

**Theorem 1.** (Abhyankar-Moh) If  $l = d_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq h + 1$  and  $d_1 \neq d_2$  then:

1.  $\sqrt[d_i]{f}$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{K}((X)) [Y]$ ,
2. if  $2 \leq i \leq h + 1$  then for every Puiseux root  $z(t) \in \mathbb{K}((t^{1/M}))$ ,  $M = k!$ , of  $\sqrt[d_i]{f}(t, Y)$  there exists  $\varepsilon \in U_k(\mathbb{K})$  such that

$$\text{ord}_t (y(\varepsilon t) - z(t^k)) = m_i,$$

3. if  $2 \leq i \leq h + 1$

$$\text{ord}_t \left( \sqrt[d_i]{f}(t^k, y(t)) \right) = r_i.$$

## 1. RESULTS

Our results can be summarized as follows.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $l$  be integer such that  $l|k$ ,  $l \notin \{d_1, \dots, d_{h+1}\}$ ,  $i := \max\{1 \leq j \leq h+1 : l|d_j\}$ . Then:*

1. *point 1. of Theorem 1 is not true (see example below)*
2. *for every Puiseux root  $z(t) \in \mathbb{K}((t^{1/M}))$ ,  $M = k!$ , of  $\sqrt[l]{f}(t, Y)$  there exists  $\varepsilon \in U_k(\mathbb{K})$  such that*

$$\text{ord}_t (y(\varepsilon t) - z(t^k)) \geq m_i;$$

3.

$$\text{ord}_t \left( \sqrt[l]{f}(t^k, y(t)) \right) \geq r_i \frac{d_i}{l}$$

*If, in addition,  $l > d_{i+1}$  then the above inequalities are in fact equalities.*

**Example 1.** Take the parametrization  $X = t^{48}$ ,  $Y = 1/(t^{36}) + 1/(t^6) + 1/(t^5)$  and let  $f$  be the minimal monic polynomial for it. Then  $f = Y^{48} + \dots$ . It can be verified that for  $l = 2$   $\sqrt[l]{f} = Y^{24} + \dots$  splits into three irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{C}((X))[Y]$  each of them having partial Puiseux root of the form  $t^{-3/4} + t^{-1/8} + \theta t^{1/8} + \text{h.o.t.}$  It's worth noticing that the divisor  $l = 2$  here is very regular - we have  $d_4 = 1|2|d_3 = 6$  and despite of that irreducibility does not follow.

It is also easy to give examples in the other direction. Let  $X = t^{18}$ ,  $Y = t^{-12} + t^{-2} + t^{-1}$ ,  $l = 3$  and let  $f$  be the minimal monic polynomial for it. Then  $f = Y^{18} + \dots$ . It can be verified that  $\sqrt[l]{f}$  is irreducible.

**Example 2.** Let  $X = t^{18}$ ,  $Y = t^{-12} + at^{-3} + bt^{-1}$ , where  $a, b$  are indeterminates over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $l = 2$ . Then  $l = 2 < \overline{d_{i+1}} = 3$ , so the assumption made in Theorem 2 is not fulfilled. In spite of that we have  $\text{inco}_t \sqrt[l]{f}(t^6, 1/t^4 + Z/t) = -27/2 \cdot Z(-2Z^2 + 3a^2)$ . We conclude, that  $\sqrt[l]{f}$  has two non-conjugate Puiseux roots. One of them is of the form  $z_1(t) = t^{-2/3} + \sqrt{6}/2 \cdot a \cdot t^{-1/6} + \text{h.o.t.}$  whereas  $y(t) = t^{-12} + at^{-3} + bt^{-18}$  so still  $\text{ord}_t(y(t) - z_1(t^{18})) = -3 = m_2$ . Also  $\text{ord}_t(\sqrt[l]{f}(t^{18}, y(t))) = r_2 \frac{d_2}{l} = -81.$

**Problem 1.** *Can we drop the assumption  $l > d_{i+1}$ ?*

**Problem 2.** *If  $\sqrt[l]{f}$  is reducible in  $\mathbb{K}((X)) [Y]$ , do the degrees of the factors divide  $k$ ?*