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(1) Zariski's famous Multiplicity Conjecture, stated by Zariski in 1971, is formulated as follows:

Zariski's Multiplicity Conjecture Let $f, g:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be two reduced complex analytic functions. If there is a homeomorphism $\varphi:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, V(f), 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, V(g), 0\right)$, then $m(V(f), 0)=m(V(g), 0)$.
(2) This is still an open problem for $n>2$ : Zariski gave a positive answer only when $n=2$.
(1) Zariski's famous Multiplicity Conjecture, stated by Zariski in 1971, is formulated as follows:

Zariski's Multiplicity Conjecture Let $f, g:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be two reduced complex analytic functions. If there is a homeomorphism $\varphi:\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, V(f), 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, V(g), 0\right)$, then $m(V(f), 0)=m(V(g), 0)$.
(2) This is still an open problem for $n>2$ : Zariski gave a positive answer only when $n=2$.

In the real case, of course, Zariski's Multiplicity Conjecture does not hold in the same form as in the complex case. However, we have the following conjecture, stated by Fukui, Kurdyka and Paunescu in 2004:

Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's Conjecture Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be two germs at the origin of irreducible real analytic subsets. If $h:\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right)$ is the germ of a subanalytic, arc-analytic and bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism such that $h(X)=Y$, then $m(X, 0) \equiv m(Y, 0) \bmod 2$.

Let us recal that in the real case $m(X, 0)=m\left(X_{\mathbb{C}}, 0\right)$ where $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complexification of $X$. Similarly we define the real degree of real algebraic set.
(1) Several authors approached this conjecture: For example, J.-J. Risler proved that multiplicity mod 2 of a real analytic curve is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms; T. Fukui, K. Kurdyka and L. Paunescu also confirmed the conjecture in the case that $X$ and $Y$ are real analytic curves.
(2) Galette in 2010 showed that multiplicity mod 2 of real
analytic hypersurfaces is invariant under arc-analytic
bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms. Sampaio proved in the real
version of Gau-Lipman's theorem: i.e., multiplicity mod 2 of
real analytic sets is invariant under homeomorphisms
$\varphi:\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right)$ such that $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{-1}$ have a derivative
at the origin.
(3) In this paper, we give a complete, positive answer to
Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's Conjecture. A global version of
this conjecture is also proved.
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(2) G. Valette in 2010 showed that multiplicity $\bmod 2$ of real analytic hypersurfaces is invariant under arc-analytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms. Sampaio proved in the real version of Gau-Lipman's theorem: i.e., multiplicity $\bmod 2$ of real analytic sets is invariant under homeomorphisms $\varphi:\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right)$ such that $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{-1}$ have a derivative at the origin.
this conjecture is also proved.
(1) Several authors approached this conjecture: For example, J.-J. Risler proved that multiplicity mod 2 of a real analytic curve is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms; T. Fukui, K. Kurdyka and L. Paunescu also confirmed the conjecture in the case that $X$ and $Y$ are real analytic curves.
(2) G. Valette in 2010 showed that multiplicity $\bmod 2$ of real analytic hypersurfaces is invariant under arc-analytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms. Sampaio proved in the real version of Gau-Lipman's theorem: i.e., multiplicity $\bmod 2$ of real analytic sets is invariant under homeomorphisms $\varphi:\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right)$ such that $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{-1}$ have a derivative at the origin.
( In this paper, we give a complete, positive answer to Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's Conjecture. A global version of this conjecture is also proved.

Coming back to the complex case, let us list some contributions to Zariski's Multiplicity Conjecture from the Lipschitz point of view. For instance, Neumann and Pichon, with previous contributions of Pham and Teissier and Fernandes, proved that the bi-Lipschitz geometry of plane curves determines the Puiseux pairs, and as a consequence if two germs of complex analytic curves with any codimension are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic (with respect to the outer metric), then they have the same multiplicity.
(1. Comte in 1998 proved that multiplicity of complex analytic germs (not necessarily codimension 1 sets) is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms with the severe assumption that the Lipschitz constants are close enough to 1 . This motivated the following conjecture (Bobadila, Fernandes, Sampaio):
(2) Conjecture 1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be two complex
analytic sets with $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} Y=d$. If their germs at zero
are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic, then their multiplicities
$m(X, 0)$ and $m(Y, 0)$ are equal.
(1) Comte in 1998 proved that multiplicity of complex analytic germs (not necessarily codimension 1 sets) is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms with the severe assumption that the Lipschitz constants are close enough to 1 . This motivated the following conjecture (Bobadila, Fernandes, Sampaio):
(2) Conjecture 1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be two complex analytic sets with $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} Y=d$. If their germs at zero are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic, then their multiplicities $m(X, 0)$ and $m(Y, 0)$ are equal.
(1) Bobadila, Fernandes, Sampaio posed also the following conjecture:
(2) Conjecture 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be two complex algebraic sets with $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} Y=d$. If $X$ and $Y$ are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic at infinity, then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.
(1) Bobadila, Fernandes, Sampaio posed also the following conjecture:
(2) Conjecture 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be two complex algebraic sets with $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} Y=d$. If $X$ and $Y$ are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic at infinity, then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.
(1) Conjectures 1 and 2 are equivalent and, moreover, have positive answers for $d=1$ and $d=2$.
(2) However, Birbrair, Rernandes, Sampaio and Verbitsky disproved these conjectures when $d \geq 3$, by showing explicit counter-examples. More precisely, it was shown that we have two different embeddings of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ into $\mathbb{P}^{5}(\mathbb{C})$, say $X$ and $Y$, such that their affine cones Cone $(X)$, Cone $(Y) \subset \mathbb{C}^{6}$ are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, but they have different degrees.
(1) Conjectures 1 and 2 are equivalent and, moreover, have positive answers for $d=1$ and $d=2$.
(2) However, Birbrair, Rernandes, Sampaio and Verbitsky disproved these conjectures when $d \geq 3$, by showing explicit counter-examples. More precisely, it was shown that we have two different embeddings of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$ into $\mathbb{P}^{5}(\mathbb{C})$, say $X$ and $Y$, such that their affine cones Cone $(X)$, Cone $(Y) \subset \mathbb{C}^{6}$ are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, but they have different degrees.
(1) Recently, Jelonek proved that the multiplicity of complex analytic sets is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms which have analytic graphs, and the degree of complex algebraic sets is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms (at infinity) which have algebraic graph.

(3) We also prove that degree of a complex algebraic set is invariant under semialgebraic semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms at infinity such that the closure of their graphs are orientable homological cycles.
(1) Recently, Jelonek proved that the multiplicity of complex analytic sets is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms which have analytic graphs, and the degree of complex algebraic sets is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms (at infinity) which have algebraic graph.
(2) In this paper, we prove some generalizations of the results proved by Jelonek. For instance, we show that the multiplicity of complex analytic sets is invariant under semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms which have analytic graph and the degree of complex algebraic sets is invariant under semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms at infinity which have algebraic graph.
© We also prove that degree of a complex algebraic set is invariant under semialgebraic semi-bi-Lipschitz
homeomorohisms at infinity such that the closure of their
graphs are orientable homological cycles.
(1) Recently, Jelonek proved that the multiplicity of complex analytic sets is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms which have analytic graphs, and the degree of complex algebraic sets is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms (at infinity) which have algebraic graph.
(2) In this paper, we prove some generalizations of the results proved by Jelonek. For instance, we show that the multiplicity of complex analytic sets is invariant under semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms which have analytic graph and the degree of complex algebraic sets is invariant under semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms at infinity which have algebraic graph.
( We also prove that degree of a complex algebraic set is invariant under semialgebraic semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms at infinity such that the closure of their graphs are orientable homological cycles.
(1) Definition Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be two sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$.
(2) We say that $h$ is Lipschitz if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\|h(x)-h(y)\| \leq C\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in X
$$

(3) We say that $h$ is bi-Lipschitz if $h$ is a homeomorphism, it is Lipschitz and its inverse is also Lipschitz.
(4) We say that $h$ is bi-Lipschitz at infinity (resp. a homeomorphism at infinity) if there exist compact subsets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $K^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that
$\left.h\right|_{X \backslash K}: X \backslash K \rightarrow Y \backslash K^{\prime}$ is bi-Lipschitz (resp. a
homeomorphism).
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(3) We say that $h$ is bi-Lipschitz if $h$ is a homeomorphism, it is Lipschitz and its inverse is also Lipschitz.
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(1) We say that $h$ is semi-Lipschitz at $x_{0} \in X$ if there exist a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|h(x)-h\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leq C\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|, \quad \forall x \in X .
$$

(2) We say that $h$ is semi-bi-Lipschitz if $h$ is a homeomorphism, it is semi-Lipschitz at $x_{0}$ and its inverse is also semi-Lipschitz at $h\left(x_{0}\right)$.
(3) We say that $h$ is semi-bi-Lipschitz at infinity if there exist compact subsets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $K^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that $\left.h\right|_{X \backslash K}: X \backslash K \rightarrow Y \backslash K^{\prime}$ is semi-bi-Lipschitz at some point $x_{0} \in X \backslash K$.
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(1) Now we give a geometric characterization of semi-bi-Lipschitz mappings.
(2) Definition Let $L^{s}, H^{n-s-1}$ be two disjoint linear subspaces of $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\pi_{\infty}$ be a hyperplane (a hyperplane at infinity) and assume that $L^{s} \subset \pi_{\infty}$. The projection $\pi_{L}$ with center $L^{s}$ is the mapping

Here $\langle L, x\rangle$ we mean the linear projective subspace spanned by $L$ and $\{x\}$.
(1) Now we give a geometric characterization of semi-bi-Lipschitz mappings.
(2) Definition Let $L^{s}, H^{n-s-1}$ be two disjoint linear subspaces of $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\pi_{\infty}$ be a hyperplane (a hyperplane at infinity) and assume that $L^{s} \subset \pi_{\infty}$. The projection $\pi_{L}$ with center $L^{s}$ is the mapping
$\pi_{L}: \mathbb{C}^{n}=\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C}) \backslash \pi_{\infty} \ni x \mapsto\left\langle L^{s}, x\right\rangle \cap H^{n-s-1} \in H^{n-s-1} \backslash \pi_{\infty}=\mathbb{C}^{n-s-1}$
Here $\langle L, x\rangle$ we mean the linear projective subspace spanned by $L$ and $\{x\}$.
© Lemma 1 Let $X$ be a closed subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Denote by $\Lambda_{0} \subset \pi_{\infty}$ the set of directions of all secants of $X$ which contain $x_{0}$ and let $\Sigma_{0}=\overline{\Lambda_{0}}$, where $\pi_{\infty}$ is the hyperplane at infinity and we consider the euclidean closure. Let $\pi_{L}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{l}$ be the projection with center $L$. Then $\left.\pi_{L}\right|_{X}$ is semi-bi-Lipschitz at $x_{0}$ if and only if $L \cap \Sigma_{0}=\emptyset$.
(3) Lemma 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a closed set and let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be a semi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Let $Y:=$ graph $(f) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$. Then the mapping $\phi: X \ni x \mapsto(x, f(x)) \in Y$ is a semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism.
(3) Remark It is easy to note that Lemmas 1 and 2 hold in the real case also.
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(c) Lemma 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a closed set and let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be a semi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Let $Y:=\operatorname{graph}(f) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}$. Then the mapping $\phi: X \ni x \mapsto(x, f(x)) \in Y$ is a semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism.
(3) Remark It is easy to note that Lemmas 1 and 2 hold in the real
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(3) Remark It is easy to note that Lemmas 1 and 2 hold in the real case also.

## Definition

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a subset. We say that $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a tangent vector to $A$ at $p \in \bar{A}$ (resp. at infinity) if there is a sequence of points $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A$ such that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{i}-p\right\|=0$ (resp. $\left.\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{i}\right\|=+\infty\right)$ and there is a sequence of positive numbers $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_{i}}\left(x_{i}-p\right)=v \quad\left(\text { resp. } \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_{i}} x_{i}=v\right)
$$

Let $C(A, p)$ (resp. $\left.C_{\infty}(A)\right)$ denote the set of all tangent vectors to $A$ at $p$ (resp. at infinity). The subset $C(A, p)$ (resp. $\left.C_{\infty}(A)\right)$ is called the tangent cone of $A$ at $p$ (resp. at infinity).

## Definition

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be subanalytic sets with $0 \in X$ and $0 \in Y$ and let $h:(X, 0) \rightarrow(Y, 0)$ be a subanalytic Lipschitz mapping. We define the pseudo-derivative of $h$ at $0, d_{0} h: C(X, 0) \rightarrow C(Y, 0)$, by $d_{0} h(v)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{h(\gamma(t))}{t}$, where $\gamma:[0,+\varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ satisfies $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\gamma(t)}{t}=v$.

## Definition

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be semialgebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic Lipschitz mapping. We define the pseudo-derivative of $h$ at infinity $d_{\infty} h: C(X, \infty) \rightarrow C(Y, \infty)$ by $d_{\infty} h(v)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{h(\gamma(t))}{t}$, where $\gamma:(r,+\infty) \rightarrow X$ satisfies $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\gamma(t)}{t}=v$.
(1) Homological cycles.
(2) Let $M$ be a smooth compact manifold of (real) dimension $n$. Given homology classes $\alpha \in H_{k}(M)$ and $\beta \in H_{n-k}(M)$, we choose representative cycles $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$, respectively.
(3) We can assume that every singular simplex appearing in each of these cycles is a smooth mapping and also that any two simplices meet transversally. This means that the only points of intersection are where the interior of a $k$-simplex in $\tilde{\alpha}$ meets the interior of an $(n-k)$-simplex in $\tilde{\beta}$.
(1) Homological cycles.
(2) Let $M$ be a smooth compact manifold of (real) dimension $n$. Given homology classes $\alpha \in H_{k}(M)$ and $\beta \in H_{n-k}(M)$, we choose representative cycles $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$, respectively.
(3) We can assume that every singular simplex appearing in each of these cycles is a smooth mapping and also that any two simplices meet transversally. This means that the only points of intersection are where the interior of a $k$-simplex in $\tilde{\alpha}$ meets the interior of an $(n-k)$-simplex in $\beta$.
(1) Homological cycles.
(2) Let $M$ be a smooth compact manifold of (real) dimension $n$. Given homology classes $\alpha \in H_{k}(M)$ and $\beta \in H_{n-k}(M)$, we choose representative cycles $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$, respectively.
(3) We can assume that every singular simplex appearing in each of these cycles is a smooth mapping and also that any two simplices meet transversally. This means that the only points of intersection are where the interior of a $k$-simplex in $\tilde{\alpha}$ meets the interior of an $(n-k)$-simplex in $\tilde{\beta}$.
(1) At every such point $x$ of intersection both $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are local embeddings and their tangent spaces are complementary in $T_{x} M$. We assign a sign to each point of intersection by comparing the direct sum of the orientations of the tangent spaces of $\tilde{\alpha}$ and of $\tilde{\beta}$ with the ambient orientation of the tangent space of $M$. The sum of the signs over the (finitely many) points of intersection gives the intersection pairing applied to $(\alpha, \beta)$.

(1) At every such point $x$ of intersection both $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are local embeddings and their tangent spaces are complementary in $T_{x} M$. We assign a sign to each point of intersection by comparing the direct sum of the orientations of the tangent spaces of $\tilde{\alpha}$ and of $\tilde{\beta}$ with the ambient orientation of the tangent space of $M$. The sum of the signs over the (finitely many) points of intersection gives the intersection pairing applied to $(\alpha, \beta)$.
(2) If $M=\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$, then $H_{2 i}(M, \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$ and $H_{2 i-1}(M, \mathbb{Z})=0$. The space $H_{2 i}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the class $L^{n-i}$ where $L$ is a hyperplane, and we consider it as an algebraic cycle. Hence every $2 i$-dimensional homological cycle $\alpha$ can be described as $d L^{n-i}$. We say that the number $|d|$ is the topological degree of $\alpha$. Note that if $X \subset M$ is an $i$-dimensional projective subvariety, then the algebraic degree of $X$ coincides with the topological degree.
(1) Similarly, if $M=\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$, then $H_{i}(M, \mathbb{Z} /(2))=\mathbb{Z} /(2)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. The space $H_{i}(M, \mathbb{Z} /(2))$ is generated by the class $L^{n-i}$ where $L$ is a hyperplane and we consider it as an algebraic cycle. Hence every $i$-dimensional homological cycle $\alpha$ can be described as $d L^{n-i}$. We say that the number $d$ is the topological degree mod 2 of $\alpha$. Note that if $X \subset M$ is an $i$-dimensional projective subvariety, then the algebraic degree mod 2 coincides with the topological degree.
set of dimension $d$. We say that $X$ is a homological cycle over $R$, if there exists a stratification $\mathcal{S}$ of $X$ such that it gives on $X$ a structure of a $R$-homological $d$-cycle $\alpha$. We say that this cycle is orientable if $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and $[\alpha] \neq 0$ in algebraic variety, then it is an orientable homological cycle.
(1) Similarly, if $M=\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$, then $H_{i}(M, \mathbb{Z} /(2))=\mathbb{Z} /(2)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. The space $H_{i}(M, \mathbb{Z} /(2))$ is generated by the class $L^{n-i}$ where $L$ is a hyperplane and we consider it as an algebraic cycle. Hence every $i$-dimensional homological cycle $\alpha$ can be described as $d L^{n-i}$. We say that the number $d$ is the topological degree mod 2 of $\alpha$. Note that if $X \subset M$ is an $i$-dimensional projective subvariety, then the algebraic degree mod 2 coincides with the topological degree.
(2) Let $R=\mathbb{Z}$ or $R=\mathbb{Z} /(2)$. Let $X$ be a compact semi-algebraic set of dimension $d$. We say that $X$ is a homological cycle over $R$, if there exists a stratification $\mathcal{S}$ of $X$ such that it gives on $X$ a structure of a $R$-homological $d$-cycle $\alpha$. We say that this cycle is orientable if $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and $[\alpha] \neq 0$ in $H_{d}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. It is well known that if $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ is an irreducible algebraic variety, then it is an orientable homological cycle.
(1) Theorem 1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be complex algebraic varieties of dimension $d$ and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that the closure of $\operatorname{Graph}(h)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}(\mathbb{C})$ is an orientable homological cycle. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.
(2) In the same way (in fact the proof is simpler, because we do not have to control the orientation) we have:
(3) Theorem 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that the projective closure of the graph of $h$ is a $\mathbb{Z} /(2)$ homological cycle. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y) \bmod 2$.
(1) Theorem 1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be complex algebraic varieties of dimensiond and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that the closure of Graph $(h)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}(\mathbb{C})$ is an orientable homological cycle. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.
(2) In the same way (in fact the proof is simpler, because we do not have to control the orientation) we have:
(3) Theorem 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that the projective closure of the graph of $h$ is a $\mathbb{Z} /(2)$ homological cycle. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y) \bmod 2$.
(1) Theorem 1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be complex algebraic varieties of dimensiond and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that the closure of Graph $(h)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}(\mathbb{C})$ is an orientable homological cycle. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.
(2) In the same way (in fact the proof is simpler, because we do not have to control the orientation) we have:
(3) Theorem 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that the projective closure of the graph of $h$ is a $\mathbb{Z} /(2)$ homological cycle. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y) \bmod 2$.
(1) Theorem 2 holds if $X, Y$ are subanalytic sets with subanalytic projective closures, which are homological cycles, e.g, for subanalytic cones with Euler links.

(0) Corollary 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be complex algebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that $d_{\infty} h$ is $\mathbb{C}$-homogeneous. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.
(4) Corollary 3 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be complex algebraic sets
and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and bi-Lipschitz
homeomorphism. Assume that $T_{\infty} X$ is a full cone and $d_{\infty} h$
is $\mathbb{R}$-homogeneous. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$
(1) Theorem 2 holds if $X, Y$ are subanalytic sets with subanalytic projective closures, which are homological cycles, e.g, for subanalytic cones with Euler links.
(2) Corollary 1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be complex algebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Assume that $h$ is semi-bi-Lipschitz at infinity and its graph is a complex algebraic set. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.

(1) Theorem 2 holds if $X, Y$ are subanalytic sets with subanalytic projective closures, which are homological cycles, e.g, for subanalytic cones with Euler links.
(2) Corollary 1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be complex algebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Assume that $h$ is semi-bi-Lipschitz at infinity and its graph is a complex algebraic set. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.
(3) Corollary 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be complex algebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that $d_{\infty} h$ is $\mathbb{C}$-homogeneous.
Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.

(1) Theorem 2 holds if $X, Y$ are subanalytic sets with subanalytic projective closures, which are homological cycles, e.g, for subanalytic cones with Euler links.
(2) Corollary 1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be complex algebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Assume that $h$ is semi-bi-Lipschitz at infinity and its graph is a complex algebraic set. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.
(0) Corollary 2 Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be complex algebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that $d_{\infty} h$ is $\mathbb{C}$-homogeneous. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.
(1) Corollary 3 Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be complex algebraic sets and let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic and bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that $T_{\infty} X$ is a full cone and $d_{\infty} h$ is $\mathbb{R}$-homogeneous. Then $\operatorname{deg}(X)=\operatorname{deg}(Y)$.
(1) Definition(Parusiński) We say that $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}(\mathbb{R})$ is arc-symmetric if for any analytic arc $\gamma:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma((-1,0)) \subset E$, we have $\gamma((0, \epsilon)) \subset E$, for some $\epsilon>0$.
(2) Theorem Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic $d$-dimensional sets and let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. If the graph of $h$ is arc-symmetric, then $\operatorname{deg}(A) \equiv \operatorname{deg}(B) \bmod 2$.
(3) Corollary Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic $d$-dimensional sets and let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a polynomial (or even regular) and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then $\operatorname{deg}(A) \equiv \operatorname{deg}(B) \bmod 2$.
(1) Definition(Parusiński) We say that $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}(\mathbb{R})$ is arc-symmetric if for any analytic arc $\gamma:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma((-1,0)) \subset E$, we have $\gamma((0, \epsilon)) \subset E$, for some $\epsilon>0$.
(2) Theorem Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic $d$-dimensional sets and let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. If the graph of $h$ is arc-symmetric, then $\operatorname{deg}(A) \equiv \operatorname{deg}(B) \bmod 2$.
(3) Corollary Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic $d$-dimensional sets and let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a polynomial (or even regular) and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then $\operatorname{deg}(A) \equiv \operatorname{deg}(B) \bmod 2$.
(1) Definition(Parusiński) We say that $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}(\mathbb{R})$ is arc-symmetric if for any analytic arc $\gamma:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma((-1,0)) \subset E$, we have $\gamma((0, \epsilon)) \subset E$, for some $\epsilon>0$.
(2) Theorem Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic $d$-dimensional sets and let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. If the graph of $h$ is arc-symmetric, then $\operatorname{deg}(A) \equiv \operatorname{deg}(B) \bmod 2$.
(3) Corollary Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic $d$-dimensional sets and let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a polynomial (or even regular) and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism.
Then $\operatorname{deg}(A) \equiv \operatorname{deg}(B) \bmod 2$.
(1) Definition Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be analytic subsets and let $\bar{X}$ be the closure of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$. We say that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is arc-analytic at $\bar{X}$ if for any analytic arc $\gamma:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma((-1,0) \cup(0,1)) \subset X$, we have $\left.f \circ \gamma\right|_{(-1,0) \cup(0,1)}$ extends to an analytic arc $\tilde{\gamma}:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m}(\mathbb{R})$.

The global version of Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's
Conjecture Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic
$d$ - $\operatorname{dimensional~sets,~let~} \bar{A}$ be the closure of $A$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and
let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz
homeomorphism. Assume that $h$ is arc-analytic at $\bar{A}$. Then
$\operatorname{deg}(A) \equiv \operatorname{deg}(B) \bmod 2$.
(1) Definition Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be analytic subsets and let $\bar{X}$ be the closure of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$. We say that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is arc-analytic at $\bar{X}$ if for any analytic arc $\gamma:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma((-1,0) \cup(0,1)) \subset X$, we have $\left.f \circ \gamma\right|_{(-1,0) \cup(0,1)}$ extends to an analytic arc $\tilde{\gamma}:(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m}(\mathbb{R})$.
(2) The global version of Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's Conjecture Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be real algebraic $d$-dimensional sets, let $\bar{A}$ be the closure of $A$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $h: A \rightarrow B$ be a semialgebraic and semi-bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Assume that $h$ is arc-analytic at $\bar{A}$. Then $\operatorname{deg}(A) \equiv \operatorname{deg}(B) \bmod 2$.
(1) Definition The mapping $\beta_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by $\beta_{n}(x, r)=r x$ is called the spherical blowing-up (at the origin) of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(2) Note that $\beta_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ is a
homeomorphism with inverse
$\beta_{n}^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times(0,+\infty)$ given by $\beta_{n}^{-1}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|},\|x\|\right)$.
(3) Definition. The strict transform of the subset $X$ under the spherical blowing-up $\beta_{n}$ is $X^{\prime}:=\beta_{n}^{-1}(X \backslash\{0\})$ and the boundary $\partial X^{\prime}$ of the strict transform is
(4) Note that $\partial X^{\prime}=C_{X} \times\{0\}$, where $C_{X}=C(X, 0) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.
(1) Definition The mapping $\beta_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by $\beta_{n}(x, r)=r x$ is called the spherical blowing-up (at the origin) of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(2) Note that $\beta_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ is a homeomorphism with inverse $\beta_{n}^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times(0,+\infty)$ given by $\beta_{n}^{-1}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|},\|x\|\right)$.
(3) Definition. The strict transform of the subset $X$ under the spherical blowing-up $\beta_{n}$ is $X^{\prime}:=\beta_{n}^{-1}(X \backslash\{0\})$ and the boundary $\partial X^{\prime}$ of the strict transform is
(4) Note that $\partial X^{\prime}=C_{X} \times\{0\}$, where $C_{X}=C(X, 0) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.
(1) Definition The mapping $\beta_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by $\beta_{n}(x, r)=r x$ is called the spherical blowing-up (at the origin) of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(2) Note that $\beta_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ is a homeomorphism with inverse $\beta_{n}^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times(0,+\infty)$ given by $\beta_{n}^{-1}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|},\|x\|\right)$.
(0) Definition. The strict transform of the subset $X$ under the spherical blowing-up $\beta_{n}$ is $X^{\prime}:=\overline{\beta_{n}^{-1}(X \backslash\{0\})}$ and the boundary $\partial X^{\prime}$ of the strict transform is $\partial X^{\prime}:=X^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times\{0\}\right)$.
(1) Definition The mapping $\beta_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by $\beta_{n}(x, r)=r x$ is called the spherical blowing-up (at the origin) of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(2) Note that $\beta_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ is a homeomorphism with inverse $\beta_{n}^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times(0,+\infty)$ given by $\beta_{n}^{-1}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|},\|x\|\right)$.
(3) Definition. The strict transform of the subset $X$ under the spherical blowing-up $\beta_{n}$ is $X^{\prime}:=\overline{\beta_{n}^{-1}(X \backslash\{0\})}$ and the boundary $\partial X^{\prime}$ of the strict transform is $\partial X^{\prime}:=X^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times\{0\}\right)$.
(1) Note that $\partial X^{\prime}=C_{X} \times\{0\}$, where $C_{X}=C(X, 0) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.
(1) Definition Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a subanalytic set such that $0 \in \bar{X}$ is a non-isolated point. We say that $x \in \partial X^{\prime}$ is a simple point of $\partial X^{\prime}$ if there is an open $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $x \in U$ such that:
a) the connected components of $\left(X^{\prime} \cap U\right) \backslash \partial X^{\prime}$, say $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$. are $C^{1}$ manifolds with $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}=\operatorname{dim} X, i=1$,
b) $\left(X_{i} \cup \partial X^{\prime}\right) \cap U$ are $C^{1}$ manifolds with boundary.

$$
\text { By } \operatorname{Smp}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right) \text { we denote the set of simple points of } \partial X^{\prime} \text {. }
$$

(0) Definition Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a subanalytic set such that $0 \in \bar{X}$ is a non-isolated point. We say that $x \in \partial X^{\prime}$ is a simple point of $\partial X^{\prime}$ if there is an open $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $x \in U$ such that:
a) the connected components of $\left(X^{\prime} \cap U\right) \backslash \partial X^{\prime}$, say $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$, are $C^{1}$ manifolds with $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}=\operatorname{dim} X, i=1, \ldots, r$;

By $\operatorname{Smp}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right)$ we denote the set of simple points of $\partial X^{\prime}$.
(0) Definition Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a subanalytic set such that $0 \in \bar{X}$ is a non-isolated point. We say that $x \in \partial X^{\prime}$ is a simple point of $\partial X^{\prime}$ if there is an open $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $x \in U$ such that:
a) the connected components of $\left(X^{\prime} \cap U\right) \backslash \partial X^{\prime}$, say $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}$, are $C^{1}$ manifolds with $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}=\operatorname{dim} X, i=1, \ldots, r$;
b) $\left(X_{i} \cup \partial X^{\prime}\right) \cap U$ are $C^{1}$ manifolds with boundary.

By $\operatorname{Smp}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right)$ we denote the set of simple points of $\partial X^{\prime}$.
(1) Definition. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a subanalytic set such that $0 \in X$. We define $k_{X}: \operatorname{Smp}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, by letting $k_{X}(x)$ be the number of connected components of the germ $\left(\beta_{n}^{-1}(X \backslash\{0\}), x\right)$.
(2) Remark. It is clear that the function $k_{X}$ is locally constant. In fact, $k_{X}$ is constant in each connected component $C_{j}$ of $\operatorname{Smp}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right)$. Then, we define $k_{X}\left(C_{j}\right):=k_{X}(x)$ with $x \in C_{j}$.
(1) Definition. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a subanalytic set such that $0 \in X$. We define $k_{X}: \operatorname{Smp}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, by letting $k_{X}(x)$ be the number of connected components of the germ $\left(\beta_{n}^{-1}(X \backslash\{0\}), x\right)$.
(2) Remark. It is clear that the function $k_{X}$ is locally constant. In fact, $k_{X}$ is constant in each connected component $C_{j}$ of $\operatorname{Smp}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right)$. Then, we define $k_{X}\left(C_{j}\right):=k_{X}(x)$ with $x \in C_{j}$.
(1) Definition. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a real analytic set. We denote by $C_{X}^{\prime}$ the closure of the union of all connected components $C_{j}$ of $\operatorname{Smp}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right)$ such that $k_{X}\left(C_{j}\right)$ is an odd number. We call $C_{X}^{\prime}$ the odd part of $C_{X} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n}$.
(2) Definition. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $C \subset A$ be subanalytic sets and $\pi: A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous mapping. If $\#\left(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap C\right)$ is constant mod 2 for a generic $x \in B$, we define the degree of $C$ with respect to $\pi$ to be $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi}(C):=\#\left(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap C\right) \bmod 2$, for a generic $x \in B$.

(1) Definition. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a real analytic set. We denote by $C_{X}^{\prime}$ the closure of the union of all connected components $C_{j}$ of $\operatorname{Smp}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right)$ such that $k_{X}\left(C_{j}\right)$ is an odd number. We call $C_{X}^{\prime}$ the odd part of $C_{X} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n}$.
(2) Definition. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $C \subset A$ be subanalytic sets and $\pi: A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous mapping. If $\#\left(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap C\right)$ is constant $\bmod 2$ for a generic $x \in B$, we define the degree of $C$ with respect to $\pi$ to be $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi}(C):=\#\left(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap C\right) \bmod 2$, for a generic $x \in B$.
(3) Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a subanalytic set. If $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi}(X)$ is defined and does not depend on a generic projection $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$, then we denote $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi}(X)$ just by $\operatorname{deg}_{2}(X)$.
(1) Definition. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a real analytic set. We denote by $C_{X}^{\prime}$ the closure of the union of all connected components $C_{j}$ of $\operatorname{Smp}\left(\partial X^{\prime}\right)$ such that $k_{X}\left(C_{j}\right)$ is an odd number. We call $C_{X}^{\prime}$ the odd part of $C_{X} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n}$.
(2) Definition. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $C \subset A$ be subanalytic sets and $\pi: A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous mapping. If $\#\left(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap C\right)$ is constant $\bmod 2$ for a generic $x \in B$, we define the degree of $C$ with respect to $\pi$ to be $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi}(C):=\#\left(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap C\right) \bmod 2$, for a generic $x \in B$.
(3) Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a subanalytic set. If $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi}(X)$ is defined and does not depend on a generic projection $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$, then we denote $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi}(X)$ just by $\operatorname{deg}_{2}(X)$.
(1) If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an algebraic set then $\operatorname{deg}_{2}(X)$ is defined and $\operatorname{deg}_{2}(X) \equiv \operatorname{deg}(X) \bmod 2$. Moreover it coincides with the topological degree of the projective closure of $X$.

(1) If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an algebraic set then $\operatorname{deg}_{2}(X)$ is defined and $\operatorname{deg}_{2}(X) \equiv \operatorname{deg}(X) \bmod 2$. Moreover it coincides with the topological degree of the projective closure of $X$.
(2) Proposition Valette-Sampaio. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a $d$-dimensional real analytic set with $0 \in X$ and $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$ be a projection such that $\pi^{-1}(0) \cap C\left(X_{\mathbb{C}}, 0\right)=\{0\}$. Let $\pi^{\prime}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \backslash \pi^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ be the mapping given by $\pi^{\prime}(u)=\frac{\pi(u)}{\|\pi(u)\|}$. Then $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is defined and satisfies $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2$.
(1) Definition An $(n-1)$-dimensional subanalytic set $C$ is said to be an Euler cycle if it is a closed set and if, for a stratification of $C$ (and hence for any that refines it), the number of $(n-1)$-dimensional strata containing a given $(n-2)$-dimensional stratum in their closure is even.
(2) We say that a set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is $a$-invariant if it is preserved by the antipodal mapping (i.e. $a(C)=C$, with $a(x)=-x$ ).
(3) Rremark Let $C \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be an $a$-invariant Euler cycle. If $C^{\prime}$ is a cone over $C$, then the projective closure $\overline{C^{\prime}}$ of $C^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$ is a $\mathbb{Z} /(2)$ homological cycle.
(1) Definition An $(n-1)$-dimensional subanalytic set $C$ is said to be an Euler cycle if it is a closed set and if, for a stratification of $C$ (and hence for any that refines it), the number of $(n-1)$-dimensional strata containing a given $(n-2)$-dimensional stratum in their closure is even.
(2) We say that a set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is $a$-invariant if it is preserved by the antipodal mapping (i.e. $a(C)=C$, with $a(x)=-x$ ).
(3) Rremark Let $C \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be an $a$-invariant Euler cycle. If $C^{\prime}$ is a cone over $C$, then the projective closure $\overline{C^{\prime}}$ of $C^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$ is a $\mathbb{Z} /(2)$ homological cycle.
(1) Definition An $(n-1)$-dimensional subanalytic set $C$ is said to be an Euler cycle if it is a closed set and if, for a stratification of $C$ (and hence for any that refines it), the number of $(n-1)$-dimensional strata containing a given $(n-2)$-dimensional stratum in their closure is even.
(2) We say that a set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is $a$-invariant if it is preserved by the antipodal mapping (i.e. $a(C)=C$, with $a(x)=-x$ ).
(3) Rremark Let $C \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be an $a$-invariant Euler cycle. If $C^{\prime}$ is a cone over $C$, then the projective closure $\overline{C^{\prime}}$ of $C^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{R})$ is a $\mathbb{Z} /(2)$ homological cycle.
(1) Definition Let $M$ and $N$ be analytic manifolds. Let $X \subset M$ and $Y \subset N$ be analytic subsets. We say that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is arc-analytic if for any analytic arc $\gamma:(-1,1) \rightarrow X$, the mapping $f \circ \gamma$ is an analytic arc as well.
(2) The Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's Conjecture Let $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right),(Y, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, 0\right)$ be germs of real a alytic sets and let $h:(X, 0) \rightarrow(Y, 0)$ be a subanalytic arc-analytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then $m(X, 0) \equiv m(Y, 0) \bmod 2$.
(1) Definition Let $M$ and $N$ be analytic manifolds. Let $X \subset M$ and $Y \subset N$ be analytic subsets. We say that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is arc-analytic if for any analytic arc $\gamma:(-1,1) \rightarrow X$, the mapping $f \circ \gamma$ is an analytic arc as well.
(2) The Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's Conjecture Let $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right),(Y, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, 0\right)$ be germs of real analytic sets and let $h:(X, 0) \rightarrow(Y, 0)$ be a subanalytic arc-analytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then $m(X, 0) \equiv m(Y, 0) \bmod 2$.

## (1) Proof.

(2) By previous Sampaio results we can assume that $C_{X}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$.
(8) The degree of $C_{X}^{\prime}$ with respect to $\pi^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right)$, is well defined and $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2$, where $\pi=p \mid \mathbb{R}^{n}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\pi^{1}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \backslash \pi^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is given by $\pi^{\prime}(u)=\frac{\pi(u)}{\|\pi(u)\|}$.
(4) $C_{X}^{\prime}$ is $a$-invariant and it is an Euler cycle.
(6) If $C^{\prime}(X, 0)$ is the cone over $C_{X}^{\prime}$, then the topological degree of $C^{\prime}(X, 0)$ is well defined and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\overline{C^{\prime}(X, 0)}\right) \equiv \operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2
$$

(1) Proof.
(2) By previous Sampaio results we can assume that $C_{X}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$.
(8) The degree of $C_{X}^{\prime}$ with respect to $\pi^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right)$, is well defined and $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2$, where $\pi=\left.p\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\pi^{\prime}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \backslash \pi^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is given by $\pi^{\prime}(u)=\frac{\pi(u)}{\|\pi(u)\|}$.
(4) $C_{X}^{\prime}$ is $a$-invariant and it is an Euler cycle.
(5) If $C^{\prime}(X, 0)$ is the cone over $C_{X}^{\prime}$, then the topological degree of $C^{\prime}(X, 0)$ is well defined and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\overline{C^{\prime}(X, 0)}\right) \equiv \operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2
$$

## (1) Proof.

(2) By previous Sampaio results we can assume that $C_{X}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$.
(3) The degree of $C_{X}^{\prime}$ with respect to $\pi^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right)$, is well defined and $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2$, where $\pi=\left.p\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\pi^{\prime}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \backslash \pi^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is given by $\pi^{\prime}(u)=\frac{\pi(u)}{\|\pi(u)\|}$.
(1) $C_{X}^{\prime}$ is $a$-invariant and it is an Euler cycle.
(0) If $C^{\prime}(X, 0)$ is the cone over $C_{X}^{\prime}$, then the topological degree of $C^{\prime}(X, 0)$ is well defined and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\overline{C^{\prime}(X, 0)}\right) \equiv \operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2 .
$$

## (1) Proof.

(2) By previous Sampaio results we can assume that $C_{X}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$.
(3) The degree of $C_{X}^{\prime}$ with respect to $\pi^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right)$, is well defined and $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2$, where $\pi=\left.p\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\pi^{\prime}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \backslash \pi^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is given by $\pi^{\prime}(u)=\frac{\pi(u)}{\|\pi(u)\|}$.
(9) $C_{X}^{\prime}$ is $a$-invariant and it is an Euler cycle.
( If $C^{\prime}(X, 0)$ is the cone over $C_{X}^{\prime}$, then the topological degree of $C^{\prime}(X, 0)$ is well defined and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\overline{C^{\prime}(X, O)}\right)=\operatorname{der}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2 .
$$

## (1) Proof.

(2) By previous Sampaio results we can assume that $C_{X}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$.
(3) The degree of $C_{X}^{\prime}$ with respect to $\pi^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right)$, is well defined and $\operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2$, where $\pi=p \mid \mathbb{R}^{n}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\pi^{\prime}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \backslash \pi^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is given by $\pi^{\prime}(u)=\frac{\pi(u)}{\|\pi(u)\|}$.
(9) $C_{X}^{\prime}$ is $a$-invariant and it is an Euler cycle.
(0. If $C^{\prime}(X, 0)$ is the cone over $C_{X}^{\prime}$, then the topological degree of $\overline{C^{\prime}(X, 0)}$ is well defined and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\overline{C^{\prime}(X, 0)}\right) \equiv \operatorname{deg}_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(C_{X}^{\prime}\right) \equiv m(X, 0) \bmod 2 .
$$

(1) The mapping $\psi=\left.d_{0} h\right|_{C^{\prime}(X, 0)}: C^{\prime}(X, 0) \rightarrow C^{\prime}(Y, 0)$ is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism with $\mathbb{R}$-homogenous $d_{\infty} \psi=\psi$ hence by Corollary 3 we have $\operatorname{deg} \overline{C^{\prime}(X, 0)}=\operatorname{deg}$ $\overline{C^{\prime}(Y, 0)}$, i.e., $m(X, 0)=m(Y, 0)$.
(2) Remark. Our Theorem proves even more than stated in Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's Conjecture, since we do not require that the sets $X$ and $Y$ have to be irreducible or that $h$ has to be defined on a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(1) The mapping $\psi=\left.d_{0} h\right|_{C^{\prime}(X, 0)}: C^{\prime}(X, 0) \rightarrow C^{\prime}(Y, 0)$ is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism with $\mathbb{R}$-homogenous $d_{\infty} \psi=\psi$ hence by Corollary 3 we have $\operatorname{deg} \overline{C^{\prime}(X, 0)}=\operatorname{deg}$ $\overline{C^{\prime}(Y, 0)}$, i.e., $m(X, 0)=m(Y, 0)$.
(2) Remark. Our Theorem proves even more than stated in Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's Conjecture, since we do not require that the sets $X$ and $Y$ have to be irreducible or that $h$ has to be defined on a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(1) Theorem Let $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right),(Y, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right)$ be germs of complex analytic sets and let $h:(X, 0) \rightarrow(Y, 0)$ be a germ of homeomorphism which is also semi-bi-Lipschitz at 0 . Assume that the graph of $h$ is a complex analytic set. Then $m(X, 0)=m(Y, 0)$.
(2) Theorem. Let $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right),(Y, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right)$ be germs of analytic sets and let $h:(X, 0) \rightarrow(Y, 0)$ be a subanalytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. If $d_{0} h$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ - homogenous mapping, then $m(X, 0)=m(Y, 0)$.
(1) Theorem Let $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right),(Y, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right)$ be germs of complex analytic sets and let $h:(X, 0) \rightarrow(Y, 0)$ be a germ of homeomorphism which is also semi-bi-Lipschitz at 0 . Assume that the graph of $h$ is a complex analytic set. Then $m(X, 0)=m(Y, 0)$.
(2) Theorem. Let $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right),(Y, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right)$ be germs of analytic sets and let $h:(X, 0) \rightarrow(Y, 0)$ be a subanalytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. If $d_{0} h$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ - homogenous mapping, then $m(X, 0)=m(Y, 0)$.
(1) We cannot expect invariance of multiplicity without mod 2 in Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's Conjecture:


Then $X$ and $Y$ are irreducible real analytic sets such that $m(X, 0)=3$ and $m(Y, 0)=5$. Moreover, $h$ is a semialgebraic arc-analytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism such that $h(X)=Y$.
(1) We cannot expect invariance of multiplicity without mod 2 in Fukui-Kurdyka-Paunescu's Conjecture:
(2) Example. Consider $X=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; z\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)=y^{3}\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; z\left(x^{4}+y^{4}\right)=y^{5}\right\}$. Let $h:\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, 0\right)$ be the mapping given by

$$
h(x, y, z)= \begin{cases}\left(x, y, z-\frac{y^{3}}{x^{2}+y^{2}}+\frac{y^{5}}{x^{4}+y^{4}}\right) & \text { if } x^{2}+y^{2} \neq 0 \\ (0,0, z) & \text { if } x^{2}+y^{2}=0\end{cases}
$$

Then $X$ and $Y$ are irreducible real analytic sets such that $m(X, 0)=3$ and $m(Y, 0)=5$. Moreover, $h$ is a semialgebraic arc-analytic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism such that $h(X)=Y$.
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