi-hyperbolicity** [introduced by P. Diamond, P. E. Kloeden, V. S. Kozyakin, A. V. Pokrovskii]:

- easier to check;
- allows lipschitzian perturbations;

A.A. Al-Nayef, P.E. Kloeden, A.V. Pokrovskii, *Semi-hyperbolic mappings, condensing operators, and neutral delay equations*, J. Differential Equations **137** (1997), 320–339.

P. Diamond, P.E. Kloeden, V. S. Kozyakin, A.V. Pokrovskii, Semi-hyperbolic mappings, in preparation.

Situation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_s & \mu_s \\ \mu_u & \lambda_u \end{bmatrix}$$



# How to deal with hyperbolicity?

One of possible solutions is **semi-hyperbolicity** [introduced by P. Diamond, P. E. Kloeden, V. S. Kozyakin, A. V. Pokrovskii]:

- easier to check;
- allows lipschitzian perturbations;

A.A. Al-Nayef, P.E. Kloeden, A.V. Pokrovskii, *Semi-hyperbolic mappings, condensing operators, and neutral delay equations*, J. Differential Equations **137** (1997), 320–339.

P. Diamond, P.E. Kloeden, V. S. Kozyakin, A.V. Pokrovskii, *Semi-hyperbolic mappings*, in preparation.

Situation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_s & \mu_s \\ \mu_u & \lambda_u \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_s < 1 < \lambda_u \text{ and } (1 - \lambda_s)(\lambda_u - 1) > \mu_s \mu_u$$

# Definition of hyperbolicity

$X$  a Banach space,  $X = X_s \oplus X_u$ .  $A : X \rightarrow X$  a linear operator, and assume that  $X_s$  and  $X_u$  are  $A$ -invariant. Then in the matrix form we have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_s & 0 \\ 0 & A_u \end{bmatrix}$$

## Definition

We say that a linear operator  $A$  is **hyperbolic** if

- $\lambda_s < 1 < \lambda_u$ ;
- $\|A_s\| \leq \lambda_s$ ,  $\|A_u^{-1}\| \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_u}$ ;
- $\|P_s\| \leq \mu_s$ ,  $\|P_u\| \leq \mu_u$ ;

# Definition of semi-hyperbolicity

$X$  a Banach space,  $X = X_s \oplus X_u$ .  $A : X \rightarrow X$  a linear operator, **but we do not** assume that  $X_s$  and  $X_u$  are  $A$ -invariant. Then in the matrix form we have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_s & P_s \\ P_u & A_u \end{bmatrix}$$

## Definition

We say that a linear operator  $A$  is **semi-hyperbolic** if

- $\lambda_s < 1 < \lambda_u$  and  $(1 - \lambda_s)(\lambda_u - 1) > \mu_s \mu_u$ ;
- $\|A_s\| \leq \lambda_s$ ,  $\|A_u^{-1}\| \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_u}$ ;
- $\|P_s\| \leq \mu_s$ ,  $\|P_u\| \leq \mu_u$ ;

# Basic results

We are ready to proceed to our investigation. Our aim is to present an approach to investigation of hyperbolic operators via semi-hyperbolicity. We obtain some numerical estimations of hyperbolicity constants (in the nonlinear case) with the use of semi-hyperbolicity.

M. Mazur, J. Tabor, P. Kocielniak, *Semi-hyperbolicity and hyperbolicity*, to appear in Discrete Contin. Dynam. Syst (IM UJ preprint 2007/04, <http://www.im.uj.edu.pl/badania/preprint/>).

Let us begin with the following result

## Theorem

*If the operator  $A$  is semi-hyperbolic with respect  $(\lambda_s, \lambda_u, \mu_s, \mu_u)$ , then  $A$  is hyperbolic.*

# Basic results

We are ready to proceed to our investigation. Our aim is to present an approach to investigation of hyperbolic operators via semi-hyperbolicity. We obtain some numerical estimations of hyperbolicity constants (in the nonlinear case) with the use of semi-hyperbolicity.

M. Mazur, J. Tabor, P. Kocielniak, *Semi-hyperbolicity and hyperbolicity*, to appear in Discrete Contin. Dynam. Syst (IM UJ preprint 2007/04, <http://www.im.uj.edu.pl/badania/preprint/>).

Let us begin with the following result

## Theorem

*If the operator  $A$  is semi-hyperbolic with respect  $(\lambda_s, \lambda_u, \mu_s, \mu_u)$ , then  $A$  is hyperbolic.*

# Estimation of the spectrum

## Theorem

We assume that  $A$  is semi-hyperbolic with respect to a split  $(\lambda_s, \lambda_u, \mu_s, \mu_u)$ . Then the spectrum of  $A$  does not intersect the ring

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda_s^*, \lambda_u^*) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda_s^* < |\lambda| < \lambda_u^*\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_s^* &= \frac{\lambda_s + \lambda_u}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_u - \lambda_s)^2 - 4\mu_s\mu_u}}{2} < 1, \\ \lambda_u^* &= \frac{\lambda_s + \lambda_u}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_u - \lambda_s)^2 - 4\mu_s\mu_u}}{2} > 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let us mention that the estimation obtained in (1) are sharp. To observe this, consider the linear operator  $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_s & \mu_s \\ \mu_u & \lambda_u \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously,  $A$  is semi-hyperbolic with respect to the the split from the above theorem, but the eigenvalues of  $A$  are exactly  $\lambda_s^*$  and  $\lambda_u^*$ .

# Estimation of the spectrum

## Theorem

We assume that  $A$  is semi-hyperbolic with respect to a split  $(\lambda_s, \lambda_u, \mu_s, \mu_u)$ . Then the spectrum of  $A$  does not intersect the ring

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda_s^*, \lambda_u^*) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda_s^* < |\lambda| < \lambda_u^*\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_s^* &= \frac{\lambda_s + \lambda_u}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_u - \lambda_s)^2 - 4\mu_s\mu_u}}{2} < 1, \\ \lambda_u^* &= \frac{\lambda_s + \lambda_u}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_u - \lambda_s)^2 - 4\mu_s\mu_u}}{2} > 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let us mention that the estimation obtained in (1) are sharp. To observe this, consider the linear operator  $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_s & \mu_s \\ \mu_u & \lambda_u \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously,  $A$  is semi-hyperbolic with respect to the the split from the above theorem, but the eigenvalues of  $A$  are exactly  $\lambda_s^*$  and  $\lambda_u^*$ .

# Projection constant

Let  $A$  be a semi-hyperbolic operator with the splitting  $X = X_s \oplus X_u$ . By  $P_s$  ( $P_u$ ) we denote the projection onto  $X_s$  ( $X_u$ ).

Then by the previous result we know that  $A$  is hyperbolic, and therefore there exists an  $A$ -invariant splitting  $X = X_s^* \oplus X_u^*$ . By  $P_s^*$  ( $P_u^*$ ) we denote the projection onto  $X_s^*$  ( $X_u^*$ ).

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a semi-hyperbolic operator with respect to  $(\lambda_s, \lambda_u, \mu_s, \mu_u)$ .

Then

$$\|P_s^*\| \leq L, \quad \|P_u^*\| \leq L + 1,$$

where

$$L = \frac{\lambda_u - \lambda_s + \mu_s + \mu_u}{(1 - \lambda_s)(\lambda_u - 1) - \mu_s \mu_u} h,$$

and  $h := \max\{\|P_s\|, \|P_u\|\}$ .



# Projection constant

Let  $A$  be a semi-hyperbolic operator with the splitting  $X = X_s \oplus X_u$ . By  $P_s$  ( $P_u$ ) we denote the projection onto  $X_s$  ( $X_u$ ).

Then by the previous result we know that  $A$  is hyperbolic, and therefore there exists an  $A$ -invariant splitting  $X = X_s^* \oplus X_u^*$ . By  $P_s^*$  ( $P_u^*$ ) we denote the projection onto  $X_s^*$  ( $X_u^*$ ).

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a semi-hyperbolic operator with respect to  $(\lambda_s, \lambda_u, \mu_s, \mu_u)$ .

Then

$$\|P_s^*\| \leq L, \quad \|P_u^*\| \leq L + 1,$$

where

$$L = \frac{\lambda_u - \lambda_s + \mu_s + \mu_u}{(1 - \lambda_s)(\lambda_u - 1) - \mu_s \mu_u} h,$$

and  $h := \max\{\|P_s\|, \|P_u\|\}$ .

# Main technical result

## Theorem

Let  $S$  be a compact invariant subset of  $M$ .

Assume that for every  $x \in S$  the operator  $Df_{\text{orb}(x)}$  is

$(\lambda_s, \lambda_u, \mu_s, \mu_u; h)$ -semi-hyperbolic according to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Let  $\lambda_s^*, \lambda_u^*$  be given by (1) and let  $\gamma_s^*, \gamma_u^*$  be arbitrary reals such that

$$\lambda_s^* < \gamma_s^* < 1 < \gamma_u^* < \lambda_u^*.$$

Let

$$h^* = \max\left\{ \frac{(\lambda_u - \lambda_s + \mu_s + \mu_u)h}{(\gamma_s^* - \lambda_s)(\lambda_u - \gamma_s^*) - \mu_s\mu_u}, \frac{(\lambda_u - \lambda_s + \mu_s + \mu_u)h}{((\gamma_s^*)^{-1} - \lambda_s)(\lambda_u - (\gamma_s^*)^{-1}) - \mu_s\mu_u} \right\}.$$

Then then the set  $S$  is  $(\gamma_s^*, \gamma_u^*; h^*)$ -hyperbolic.

# Hyperbolicity of the Henon attractor

Now we are ready to formulate the main result of the paper. We consider the Henon mapping

$$H_{a,b}(x, y) = (-x^2 + by + a, x)$$

The **Henon attractor** is the set of all points which have bounded orbits.

## Theorem

*If  $a = 5.4$  and  $b = -1$  then the Henon attractor is hyperbolic.*