UNIVERSITATIS IAGELLONICAE ACTA MATHEMATICA, FASCICULUS XXVI

1987

On Boundary Value Problems for Differential Equations with a Retarded Argument

by Marian JABŁOŃSKI and Krystyna TWARDOWSKA

1. Introduction. The boundary value problem

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x_t)$$
$$Ax = \alpha$$

of a functional differential equation with a retarded argument was considered in [5] for a function $f\colon [a, b] \times C^0 \ni (t, \varphi) \to f(t, \varphi) \in R^d$ fulfilling the Carathéodory conditions and for a linear continuous operator A defined on the Banach space W of absolutely continuous functions with values in R^d. It was stated there that if for every operator A belonging to an open set $\Omega \subset \mathscr{L}$ ($\mathscr{L}$ denotes the space of linear continuous operators from W to R^d) and for every vector $\alpha \in R^d$ the above problem has at most one solution, then it has exactly one solution for every $A \in \Omega$ and every $\alpha \in R^d$. Moreover, the existence and uniqueness theorem was proved for the operator A_0 which represents the Cauchy problem.

In this paper the above results and the continuous dependence theorem contained in [5] are transformed to the case in which the space C^0 is replaced by the space of Lipschitzean functions.

Since the boundary value problems given by difference equations may be replaced by the boundary value problems for differential equations with a delayed argument we obtain as a corollary of our theorems the existence and uniqueness theorem of the solutions of the boundary value problems for difference equations. As a corollary we obtain also the theorem concerning the convergence of solutions of boundary value problems of difference equations to the solution of the boundary value problem for a given differential equation approximated by these difference equations.

One theorem dealing with the convergence of the solutions of the boundary value problems for differential equations with a retarded argument to the solution of a given ordinary differential equation was also proved by J. Myjak in [4]. However, that theorem is not of the same type. The author proved in it that under some assumptions the solution of the boundary value problem for the differential equation with a retarded argument and with fixed right-hand side converges to the solution of the ordinary differential equation with the same right-hand side with delays tending to zero. Our theorem includes the case where right-hand sides of the equations are changing and are convergent.

2. Definitions and notations. Let $W = W([a, b], R^d)$ be the space of absolutely continuous functions $x\colon [a, b] \to R^d$ endowed with the norm

$$||x||_w = |x(a)| + \int_a^b |\dot{x}(t)|\,dt\,.$$

The space of linear continuous operators from W to R^d with the norm topology is denoted by $\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}(W, R^d)$.

Let h_0 be a positive number. We shall denote by $C^0 = C^0([a-h_0, a], R^d)$ the space of all continuous functions from $[a-h_0, a]$ into R^d with the norm

$$||x||_{C^0} = \sup\{|x(t)|\colon\ t \in [a-h_0, a]\}$$

and by $L = L([a-h_0, a], R^d)$ the space of Lipschitz functions from $[a-h_0, a]$ into R^d, with the norm

$$||x||_L = \sup\{|x(t)|\colon\ t \in [a-h_0, a]\} + \sup\left\{\frac{|x(t')-x(t)|}{|t'-t|}\colon\ a-h_0 \leqslant t < t \leqslant a\right\}.$$

We remind that the function $f\colon [a, b]\times L \ni (t, \varphi) \to f(t, \varphi) \in R^d$ fulfils *the Carathéodory conditions* if

(i) it is measurable with respect to t for every fixed φ,

(ii) it is continuous with respect to φ for every fixed t,

(iii) for every bounded set $B \subset L$ there exists a constant m_B such that

$$|f(t, \varphi)| \leqslant m_B$$

for $t \in [a, b]$, $\varphi \in B$.

For a given family Φ of the functions $f\colon [a, b]\times L \to R^d$ we say that Φ satisfies *the Carathéodory conditions uniformly* if every function $f \in \Phi$ fulfils conditions (i), (ii) and

(iii′) for every bounded set $B \subset L$ there exists a constant M_B such that

$$|f(t, \varphi)| \leqslant M_B$$

for every $t \in [a, b]$, $\varphi \in B$ and $f \in \Phi$.

We say that the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ($f_n\colon [a, b]\times L \to R^d$) *converges continuously* to a function $f\colon [a, b]\times L \to R^d$ if for every sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset L$ convergent to a function $\varphi \in L$ in the space L the sequence $\{f_n(t, \varphi_n)\}$ converges to $f(t, \varphi)$ for every $t \in [a, b]$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let us denote by $\mathscr{A}$ the space of all functions $f\colon [a, b]\times L \to R^d$ fulfilling the Carathéodory conditions (i), (ii), (iii).

We remind that the sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathscr{A}$ is *almost uniformly convergent* to a function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ if and only if $f_n(t, \varphi) \to f(t, \varphi)$ uniformly on $[a, b]\times B$ for every bounded set $B \subset L$.

In the sequel we shall not mention that the equality $\dot{x} = f(t, x_t)$ occurs almost everywhere. It will be clear from the context.

For $x\colon [a-h_0, b] \to R^d$ and $t \in [a, b]$ we define the function $x_t\colon [a-h_0, a] \to R^d$ by

$$x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta-a)\,. \tag{1}$$

Let $f\colon [a, b]\times L \to R^d$ be a function belonging to $\mathscr{A}$ and let $A \in \mathscr{L}$.

We shall consider the following boundary value problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t), \tag{2}$$

$$Ax = \alpha. \tag{3}$$

We remind that an almost everywhere differentiable function $x\colon [a-h_0, b] \to R^d$ is said to be *a solution of equation* (2) if x satisfies (2) almost everywhere on $[a, b]$.

Condition (H^0). *The function* $f\colon [a, b]\times L \to R^d$ *fulfils condition* (H^0) if for every function $\varphi \in L$ there is exactly one solution x of equation (2) which satisfies the initial condition $x_a = \varphi$.

The continuous $x\colon [a-h_0, b] \to R^d$ is called *the solution of equation* (2) *in Carathéodory sense* if it is absolutely continuous on the interval $[a, b]$, satisfies (2) almost everywhere on $[a, b]$ and is constant on $[a-h_0, a]$, that is $x_a = \text{const}$.

The continuous function $x\colon [a-h_0, b] \to R^d$ is called *the solution of problem* (2), (3) if it is the solution of equation (2) in Carathéodory sense and fulfils condition (3).

3. Existence and uniqueness problems. Repeating without any essential modifications the proof of Hale's continuous dependence theorem ([3], p. 21—22) we get as a corollary.

THEOREM 1. *Let us assume that the functions* $f_n\colon [a, b]\times L \to R^d$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ *satisfy the Carathéodory conditions uniformly and the sequence* $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *converges continuously to a function* f_0 *satisfying condition* (H^0). *Let the sequence* $\{\varphi_n\} \subset L$ *be convergent to* $\varphi_0 \in L$ *and let* $x_n\colon [a-h_0, b] \to R^d$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ *be the solution of equation* $\dot{x}(t) = f_n(t, x_t)$ *satisfying the initial condition* $x_a = \varphi_n$. *Then* $x_n(t)$ *converges uniformly to* $x_0(t)$ *on* $[a-h_0, b]$.

By Theorem 1, using the same method as in proof of Theorem 3.1 ([5]) we get

THEOREM 2. *Let a fixed function* $f\colon [a, b]\times L \to R^d$ *satisfy the Carathéodory conditions and condition* (H^0). *Let* Ω *be an open set in* $\mathscr{L}$. *If for every operator* $A \in \Omega$ *and every vector* $\alpha \in R^d$ *boundary value problem* (2), (3) *has at most one solution, then for every* $A \in \Omega$ *and every* $\alpha \in R^d$ *problem* (2), (3) *has exactly one solution.*

Proof. Let $k \in R^d$. Denote by x^k the solution of (1) satisfying the initial condition $x_a^k = k$. It is sufficient to show that for every $A \in \Omega$ and $\alpha \in R^d$ there exists $k \in R^d$ such that $Ax^k = \alpha$.

Let $A^0 \in \Omega$ be given. Define the function $F\colon R^d \to R^d$ by the formula

$$F(k) = A^0 x^k.$$

It follows from condition (H^0), uniqueness assumption and Theorem 1 that F is a continuous injection. It remains to be shown that the range of F, $F(R^d)$, is in fact equal R^d.

Suppose that $F(R^d) \neq R^d$. Since $F(R^d)$ is open (by Schauder's open mapping theorem [2]), there exists a point $p \in R^d$ and a sequence $\{k_n\} \subset R^d$ such that

$$F(k_n) \to p \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \tag{4}$$

and

$$p \notin F(R^d) .$$

If there exists a bounded subsequence of $\{k_n\}$, then we can find a convergent subsequence $\{k_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{k_n\}$. Let $k_{n_i} \to k_0$ as $n_i \to \infty$. Then $F(k_0) = p$ and we have a contradiction with the fact that $p \notin F(R^d)$. Therefore, we may assume that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|k_{n+m} - k_n| \geqslant \varepsilon , \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots ; \quad m = m(n) \geqslant 1 .$$

We have

$$F(k_{n+m}) - F(k_n) = A^0(x^{k_{n+m}} - x^{k_n}) = A^0 y_n , \tag{5}$$

where $y_n = x^{k_{n+m}} - x^{k_n}$. Let $A^k : L \to R^d$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ be such that

$$A^k(y_n) = -A^0 y_n , \tag{6}$$

$$\|A^k\| = \frac{|A^0 y_n|}{\|y_n\|_L} . \tag{7}$$

The existence of these bounded linear operators satisfying (6) and (7) follows from Hahn-Banach theorem. Since

$$|y_n|_L \geqslant |(y_n)_a| = |x_a^{k_{n+m}} - x_a^{k_n}| = |k_{n+m} - k_n| \geqslant \varepsilon ,$$

we have by (4) and (5) that

$$\|A^k\| \leqslant \frac{|A^0 y_n|}{\varepsilon} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty .$$

Since Ω is open, there exists $\bar{n}$ such that $A^0 + A^{\bar{n}} \in \Omega$. For $A^0 + A^{\bar{n}}$ we have

$$(A^{\bar{n}} + A^0)(x^{k_{\bar{n}+\bar{m}}} - x^{k_{\bar{n}}}) = 0 , \quad \bar{m} = m(\bar{n}) .$$

This means that the boundary value problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x_t) ,$$
$$(A^{\bar{n}} + A^0)x = (A^{\bar{n}} + A^0)x^{k_{\bar{n}}}$$

has two distinct solutions $x^{k_{\bar{n}+\bar{m}}}$ and $x^{k_{\bar{n}}}$. This contradicts our uniqueness assumption and completes the proof of the theorem.

Let $A_0 \in \mathscr{L}$ has the form

$$A_0 x = x(a) . \tag{8}$$

It is obvious that boundary value problem (2), (4) is a particular case of the Cauchy problem. It is easy to see that if the function f in equation (2) fulfils condition (H^0), then the Cauchy problem for this equation has exactly one solution. Therefore, problem (2), (8)

has the same property. We can show, using Theorem 2 and repeating the argumentation of the proof of Theorem 4.1 ([5]) that the problems close (in the sense of the topology in $\mathscr{L}$) to problem (2), (8) have exactly one solution. That is

THEOREM 3. *If a fixed function* $f\colon [a,b]\times L \to R^d$ *fulfils the Carathéodory conditions and the Lipschitz condition*

$$|f(t,\varphi)-f(t,\psi)| \leqslant K\|\varphi-\psi\|_{C^0}, \tag{9}$$

where K *is a positive constant, then for every operator* A *belonging to the open ball* $B(A_0,\eta)$, *where*

$$\eta = \frac{1+\exp(b-a)K}{(b-a)k} \tag{10}$$

and for every vector $\alpha \in R^d$ *boundary value problem* (2), (3) *has exactly one solution.*

Just in the same way as Theorem 6.1 ([5]) we can prove

THEOREM 4. *Let* $\Phi \subset \mathscr{A}$ *be a given family of functions* $f\colon [a,b]\times L \to R^d$ *fulfilling condition* (H^0) *and the Carathéodory conditions uniformly. Let* Ω *be an open set in* $\mathscr{L}$. *We assume that for every function* $f\in\Phi$, *for every operator* $A\in\Omega$ *and every vector* $\alpha\in R^d$ *boundary value problem* (2), (3) *has at most one solution. Then boundary value problem* (2), (3) *has exactly one solution dependent in a continuous manner upon* $x(f,A,\alpha)\in \Phi\times\Omega\times R^d$, *i.e., if* $\{f_n\}\subset\Phi$ *is almost uniformly convergent to* $f\in\Phi$, $\{A_n\}\subset\Omega$ *is convergent to* $A\in\Omega$ (in $\mathscr{L}$), $\{\alpha_n\}\subset R^d$ *is convergent to* $\alpha\in R^d$, *then* $x(f_n,A_n,\alpha_n)\to x(f,A,\alpha)$ *as* $n\to\infty$, *in the space* W.

4. Application. Let a fixed function $f\colon [a,b]\times R^d \to R^d$ be given. We define functions $\bar{f}\colon [a,b]\times L([2a-b,a],R^d)\to R^d$ and $\bar{f}_n\colon [a,b]\times L([2a-b,a],R^d)\to R^d$ by the formulas

$$\bar{f}(t,\varphi) = f(t,\varphi(a)), \tag{11}$$

$$\bar{f}_n(t,\varphi) = f\big(\sigma^n(t),\varphi(\sigma^n(t)-t+a)\big), \tag{12^n}$$

where

$$\sigma^n(t) = \max_k \left\{\frac{b-a}{n}k < t\right\}.$$

Let us consider boundary value problems

$$\dot{x}(t) = \bar{f}(t,x_t), \qquad Ax=\alpha, \tag{13}$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = \bar{f}_n(t,x_t), \qquad A_nx=\alpha_n, \tag{14^n}$$

where the sequence of operators $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent to an operator A in the space $\mathscr{L}$ and the sequence of vectors $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent to a vector α in the space R^d.

Let us denote by $x = x(\bar{f}, A, \alpha)$ the solution of boundary value problem (13) and by $x_n = x(\bar{f}_n, A_n, \alpha_n)$ $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ the solution of problems (14^n).

THEOREM 5. *If the function f in formula* (11) *is continuous with respect to both variables and fulfils the Lipschitz condition with respect to the second variable with a positive constaat K, then*

(a) *boundary value problem* (13) *has exactly one solution for every operator $A \in B(A_0, \eta)$, where A_0 is given by* (8) *and η is given by* (10),

(b) *there exists a natural number $N > 0$ such that for every $n > N$ boundary value problem* (14^n) *has exactly one solution x_n for the operators $A_n \in B(A_0, \eta)$, where A_0 is given by* (8) *and η is given by* (10),

(c) *$x_n \to x$ in the space W, as $n \to \infty$.*

Now we state a lemma whose standard proof will be omitted.

LEMMA 1. *If the function $g\colon [a, b] \times R^d \to R^d$ is continuous with respect to both variables and the sequence of functions $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of the interval $[a, b]$ into itself is uniformly convergent to a function β, then the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where $g_n(t, x) = g(\beta_n(t), x)$ is uniformly convergent to the function $g(\beta(t), x)$ on the set $[a, b] \times D$ for every compact set $D \subset R^d$.*

Proof of Theorem 5. It is easy to verify that the functions $\bar{f}$ and $\bar{f}_n$ fulfil inequality (9) with the same constant K, therefore they fulfil condition (H^0). By this and by Theorem 3 we obtain (a) and (b).

Let us put $\Phi = \{\bar{f}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup \{\bar{f}\}$. Let B be a fixed bounded set in the space L and let

$$B_M = \{\varphi \in L\colon \|\varphi\|_L \leqslant M\}$$

be a ball containing the set B.

From the definition of the norm in the space L for every n and $\varphi \in B_M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{f}_n(t, \varphi) - \bar{f}(t, \varphi)| &= |f(\sigma^n(t), \varphi(\sigma^n(t) - t + a)) - f(t, \varphi(a))| \leqslant \\
&\leqslant |f(\sigma^n(t), \varphi(\sigma^n(t) - t + a)) - f(\sigma^n(t, \varphi(a))| + |f(\sigma^n(t), \varphi(a)) - f(t, \varphi(a))| \leqslant \\
&\leqslant K|\varphi(\sigma^n(t) - t + a) - \varphi(a)| + |f(\sigma^n(t), \varphi(a)) - f(t, \varphi(a))| \leqslant \\
&\leqslant KM|\sigma^n(t) - t| + |f(\sigma^n(t), \varphi(a)) - f(t, \varphi(a))|\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the definition of $\sigma^n(t)$ and Lemma 1, $\bar{f}_n$ converges uniformly to $\bar{f}$.

We shall show that the family Φ fulfils the Carathéodory conditions uniformly. It is obvious that (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Now, first let us note that the functions $\bar{f}_n$ and $\bar{f}$ are commonly bounded on the set $[a, b] \times B_M$. Indeed, from the continuity of the function f with respect to both variables it follows that for every $M > 0$ there exists $\tilde{M} > 0$ such that

$$\sup\{|f(t, x)|\colon t \in [a, b], |x| \leqslant M\} \leqslant \tilde{M}\,.$$

If $\varphi \in B_M$, then $|\varphi(t)| < \|\varphi\|_L \leqslant M$.

We have

$$|\bar{f}_n(t, \varphi)| = |f(\sigma^n(t), \varphi(\sigma^n(t) - t + a)| \leqslant \sup\{|f(t, x)|\colon t \in [a, b], |x| \leqslant M\} \leqslant \tilde{M}$$

for points $(t, \varphi) \in [a, b] \times B_M$. The constant $\tilde{M}$ does not depend on the choice of index n therefore $\tilde{M}$ bounds the function $\bar{f}$, too. Now using Theorem 4, we complete the proof of our theorem.

Remark. It was shown in [5] that the difference equation can be treated as a special case of a differential equation with a delayed argument. Indeed, let us fix a positive integer n and let us set

$$t^{nk} = a + \frac{b-a}{n} k \quad \text{for} \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n,$$

$$x^{ni} = x^n(t^{ni}) \quad \text{for} \quad x^n \in W,$$

$$\Delta(x^{ni}) = x^{ni+1} - x^{ni},$$

$$\Delta(t^{ni}) = t^{ni+1} - t^{ni} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1,$$

$$\sigma^n(t) = \max_k \{t^{nk} : t^{nk} \leqslant t\}.$$

For the function f: $[a, b] \times R^d \to R^d$ boundary value problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad Ax = \alpha \tag{15}$$

and its difference approximation

$$\frac{\Delta(x^{ni})}{\Delta(t^{ni})} = f(t^{ni}, x^{ni}), \quad Ax^n = \alpha_n \tag{16^n}$$

were examined in [5]. Here x^n denote continuous functions on the interval $[a, b]$ which are linear on every interval $[t^{ni}, t^{ni+1}]$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ and such that $x^n(t^{ni}) = x^{ni}$.

Let us define the function $\bar{f}_n(t, \varphi) = f(\sigma^n(t), \varphi(\sigma^n(t) - t + a))$ for $t \in [a, b]$ and $\varphi \in L\left(\left[a - \frac{b-a}{n}, a\right], R^d\right)$. By formula (1) we have the following representation.

$$(x^n)' = \bar{f}_n(t, x_t^n), \quad Ax^n = \alpha_n \tag{17^n}$$

of difference problem (16^n). Now from Theorem 5 we have the following

COROLLARY. *If the function f is continuous and satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to the second variable, then for any n boundary value problem* (17^n) *has exactly one solution x^n and $\{x^n\}$ is convergent in the norm of the space W to the solution of the boundary value problem $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$, $Ax = \alpha$.*

References

[1] S. N. Chow, A. Lasota, *On boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations*, J. Diff. Eqs. **14** (1973), 326—337.

[2] A. Granas, *The theory of compact vector fields and some of its applications to topology of functional spaces* I, Dissertations Mathematicae XXX (1962), 60—62.

[3] J. K. Hale, *Functional differential equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 3, Springer-Verlag, New York 1971.

[4] J. W. Myjak, *A boundary value problem for non-linear differential equations with a retarded argument*, Ann. Polon. Math. 27 (1973), 133—142.

[5] K. Twardowska, *On existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence of solutions of boundary value problems for differential equations with a delayed parameter*, Zeszyty Naukowe UJ, Prace Mat. 18 (1977), 143—153.

Received September 3, 1979.