

# CANCELLATION THEOREM FOR ALGEBRAIC LINE BUNDLES

Robert Dryło

PREPRINT IMUJ 2005/13

## Abstract

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. In this paper we consider the following cancellation problem. Let  $X$  be an affine variety and  $E$  an algebraic line bundle on  $X$ . Suppose that a variety  $Y$  and an isomorphism  $Y \times \mathbb{K}^m \cong E \times \mathbb{K}^m$  are given. Is it true that  $Y \cong E$ ? We give an affirmative solution if  $X$  is either non- $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled or it is unirational and has a non-uniruled component at infinity.

## 1 Introduction

We shall work in the category of algebraic varieties over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic 0. By a variety we will usually mean an irreducible algebraic variety.

A variety  $X$  has the *cancellation property* if it satisfies the condition: if  $Y$  is a variety such that for some  $m \geq 0$  there is an isomorphism  $X \times \mathbb{K}^m \cong Y \times \mathbb{K}^m$  then  $X \cong Y$ . Furthermore, we say that a variety  $X$  has the *strong cancellation property* if every isomorphism  $f : X \times \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{K}^m$  satisfies the condition: for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $f(\{x\} \times \mathbb{K}^m) = \{y\} \times \mathbb{K}^m$  (clearly, then  $f$  induces the isomorphism between  $X$  and  $Y$ ).

It is well-known that affine curves have the cancellation property (a more general result was proved by Abhyankar, Eakin and Heinzer in [1]), but surfaces may not have this property (see Danielewski [3]).

Zariski's Cancellation Problem asks if  $\mathbb{K}^n$  has the cancellation property. The affirmative answer for  $n = 2$  is due to Fujita [6] and Miyanishi-Sugie [15]. For  $n > 2$  this problem remains open.

A variety of non-negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension has the strong cancellation property, which was proved by Iitaka and Fujita in [8]. This property has also an affine variety which is either non- $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled or it is unirational of dimension greater than 1 and has a non-uniruled component at infinity (see [4]).

In this paper we extend the last result, namely, we will prove the following

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that an affine variety  $X$  is either non- $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled or it is unirational of dimension greater than 1 and has a non-uniruled component at infinity. Then*

- (i)  *$X$  has the strong cancellation property.*
- (ii) *any algebraic line bundle on  $X$  has the cancellation property.*

We use the following terminology.

A variety  $X$  of positive dimension  $n$  is said to be *uniruled* ( $\mathbb{K}$ -*uniruled*) if there exists a variety  $W$  of dimension  $n - 1$  and a dominant rational map  $W \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{K}) \dashrightarrow X$  (a dominant morphism  $W \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow X$ ). A reducible variety is said to be *uniruled* ( $\mathbb{K}$ -*uniruled*) if all its irreducible components are uniruled ( $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled).

A variety  $X$  of dimension  $n$  is said to be *unirational* if there exists a dominant rational map  $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow X$ .

A projective variety which contains a variety  $X$  as an open subset is called a *compactification* of  $X$ .

An affine variety  $X$  has a *non-uniruled component at infinity* if there exists a compactification  $\overline{X}$  of  $X$  such that some component of the set  $\overline{X} \setminus X$  is non-uniruled (it is well-known that for any compactification  $\overline{X}$  of  $X$  the set  $\overline{X} \setminus X$  is of pure dimension  $\dim X - 1$ ).

In the sequel  $\pi_X$  denotes the projection  $X \times \mathbb{K}^m \ni (x, t) \mapsto x \in X$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be an affine variety. Suppose that there exists a variety  $Y$  and a dominant morphism  $f : Y \times \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(\{b\} \times \mathbb{K}^m)$  has positive dimension for some  $b \in Y$ . Then  $X$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled. Furthermore, if  $Y$  is unirational then  $X$  has only uniruled components at infinity.*

*Proof.* It suffices to give the proof for  $m = 1$  (to see this observe that there is a line  $L$  in  $\mathbb{K}^m$  such that  $f(\{b\} \times L)$  has positive dimension, so changing coordinates we may assume that there exists a dominant morphism  $(Y \times \mathbb{K}^{m-1}) \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow X$  not contracting of  $\{b'\} \times \mathbb{K}$  for some  $b' \in Y \times \mathbb{K}^{m-1}$ ).

The proof is by induction on  $r := \dim Y$ . Let  $n := \dim X$ . If  $r = n - 1$  then  $X$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled by definition. Furthermore, if  $Y$  is unirational with a dominant rational map  $g : \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow Y$  then we have a dominant morphism  $\text{res } g \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}} : U \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{K}$ , where  $U$  is the domain of  $g$ . Consequently we have a dominant morphism  $U \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow X$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}^r \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is a smooth compactification of  $U \times \mathbb{K}$  and  $(\mathbb{P}^r \times \mathbb{P}^1) \setminus (U \times \mathbb{K})$  is uniruled, for every compactification  $\overline{X}$  of  $X$  the set  $\overline{X} \setminus X$  is uniruled by [11, th.4].

Suppose  $r \geq n$ . Let  $Z = \{y \in Y : \dim f(\{y\} \times \mathbb{K}) = 0\}$ . This is a closed subset of  $Y$ , because if  $X$  is contained in  $\mathbb{K}^N$  and  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_N)$  then  $Z = \bigcap_{i=1, \dots, N} \bigcap_{s, t \in \mathbb{K}} \{y \in Y : f_i(y, s) - f_i(y, t) = 0\}$ . In particular, if  $V$  is any non-empty open subset in  $Y$  then we may always assume that  $b \in V$ . Let  $X_0$  be an open subset of  $X$  such that  $\dim f^{-1}(x) = r + 1 - n$  for each  $x \in X_0$ . We may assume that  $f(\{b\} \times \mathbb{K})$  meets  $X_0$ . Choose  $a \in f(\{b\} \times \mathbb{K}) \cap X_0$  and a hyperplane section  $H$  of  $X$  passing through  $a$  and not containing  $f(\{b\} \times \mathbb{K})$ . Clearly,  $f^{-1}(H)$  is of pure dimension  $r$ . Let  $S$  be a component of  $f^{-1}(H)$  such that  $S \cap f^{-1}(a) \cap (\{b\} \times \mathbb{K}) \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $\tilde{f} = \text{res } f : S \rightarrow H$  and  $\tilde{\pi} = \text{res } \pi_Y : S \rightarrow Y$ . These morphisms are dominant, since  $\dim \tilde{f}^{-1}(a) = r + 1 - n$  and  $\dim \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(b) = 0$ .

First we show that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled. Choose  $c \in S \cap f^{-1}(a) \cap (\{b\} \times \mathbb{K})$  and a hypersurface  $H'$  contained in  $S$  such that  $c \in H'$  and  $\dim(H' \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(a)) < \dim \tilde{f}^{-1}(a)$ . Then  $\text{res } f : H' \rightarrow H$  is dominant. Consequently  $\text{res } f : \tilde{\pi}(H') \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow X$  is dominant, since  $H \cup f(\{y\} \times \mathbb{K})$  is contained in the closure of  $f(\tilde{\pi}(H') \times \mathbb{K})$ . This proves that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled.

Suppose now that  $Y$  is unirational. Let  $Y_0$  be a non-singular open subset of  $Y$  such that  $\dim \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(y) = 0$  and  $\{y\} \times \mathbb{K}$  is not contained in  $f^{-1}(H)$  for each  $y \in Y_0$ . We may assume that there is an open subset  $U$  in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  and a finite morphism  $U \rightarrow Y_0$ , and that  $b \in Y_0$ . Let us choose an unirational hypersurface  $H''$  contained in  $Y_0$  such that  $b \in H''$  and  $\dim(H'' \cap \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(a)) < \dim \tilde{f}^{-1}(a)$ . Since  $H''$  is locally principal in  $Y_0$  (we have assumed that  $Y_0$  is smooth),  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(H'')$  is of pure dimension  $r - 1$  and  $\dim(\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(H'') \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(a)) < \dim \tilde{f}^{-1}(a)$ . Hence  $\text{res } f : \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(H'') \rightarrow H$  is dominant. So similarly as above,  $\text{res } f : H'' \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow X$  is dominant. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Now we come to the following

**Problem 1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring (commutative with identity). Suppose that  $A$  is an  $R$ -algebra and there is an  $R$ -isomorphism of polynomial rings  $R[T_1, \dots, T_{n+1}] \cong A[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ . Is it true that  $A$  is  $R$ -isomorphic to  $R[T_1]$ ?*

This problem was considered in [1], [2], [9] and [13]. Generally the answer is negative. Asanuma gave a counterexample in [2] if  $\text{char } R > 0$  (see also section 4). In the next section we prove that if  $R$  is a coordinate ring of a smooth affine variety then the answer is affirmative. Although this is a consequence of more general results from papers mentioned above, we will give a short geometric proof.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we shall use the following

**Theorem 2.** (Hamann, [9].) *If  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra then Problem 1 has an affirmative solution.*

We will need also an elementary

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X$  be a variety and  $p_i : E_i \rightarrow X$  be an algebraic line bundle on  $X$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $E_1 \cong E_2$  as line bundles on  $X$  provided that there exists  $m \geq 0$  and an isomorphism  $f : E_1 \times \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow E_2 \times \mathbb{K}^m$  such that the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_1 \times \mathbb{K}^m & \xrightarrow{f} & E_2 \times \mathbb{K}^m \\
 \pi_{E_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{E_2} \\
 E_1 & & E_2 \\
 & \searrow p_1 & \swarrow p_2 \\
 & X &
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $E_i$  is given by an open cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $X$  and by transition functions  $g_{\alpha,\beta}^i : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Clearly, we can identify  $E_i \times \mathbb{K}^m$  with the direct sum of  $E_i$  and the trivial bundle  $X \times \mathbb{K}^m$ . Hence

$$G_{\alpha,\beta}^i = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\alpha,\beta}^i & 0 \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}$$

are transition functions for  $E_i \times \mathbb{K}^m$  on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ , where  $I_m$  is the identity in  $\text{GL}(\mathbb{K}^m)$ . Obviously,  $f$  induces the family of polynomial isomorphisms of trivial bundles  $f_\alpha : U_\alpha \times \mathbb{K}^{m+1} \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{K}^{m+1}$  such that for each  $u \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ ,

$$f_\alpha(u, \cdot) G_{\alpha,\beta}^1(u) = G_{\alpha,\beta}^2(u) f_\beta(u, \cdot).$$

Denote by  $Jf_\alpha(u)$  the Jacobi matrix of  $f_\alpha(u, \cdot)$  for  $u \in U_\alpha$ , and by  $h_\alpha$  the Jacobian of  $f_\alpha$ , i.e.,  $h_\alpha : U_\alpha \ni u \mapsto \det Jf_\alpha(u, \cdot) \in \mathbb{K}^*$ . Then for  $u \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ ,

$$h_\alpha(u) g_{\alpha,\beta}^1(u) = g_{\alpha,\beta}^2(u) h_\beta(u).$$

Thus the family  $\{h_\alpha\}$  determines the isomorphism between  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of theorem 1.* The following easy observation will be needed: if  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are affine varieties and  $V_1 \times \mathbb{K}^m \cong V_2 \times \mathbb{K}^m$  then  $V_1$  dominates  $V_2$  and conversely. In particular, if  $V_1$  is unirational then so is  $V_2$ .

(i) Let  $f : Y \times \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{K}^m$  be an isomorphism. Applying Lemma 1 to  $\pi_X \circ f$ , we find a morphism  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\pi_X \circ f = g \circ \pi_Y$ . This implies that  $X$  has the strong cancellation property.

(ii) Let  $p : E \rightarrow X$  be an algebraic line bundle on  $X$  and  $f : Y \times \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow E \times \mathbb{K}^m$  an isomorphism. Again, by Lemma 1 there exists a morphism  $q : Y \rightarrow X$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y \times \mathbb{K}^m & \xrightarrow{f} & E \times \mathbb{K}^m \\
 \downarrow \pi_Y & & \downarrow \pi_X \\
 & & E \\
 & & \downarrow p \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X
 \end{array}$$

Therefore if  $E$  is trivial on an affine open subset  $U$  of  $X$  then  $\text{res } q : q^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is a trivial bundle by the geometric version of Theorem 2. Thus  $q : Y \rightarrow X$  is a line bundle and Lemma 2 concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *Theorem 1 remains true if we assume that  $X \setminus \text{Sing } X$  is either non- $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled or it is unirational of dimension greater than 1 and has a non-uniruled hypersurface at infinity.*

For any variety  $X$  we denote, here and in the sequel, the singular locus by  $\text{Sing } X$  and the set of non-singular points by  $\text{Reg } X = X \setminus \text{Sing } X$ .

A variety  $X$  of dimension  $n$  has a *non-uniruled hypersurface at infinity* if there exists a compactification  $\overline{X}$  of  $X$  such that the set  $\overline{X} \setminus X$  has a non-uniruled component of dimension  $n - 1$ .

*Proof.* The above proof works also in this situation, we only need to modify Lemma 1 slightly. Furthermore, the following observation will be needed: if  $f : Y \times \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{K}^m$  ( $f : Y \times \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow E \times \mathbb{K}^m$ , where  $p : E \rightarrow X$  is a line bundle on  $X$ ) is an isomorphism then it induces the isomorphism  $\text{res } f : \text{Reg } Y \times \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow \text{Reg } X \times \mathbb{K}^m$  ( $\text{res } f : \text{Reg } Y \times \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow \text{Reg } E \times \mathbb{K}^m$ ).

The details are left to the reader.  $\square$

### 3 More about Problem 1

We give now a solution of Problem 1 when  $R$  is the coordinate ring of a smooth affine variety over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of arbitrary characteristic.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  be an affine smooth variety. Let  $Y$  be a variety with a morphism  $q : Y \rightarrow X$  and let  $f : X \times \mathbb{K}^{m+1} \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{K}^m$  be an isomorphism such that  $\pi_X = q \circ \pi_Y \circ f$ . Then there exists an isomorphism  $g : X \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow Y$  such that  $q \circ g = \pi_X$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \times \mathbb{K}^{m+1} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{f} & Y \times \mathbb{K}^m \\
 & \searrow \pi_X & \downarrow \pi_Y \\
 & & Y \xleftarrow[\cong]{g} X \times \mathbb{K} \\
 & & \downarrow q \\
 & & X
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* First observe that all fibers of  $q$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}$ . Indeed,  $f$  takes  $\pi_X^{-1}(x) \cong \mathbb{K}^{m+1}$  onto  $q^{-1}(x) \times \mathbb{K}^m$ , so  $q^{-1}(x) \cong \mathbb{K}$ , because affine curves have the cancellation property. Take now the zero section  $s_0 : X \ni x \mapsto (x, 0) \in X \times \mathbb{K}^{m+1}$ . Then  $s : X \ni x \mapsto \pi_Y(f(s_0(x))) \in Y$  is a section of  $q$ , i.e.,  $q \circ s = id_X$ . In particular,  $s$  is a closed immersion and  $\Gamma := s(X)$  meets transversally all fibers of  $q$ . We shall show that  $q : Y \rightarrow X$  is a line bundle.

Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth, we have isomorphisms  $\pi_X^* : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X \times \mathbb{K}^{m+1})$  and  $\pi_Y^* : \text{Pic}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y \times \mathbb{K}^m)$  (see [10, p.134,141]). Hence  $q^* : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  is an isomorphism too. For a prime divisor  $\Gamma$  on  $Y$  there exists a divisor  $D$  on  $X$  such that  $\Gamma$  and  $q^*(D)$  are linearly equivalent. Let  $\{U_i\}$  be an open affine cover of  $X$  such that  $D \cap U_i$  is principal in  $U_i$ . Clearly,  $q^*(D) \cap q^{-1}(U_i)$  is principal in  $q^{-1}(U_i)$ , and so is  $\Gamma \cap q^{-1}(U_i)$ . This implies that the ideal of  $\Gamma \cap q^{-1}(U_i)$  is generated in the coordinate ring  $\mathbb{K}[q^{-1}(U_i)]$  by some function  $F_i \in \mathbb{K}[q^{-1}(U_i)]$ . Now  $F_i$  restricts to a coordinate function on  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  for each  $x \in U_i$ , because  $q^{-1}(x) \cong \mathbb{K}$  and  $\Gamma$  meets  $q^{-1}(x)$  at only one point transversally. Therefore the morphism  $q^{-1}(U_i) \ni y \mapsto (q(y), F_i(y)) \in U_i \times \mathbb{K}$  is bijective, so it is an isomorphism by Zariski's Main Theorem. Thus we have showed that  $q : Y \rightarrow X$  is a line bundle. Now Lemma 2 concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Final remarks

We shall begin with

*Question 1.* Is it true that an affine variety with a non-uniruled component at infinity has the cancellation property?

By Theorem 1 the answer is affirmative if we add the unirationality assumption, as well as for any line bundle on a non-uniruled variety.

It is a good place to mention our result from [5] which is connected with the stable equivalence problem (see also [12])

*Let  $X$  be a smooth affine variety and let  $H$  be a non-uniruled hypersurface in  $X$ . Suppose that  $f : X \times \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{K}^m$  is an isomorphism such that  $f(H \times \mathbb{K}^m) = H' \times \mathbb{K}^m$ , where  $H'$  is a hypersurface in a variety  $Y$ . Then for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $f(\{x\} \times \mathbb{K}^m) = \{y\} \times \mathbb{K}^m$ .*

*Question 2.* Suppose that an affine variety  $X$  has the strong cancellation property. Does it follow that  $X \times \mathbb{K}$  has the cancellation property?

Again, Theorem 1 gives the affirmative answer for some varieties. This question was already considered by Asanuma in [2], where he showed that if  $\text{char } \mathbb{K} > 0$  then the answer is no. His example was a rational curve with the coordinate ring  $\mathbb{K}[T^n, T^{n+1}]$ , where  $n > 1$  (this is also a counterexample to Problem 1).

On the other hand if  $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$  we have the following

**Corollary 1.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are affine curves then the surface  $X \times Y$  has the cancellation property.*

*Proof.* The hardest case, when  $X \cong Y \cong \mathbb{K}$  follows from [6] and [15].

If  $X$  is non-isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}$  then  $X \setminus \text{Sing } X$  is non- $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled. (This is a consequence of the following arguments: (1) every smooth affine and  $\mathbb{K}$ -uniruled curve is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}$ ; (2) if  $C$  is an affine curve then every non-constant morphism  $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow C$  is finite and hence surjective.) Thus, by Remark 1,  $X \times \mathbb{K}$  has the cancellation property.

The case when neither  $X \cong \mathbb{K}$  nor  $Y \cong \mathbb{K}$  again follows from Remark 1, since the set  $X \times Y \setminus \text{Sing}(X \times Y) = [(\text{Reg } X) \times Y] \cap [X \times \text{Reg } Y]$  is non- $K$ -uniruled. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

We give one more result a proof of which is left as an exercise.

**Proposition 2.** *Theorem 1 remains true for any affine variety  $X$  such that every dominant morphism  $X \rightarrow X$  is birational (for example,  $X$  satisfies this condition if it is of hyperbolic type, i.e.,  $\bar{\kappa}(X) = \dim X$ ; see [7, p. 335]).  $\square$*

## References

- [1] S. S. Abhyankar, P. Eakin and W. J. Heinzer, *On the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in a polynomial ring*, J. Algebra 23 (1972) 310-342.

- [2] T. Asanuma, *On the strongly invariant coefficient rings*, Osaka J. Math. 11 (1974) 587-593.
- [3] W. Danielewski, *On the cancellation problem and automorphism groups of affine algebraic varieties*, Preprint, Warsaw, 1989.
- [4] R. Dryło, *Non-uniruledness and the cancellation problem*, Ann. Polon. Math. (to appear).
- [5] R. Dryło, *On the stable equivalence problem*, Preprint IMUJ, 2005/14.
- [6] T. Fujita, *On Zariski problem*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A. Math. Sci. 55 (1979) 106-110.
- [7] S. Iitaka, *An Introduction to Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties* Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [8] S. Iitaka and T. Fujita, *Cancellation theorem for algebraic varieties*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 24 (1977) 123-127.
- [9] E. Hamann, *On the  $R$ -Invariance of  $R[X]$* , J. Algebra 35 (1975) 1-16.
- [10] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [11] Z. Jelonek, *Irreducible identity sets for polynomial automorphisms*, Math. Z. 212 (1993) 601-617.
- [12] L. Makar-Limanov, P. van Rossum, V. Shpilrain and J. T. Yu, *The stable equivalence and cancellation problems*, Comment. Math. Helv. 79 (2004) 341-349.
- [13] M. Miyanishi, *Some remarks on polynomial rings*, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973) 617-624.
- [14] M. Miyanishi and Y. Nakai, *Some remarks of strongly invariant rings*, Osaka J. Math. 12 (1975) 1-17.
- [15] M. Miyanishi and T. Sugie, *Affine surfaces containing cylinderlike open sets*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 20 (1980) 11-42.

Institute of Mathematics  
 Jagiellonian University  
 Reymonta 4  
 30-059 Kraków, Poland  
 E-mail: Robert.Drylo@im.uj.edu.pl