

PROPER HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS BETWEEN SYMMETRIZED ELLIPSOIDS

PAWEŁ ZAPAŁOWSKI

For $n \geq 2$ and $p > 0$ let

$$\mathbb{B}_{p,n} := \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^{2p} < 1\}$$

denote the *generalized complex ellipsoid*. We shall write $\mathbb{B}_n := \mathbb{B}_{1,n}$, $\mathbb{T} := \partial\mathbb{B}_1$. Note that $\mathbb{B}_{p,n}$ is bounded, complete Reinhardt domain.

Let $\pi_n = (\pi_{n,1}, \dots, \pi_{n,n}) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be defined as follows

$$\pi_{n,k}(z) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n} z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Note that π_n is a proper holomorphic mapping with multiplicity $n!$, $\pi_n|_{\mathbb{B}_{p,n}} : \mathbb{B}_{p,n} \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathbb{B}_{p,n})$ is proper too.

The set

$$\mathbb{E}_{p,n} := \pi_n(\mathbb{B}_{p,n})$$

is called the *symmetrized (p,n) -ellipsoid*. Note that $\mathbb{E}_{p,n}$ is bounded $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ -balanced domain (recall that a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called the (k_1, \dots, k_n) -balanced, where $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $(\lambda^{k_1} z_1, \dots, \lambda^{k_n} z_n) \in D$ for any $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in D$ and $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_1$).

Our aim is to give necessary and sufficient condition for existence of the proper holomorphic mappings between the symmetrized ellipsoids.

Here is some notation. Let \mathfrak{S}_n denote the group of permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ denote $z_\sigma := (z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(n)})$. Next, for any $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ put $A_* := A \setminus \{0\}$, $A_*^n := (A_*)^n$. Moreover, for any $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$ we put $zw := (z_1 w_1, \dots, z_n w_n)$, $tz := (tz_1, \dots, tz_n)$, and $z^r := (z_1^r, \dots, z_n^r)$.

Remark 1. (a) Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that $\mathbb{C}^n \ni z \mapsto \pi_n(z^l) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a symmetric polynomial mapping. According to the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials (see e.g. [7]) there is a unique polynomial mapping $P_l : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\pi_n(z^l) = P_l(\pi_n(z))$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. In particular, $P_l(\mathbb{E}_{p,n}) = \mathbb{E}_{p/l,n}$ for any $p > 0$.

(b) Fix $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}$ and put $L := (L_1, \dots, L_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, where

$$L_j(z) := A \sum_{k=1}^n z_k + B z_j + C, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Observe that $\pi_n \circ L$ is a symmetric polynomial mapping. According to the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials there is a unique polynomial mapping $S_L : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\pi_n \circ L = S_L \circ \pi_n$.

Now we are in position to formulate our main result.

Theorem 2. *There exists proper holomorphic mapping $f : \mathbb{E}_{p,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{q,n}$ iff $p/q \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, if $p/q \in \mathbb{N}$, the only proper holomorphic mappings $f : \mathbb{E}_{p,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{q,n}$*

(a) in case $p \neq 1$, or $q \neq 1/(2m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, or $n \neq 2$ are of the form

$$(1) \quad f = P_{p/q} \circ \phi,$$

where $P_{p/q}$ is as in Remark 1 (a) and ϕ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{E}_{p,n}$;

(b) in case $p = 1$, $q = 1/(2m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n = 2$ are of the form (1) or

$$f = P_m \circ \phi_{III} \circ P_2 \circ \phi_{II},$$

where ϕ_{II} (resp. ϕ_{III}) is the automorphism of $\mathbb{E}_{1,2}$ (resp. $\mathbb{E}_{1/2,2}$) defined in Corollary 4.

Corollary 3. Let $f : \mathbb{E}_{p,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{p,n}$ be a proper holomorphic self-mapping. Then f is an automorphism.

Corollary 4. (a) If $p \neq 1$ and $(p, n) \neq (1/2, 2)$ then the only automorphisms of $\mathbb{E}_{p,n}$ are of the form

$$(2) \quad \phi_I(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (\zeta z_1, \zeta^2 z_2, \dots, \zeta^n z_n), \quad (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{E}_{p,n},$$

where $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.

(b) The only automorphisms of $\mathbb{E}_{1,n}$, are of the form

$$(3) \quad \phi_{II}(z) = \left(\frac{S_{L_{\varphi_{II},1}}(z)}{n(1-a_0 z_1)}, \dots, \frac{S_{L_{\varphi_{II},n}}(z)}{n^n(1-a_0 z_1)^n} \right), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{E}_{1,n},$$

where $S_{L_{\varphi_{II}}} = (S_{L_{\varphi_{II},1}}, \dots, S_{L_{\varphi_{II},n}})$ is the polynomial mapping as in Remark 1 (b) induced by $L_{\varphi_{II}} = (L_{\varphi_{II},1}, \dots, L_{\varphi_{II},n}) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, where

$$L_{\varphi_{II},j}(z_1, \dots, z_n) := \zeta_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n z_k - n a_0 \right) + \zeta_2 \sqrt{1 - n a_0^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n z_k - n z_j \right),$$

for some $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{T}$, $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_0^2 < \frac{1}{n}$.

(c) The only automorphisms of $\mathbb{E}_{1/2,2}$ are of the form (2) or

$$(4) \quad \phi_{III}(z_1, z_2) = \left(\zeta z_1, \zeta^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} z_1^2 - z_2 \right) \right), \quad (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{E}_{1/2,2},$$

where $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.

Remark 5. It should be mentioned that the automorphisms of the form (2) are special cases of the automorphisms of the form (3).

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Alexander, *Holomorphic mappings from the ball and polydisc*, Math. Ann. **209** (1974), 249–256.
- [2] H. Alexander, *Proper holomorphic mappings in \mathbb{C}^n* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. **26** (1977), 137–146.
- [3] G. Dini, A. Selvaggi Primicerio, *Proper holomorphic mappings between generalized pseudoellipsoids*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **158** (1991), 219–229.
- [4] A. Edigarian, W. Zwonk, *Geometry of the symmetrized polydisc*, Arch. Math. **84** (2005), 364–374.
- [5] Ł. Kosiński, *Geometry of quasi-circular domains and applications to tetrablock*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), 559–569.
- [6] M. Landucci, *On the proper holomorphic equivalence for a class of pseudoconvex domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **282** (1984), 807–811.
- [7] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, second ed.*, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [8] P. Zapalowski, *Geometry of symmetrized ellipsoids*, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. **46** (2008), 105–116.