

## A Note on Harmonic Envelopes of Holomorphy

Marek JARNICKI

**Abstract.** Basing on [1], an effective construction of the envelope of holomorphy of the ball with respect to some spaces of separately harmonic functions will be presented.

Throughout the paper we shall denote by  $\Delta_N$  the Laplace operator in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ ,

$$\Delta_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2},$$

and by  $\mathcal{P}_{2N}$  — the system of pluriharmonic operators in  $\mathbf{R}^{2N}$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}_{2N} = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{2j-1} \partial x_{2k-1}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{2j} \partial x_{2k}}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{2j-1} \partial x_{2k}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{2j} \partial x_{2k-1}} \right)_{j,k=1,\dots,N}$$

Let us fix  $n_1, \dots, n_s \in \mathbf{N} \cap [2, +\infty)$ . Put  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_s$  and let  $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s)$  be a system of differential operators in  $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{R}^{n_s}$  such that:

- (1)  $\mathcal{L}_j$  depends only on the variables belonging to  $\mathbf{R}^{n_j}$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{L}_j$  considered as a differential operator in  $\mathbf{R}^{n_j}$  is either the Laplace operator  $\Delta_{n_j}$  or the pluriharmonic system  $\mathcal{P}_{n_j}$  (obviously the last case is admissible only if  $n_j$  is an even number).

For a domain  $D \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  let  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  denote the space of all  $f \in C^2(D, \mathbf{C})$  for which  $\mathcal{L}_j(f) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ . It is seen that all classical spaces of separately harmonic or pluriharmonic functions may be described in such a way. One may prove that  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  is a closed (in the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $D$ ) subspace of the space  $\mathcal{H}(D)$  of all harmonic functions in  $D$ .

We shall consider the problem of the effective construction of the envelope of holomorphy of the Euclidean ball

$$B_0(r) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: \|x\| < r\}$$

with respect to the space  $\mathcal{L}(B_0(r))$ ; shortly: *the  $\mathcal{L}$ -envelope of holomorphy of  $B_0(r)$* .

In some particular cases the problem was earlier solved in [5], [6], [3] ( $s = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta_n$ ) and [4] ( $s = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ ). Unfortunately, the methods used in these papers cannot be adopted to the general case. Recently, theoretical foundations for the construction of the

$\mathcal{L}$ -envelopes of holomorphy were given in [1]. Our note may be regarded as a trial of a practical application of Th. 6 from [1].

We shall use the following notations:

$$\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^N z_j w_j, \quad \|z\| = \langle z, \bar{z} \rangle^{1/2}, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_N), \quad w = (w_1, \dots, w_N) \in \mathbf{C}^N;$$

$$B(r) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n: \|z\| < r\};$$

$\mathcal{L}(B(r))$  = the space of all functions  $f$  holomorphic in  $B(r)$  such that  $f|_{B_0(r)} \in \mathcal{L}(B_0(r))$ ; it may be easily verified that  $\mathcal{L}(B(r))$  is a closed subspace of the space of all holomorphic functions in  $B(r)$ ;

$$T(\Delta_N) = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbf{C}^N: z_1^2 + \dots + z_N^2 = 0\};$$

$$T(\mathcal{P}_{2N}) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^{2N}: z_{2j-1}z_{2k-1} + z_{2j}z_{2k} = 0, z_{2j-1}z_{2k} - z_{2j}z_{2k-1} = 0, j, k = 1, \dots, N\};$$

$$T(\mathcal{L}) = T(\mathcal{L}_1) \times \dots \times T(\mathcal{L}_s);$$

$$q_{\mathcal{L}_j}(z) = \max\{|\langle z, w \rangle|: w \in T(\mathcal{L}_j), \|w\| = 1\}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}^{n_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, s;$$

$$q_{\mathcal{L}}(z) = \max\{|\langle z, w \rangle|: w \in T(\mathcal{L}), \|w\| = 1\}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}^n; \quad \text{note that } q_{\mathcal{L}} \text{ is a seminorm;}$$

$$\tilde{B}_{\mathcal{L}}(r) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n: q_{\mathcal{L}}(z) < r\}.$$

Directly from Th. 6 in [1] we get

**THEOREM I.** *The domain  $\text{int}(\tilde{B}_{\mathcal{L}}(r))$  is the  $\mathcal{L}(B(r))$ -envelope of holomorphy of  $B(r)$ .*

Let  $t: \mathbf{C}^N \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  denote the complexification of the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . Recall that (comp. [2], [6]):

$$t(z) = [ \|z\|^2 + (\|z\|^4 - |\langle z, z \rangle|^2)^{1/2} ]^{1/2}$$

or, in the real coordinates,

$$t(x+iy) = [ \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2(\|x\|^2\|y\|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle^2)^{1/2} ]^{1/2}.$$

Let  $u: \mathbf{C}^{2N} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  denote the norm given by the formula:

$$u(z) = \max\left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |z_{2j-1} - iz_{2j}|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |z_{2j-1} + iz_{2j}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\}.$$

Observe that  $u = t$  in  $\mathbf{C}^2$  (comp. [3]),  $u \leq t$ ,  $u \neq t$  in  $\mathbf{C}^{2N}$ ,  $N \geq 2$  and  $u(x) = \|x\|$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^{2N}$ .

The purpose of this note is to prove the following

**THEOREM 1.** (i)  $q_{\mathcal{L}}(z) = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^s q_{\mathcal{L}_j}^2(z^j) \right]^{1/2}$ ,  $z = (z^1, \dots, z^s) \in \mathbf{C}^n = \mathbf{C}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{C}^{n_s}$ ;

$$(ii) \quad q_{\Delta_N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t;$$

$$(iii) \quad q_{\mathcal{P}_{2N}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u.$$

Remark 1.  $q_{\mathcal{L}}$  is a norm and it may be effectively calculated.

Hence, in view of Th. I we get

Remark 2.  $\tilde{B}_{\mathcal{L}}(r)$  is the  $\mathcal{L}(B(r))$ -envelope of holomorphy of  $B(r)$ .

THEOREM 2.  $\tilde{B}_{\mathcal{L}}(r/\sqrt{2})$  is the  $\mathcal{L}$ -envelope of holomorphy of  $B_0(r)$ .

Proof.  $\mathcal{L}(B_0(r)) \subset \mathcal{H}(B_0(r))$ , so by classical properties of harmonic functions (comp. [6], Th. B), for every  $f \in \mathcal{L}(B_0(r))$  there exists  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{L}(B(r/\sqrt{2}))$  such that  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $B_0(r/\sqrt{2})$ . In view of Th. 1,  $q_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|x\|$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . In particular,  $B_0(r) \subset \tilde{B}_{\mathcal{L}}(r/\sqrt{2})$ . Thus the  $\mathcal{L}(B(r/\sqrt{2}))$ -envelope of holomorphy of  $B(r/\sqrt{2})$  is the  $\mathcal{L}$ -envelope of  $B_0(r)$ . The proof is completed.

COROLLARY 1. ([5], [6], [3]). The Lie ball  $\tilde{B} = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n: t(z) < r\}$  is the harmonic envelope of holomorphy of  $B_0(r)$ .

COROLLARY 2 ([4]). The domain  $\hat{B} = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}: u(z) < r\}$  is the pluriharmonic envelope of holomorphy of  $B_0(r)$ .

COROLLARY 3. Let  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$  be two systems satisfying (1), (2) with the same decomposition  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_s$ . Then  $\tilde{B}_{\mathcal{L}}(r) = \tilde{B}_{\mathcal{L}'}(r) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}'$ .

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of (i) follows directly from the fact that  $T(\mathcal{L}_1), \dots, T(\mathcal{L}_s), T(\mathcal{L})$  are closed  $\mathbf{C}$ -cones, namely

$$q_{\mathcal{L}}(z^1, \dots, z^s) = \max \{t_1 q_{\mathcal{L}_1}(z^1) + \dots + t_s q_{\mathcal{L}_s}(z^s): t_1, \dots, t_s \geq 0, t_1^2 + \dots + t_s^2 = 1\} = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^s q_{\mathcal{L}_j}^2(z^j) \right]^{1/2}.$$

(ii) Let us fix  $z \in \mathbf{C}^N$ ,  $z \neq 0$ . Since  $q_{\Delta_N}$  and  $t$  are seminorms, it is sufficient to consider the case when  $\|z\| = 1$  and  $\langle z, z \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$ , which in the real coordinates  $z = x + iy$  means that  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ .

In view of the definition of  $q_{\Delta_N}$ , there exists a point  $w = \xi + i\eta \in T(\Delta_N)$ ,  $\|w\| = 1$  such that  $q_{\Delta_N}(z) = \langle z, w \rangle$ . Hence  $q_{\Delta_N}(z) = \langle x, \xi \rangle - \langle y, \eta \rangle$  and  $\|\xi\| = \|\eta\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ . In consequence  $q_{\Delta_N}(z) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\|x\| + \|y\|) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t(z)$ . Now it remains to find  $w_0 \in T(\Delta_N)$ ,  $\|w_0\| = 1$  such that  $\langle z, w_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\|x\| + \|y\|)$ . We shall distinguish three cases:

a)  $x, y \neq 0$  — we put  $w_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{x}{\|x\|} - i \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right)$ ,

b)  $x \neq 0, y = 0$  —  $w_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x + i\eta_0)$ , where  $\eta_0 \in \mathbf{R}^N$  is chosen in such a way that  $\langle x, \eta_0 \rangle = 0, \|\eta_0\| = 1$ ,

c)  $x = 0, y \neq 0$  —  $w_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi_0 - iy)$ , where  $\langle y, \xi_0 \rangle = 0, \|\xi_0\| = 1$ .

For the proof of (iii) let us remark that

$$T(\mathcal{P}_{2N}) = \{(v_1, \tau v_1, \dots, v_N, \tau v_N) : (v_1, \dots, v_N) \in \mathbf{C}^N, \tau = \pm i\}.$$

Hence

$$q_{\mathcal{P}_{2N}}(z) = \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^N (z_{2j-1} + \tau z_{2j}) v_j \right| : v = (v_1, \dots, v_N) \in \mathbf{C}^N, \|v\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \tau = \pm i \right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u(z).$$

The proof is finished.

Remark 3 (due to J. Siciak). *The equality (ii) in Theorem 1 may be interpreted as a new description of the crossnorm in complexified unitary spaces (see the condition (iv) below).*

Let  $E$  be a unitary space over  $\mathbf{R}$  and let  $(, )_E$  denote its scalar product. Put  $\|x\|_E = (x, x)_E^{1/2}$ . Let  $\tilde{E} = E + iE$  denote the complexification of  $E$  and let

$$\|x + iy\|_{\tilde{E}} = (\|x\|_E^2 + \|y\|_E^2)^{1/2}.$$

Define  $\langle , \rangle_E: \tilde{E} \times \tilde{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  by the formula

$$\langle x + iy, u + iv \rangle_{\tilde{E}} = [(x, u)_E - (y, v)_E] + i[(x, v)_E + (y, u)_E].$$

It is easily seen that  $\langle , \rangle_{\tilde{E}}$  is a  $\mathbf{C}$ -bilinear symmetric continuous mapping such that  $\langle x, u \rangle_{\tilde{E}} = (x, u)_E, x, u \in E$ . For  $z = x + iy \in \tilde{E}$  let us put  $\bar{z} = x - iy$  and define  $(z, w)_{\tilde{E}} = \langle z, \bar{w} \rangle_{\tilde{E}}, z, w \in \tilde{E}$ . Clearly  $(, )_{\tilde{E}}$  is a complex scalar product on  $\tilde{E}$  such that  $(x, u)_{\tilde{E}} = (x, u)_E, x, u \in E$  and  $\|z\|_{\tilde{E}}^2 = (z, z)_{\tilde{E}}$ .

For a normed nonzero complex vector space  $(F, \| \cdot \|_F)$  we shall denote by  $P^k(\tilde{E}, F)$  the space of all continuous homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k$  from  $\tilde{E}$  into  $F, k \in \mathbf{N}$ .

The crossnorm  $t$  on  $\tilde{E}$  is defined as follows:

$$(i) \quad t(z) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j| \|x_j\|_E : N \in \mathbf{N}, \lambda_j \in \mathbf{C}, x_j \in E, j = 1, \dots, N, z = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j x_j \right\}, z \in \tilde{E}.$$

It is known (see [2]) that

$$(ii) \quad t(z) = \sup \{ \|f(z)\|_F^{1/k} : f \in P^k(\tilde{E}, F), (x \in E, \|x\|_E \leq 1) \Rightarrow \|f(x)\|_F \leq 1 \}, k \in \mathbf{N};$$

$$(iii) \quad t(x + iy) = \{ \|x\|_E^2 + \|y\|_E^2 + 2[\|x\|_E^2 \|y\|_E^2 - (x, y)_E^2]^{1/2} \}^{1/2},$$

which in the "complex" coordinates" gives

$$t(z) = [\|z\|_{\tilde{E}}^2 + (\|z\|_{\tilde{E}}^4 - |\langle z, z \rangle_{\tilde{E}}|^2)]_E^{21/2}^{1/2}.$$

Repeating (with only formal changes) the proof of Theorem 1 (ii) we get

$$(iv) \ t(z) = \max \{ |\langle z, w \rangle_{\tilde{E}}| : w \in \tilde{E}, \langle w, w \rangle_{\tilde{E}} = 0, \|w\|_{\tilde{E}} = \sqrt{2} \},$$

or, equivalently, in the "real coordinates"

$$t(x+iy) = \max \{ |\langle x+iy, u+iv \rangle_{\tilde{E}}| : u, v \in E, \|u\|_E = \|v\|_E = 1, (u, v)_E = 0 \}.$$

### References

- [1] A. Andreotti, M. Nacinovich, *On the Envelope of Regularity for Solutions of Homogeneous Systems of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, (IV), VI(1), (1979), 69-141.
- [2] L. Drużkowski, *Effective Formula for the Crossnorm in the Complexified Unitary Spaces*, Zeszyty Naukowe UJ, 16, (1974), 47-53.
- [3] M. Jarnicki, *Analytic Continuation of Harmonic Functions*, *ibid.*, 17, (1975), 93-104.
- [4] —, *Analytic Continuation of Pluriharmonic Functions*, *ibid.*, 18, (1977), 45-51.
- [5] C. O. Kiselman, *Prolongement des solutions d'une équation aux dérivées partielles à coefficients constants*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 97, (1969), 329-356.
- [6] J. Siciak, *Holomorphic continuation of harmonic functions*, Ann. Pol. Math., XXIX, (1974), 67-73.

Received November 19, 1981