

PROPER HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS BETWEEN COMPLEX ELLIPSOIDS AND GENERALIZED HARTOGS TRIANGLES

PAWEŁ ZAPAŁOWSKI

For any bounded domains $D, G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ let $\text{Prop}(D, G)$ denote the set of proper holomorphic mappings $F : D \rightarrow G$, where proper, as usual, means $F^{-1}(K)$ compact in D for every compact $K \subset G$, and let $\text{Aut}(D)$ denote the automorphism group of D , i.e. the set of all biholomorphic self-mappings $F : D \rightarrow D$. Moreover, we shall write $\text{Prop}(D) := \text{Prop}(D, D)$.

Our aim is to characterize the sets $\text{Prop}(D, G)$ and $\text{Aut}(D)$ when D, G belong either to the class of the complex ellipsoids or the so-called generalized Hartogs triangles.

Here is some notation. Let Σ_n denote the group of the permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ denote $z_\sigma := (z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(n)})$ and $\Sigma_n(z) := \{\sigma \in \Sigma_n : z_\sigma = z\}$. We shall also write $\sigma(z) := z_\sigma$.

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ put

$$\Psi_\alpha(z) := z^\alpha := (z_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, z_n^{\alpha_n}), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

If, moreover, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ we shall write $\alpha\beta := (\alpha_1\beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n\beta_n)$ and $1/\beta := (1/\beta_1, \dots, 1/\beta_n)$.

Finally, let $\mathbb{U}(n)$ denote the set of unitary mappings $U : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$.

1. COMPLEX ELLIPSOIDS

For $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, $n \geq 2$, define the *complex ellipsoid*

$$\mathbb{E}_p := \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^{2p_j} < 1 \right\}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{B}_n := \mathbb{E}_{(1, \dots, 1)}$ is the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . We shall write $\mathbb{D} := \mathbb{B}_1$, $\mathbb{T} := \partial\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, if $\alpha/\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ then $\Psi_{\alpha/\beta} \in \text{Prop}(\mathbb{E}_\alpha, \mathbb{E}_\beta)$.

Theorem 1 ([7], [4]). *Assume that $n \geq 2$, $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$.*

- (a) *The following conditions are equivalent*
 - (i) $\text{Prop}(\mathbb{E}_p, \mathbb{E}_q) \neq \emptyset$;
 - (ii) *there exists $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ such that $p_\sigma/q \in \mathbb{N}^n$.*
- (b) *If $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then the following conditions are equivalent*
 - (i) $F \in \text{Prop}(\mathbb{E}_p, \mathbb{E}_q)$;
 - (ii) $F = \phi \circ \Psi_{p_\sigma/q} \circ \sigma$, where $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ is such that $p_\sigma/q \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}_q)$.

In particular, $\text{Prop}(\mathbb{E}_p) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}_p)$.
- (c) *If $0 \leq k \leq n$, $p \in \{1\}^k \times (\mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \{1\})^{n-k}$. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}_p) = \{E_{H, \zeta, \sigma} : H \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}_k), \zeta \in \mathbb{T}^{n-k}, \sigma \in \Sigma_n(p)\},$$

where, for $z = (z', z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{E}_p \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$, $\zeta = (\zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{T}^{n-k}$, $a' := H^{-1}(0')$, and $\sigma \in \Sigma_n(p)$ we put

$$E_{H,\zeta,\sigma}(z) := \left(H(z'), \zeta_{k+1} z_{\sigma(k+1)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - \|a'\|^2}}{1 - \langle z', a' \rangle} \right)^{1/p_{\sigma(k+1)}} \right. \\ \left. \dots, \zeta_n z_{\sigma(n)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - \|a'\|^2}}{1 - \langle z', a' \rangle} \right)^{1/p_{\sigma(n)}} \right).$$

In the general case thesis of Theorem 1 (b) is no longer true (take, for instance, $\Psi_{(2,2)} \circ H \circ \Psi_{(2,2)} \in \text{Prop}(\mathbb{E}_{(2,2)}, \mathbb{E}_{(1/2,1/2)})$, where $H \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}_2)$, $H(0) \neq 0$).

Nevertheless, from the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [4] we easily derive the following

Theorem 2. *Assume that $n \geq 2$, $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) $F \in \text{Prop}(\mathbb{E}_p, \mathbb{E}_q)$;
- (ii) $F = \Psi_{p_\sigma/(qr)} \circ \phi \circ \Psi_r \circ \sigma$, where $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ is such that $p_\sigma/q \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $r \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is such that $p_\sigma/(qr) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}_{p_\sigma/r})$.

In particular, $\text{Prop}(\mathbb{E}_p) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}_p)$.

2. GENERALIZED HARTOGS TRIANGLES

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ and $q = (q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$, define the *generalized Hartogs triangle*

$$\mathbb{F}_{p,q} := \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_n, w_1, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+m} : \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^{2p_j} < \sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^{2q_j} < 1 \right\}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{F}_{p,q}$ is nonsmooth pseudoconvex Reinhardt domain, not containing the origin. Moreover, if $n = m = 1$, then $\mathbb{F}_{1,1}$ is the standard Hartogs triangle.

The problem of characterization of $\text{Prop}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q}, \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{p},\tilde{q}})$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q})$ has been investigated in many papers. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the non-emptiness of $\text{Prop}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q}, \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{p},\tilde{q}})$ are given in [1] for $p, \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $n, m \geq 2$, in [2] for $p, \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, $q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$, $n, m \geq 2$, and in [8] for $p, \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $m = 1$. The explicit form of an $F \in \text{Prop}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q}, \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{p},\tilde{q}})$ is presented in [8] for $p, \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $m = 1$, whereas the description of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q})$ may be found in [3] for $p \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $n, m \geq 2$, and in [8] for $p \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $m = 1$.

We consider only the case $n = 1$.

Our first result is the following

Theorem 3. *If $n = m = 1$, then for arbitrary $p, q, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) $F \in \text{Prop}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q}, \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{p},\tilde{q}})$;
- (ii) $F(z, w) = \begin{cases} (\zeta z^k w^{l\tilde{q}/\tilde{p} - kq/p}, \xi w^l), & \text{if } q/p \notin \mathbb{N}, l\tilde{q}/\tilde{p} - kq/p \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (\zeta w^{l\tilde{q}/\tilde{p}} B(zw^{-q/p}), \xi w^l), & \text{if } q/p \in \mathbb{N}, l\tilde{q}/\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$,
where $\zeta, \xi \in \mathbb{T}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and B is a finite Blaschke product.

In particular, $\text{Prop}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q}) \supsetneq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q})$.

Theorem 3 was proved in [8] for $p, q, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}$. Our result gives an affirmative answer to the question posed by the Authors in [6], whether the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) remains true for arbitrary $p, q, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. In this case, however, neither the method from [2] (where the assumption $m \geq 2$ is essential) nor the method from [8] (where the assumption $p, q, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}$ is essential) can be used. Fortunately, it turns out that one may get our result by careful study of the proof of the main result from [5],

where full characterization of nonelementary proper holomorphic mappings between bounded Reinhardt domains in \mathbb{C}^2 is given.

Our next result is the following

Theorem 4. *Assume that $n = 1$, $m \geq 2$, $p, \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$.*

(a) *The following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) $\text{Prop}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q}, \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{p},\tilde{q}}) \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) $p/\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{N}$ and there exist $\sigma \in \Sigma_m$ such that $q_\sigma/\tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}^m$.

(b) *The following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) $F \in \text{Prop}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q}, \mathbb{F}_{\tilde{p},\tilde{q}})$;
- (ii) $F(z, w) = (\zeta z^k, h(w))$, where $(z, w) \in \mathbb{F}_{p,q} \cap (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^m)$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \in \text{Prop}(\mathbb{E}_q, \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{q}})$, $h(0) = 0$.

In particular, $\text{Prop}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q}) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q})$.

(c) *If $0 \leq \mu \leq m$, $q \in \{1\}^\mu \times (\mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \{1\})^{m-\mu}$, then*

(1)

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{p,q}) = \{F_{H,\zeta,\xi,\sigma} : H \in \mathbb{U}(\mu), \zeta \in \mathbb{T}, \xi \in \mathbb{T}^{m-\mu}, \sigma \in \Sigma_{m-\mu}(q_{\mu+1}, \dots, q_m)\},$$

where for $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m-\mu}) \in \mathbb{T}^{m-\mu}$ we put

$$F_{H,\zeta,\xi,\sigma}(z, w) := (\zeta z, H(w_1, \dots, w_\mu), \xi_1 w_{\mu+\sigma(1)} \dots, \xi_{m-\mu} w_{\mu+\sigma(m-\mu)}).$$

Theorem 4 (a) was proved in [1] (for $n, m \geq 2$, $p, \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, and $q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}^m$) and in [2] (for $n, m \geq 2$, $p, \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, and $q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$). Theorem 4 (b) was proved in [3] for $n, m \geq 2$, $p = \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, and $q = \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{N}^m$. Theorem 4 (c) was proved in [3] for $n, m \geq 2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}^n$, and $q \in \mathbb{N}^m$. Part (c) of Theorem 4 gives an affirmative answer to the question posed by the Authors in [6], whether (1) remains true for arbitrary $p \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$ (at least in the case $n = 1$).

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. H. Chen, D. K. Xu, *Proper holomorphic mappings between some nonsmooth domains*, Chin. Ann. Math. **2** (2001), 177–182.
- [2] Z. H. Chen, *Proper holomorphic mappings between some generalized Hartogs triangles*, in "Geometric Function Theory in Several Complex Variables, C. H. Fitzgerald, S. Gong (ed.), 74–81 Proceedings of a Satellite Conference to the International Congress on Mathematicians in Beijing 2002, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2004.
- [3] Z. H. Chen, D. K. Xu, *Rigidity of proper self-mapping on some kinds of generalized Hartogs triangle*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **18** (2002), 357–362.
- [4] G. Dini, A. Selvaggi Primicerio, *Proper holomorphic mappings between generalized pseudoellipsoids*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **158** (1991), 219–229.
- [5] A. V. Isaev, N. G. Kruzhilin, *Proper holomorphic maps between Reinhardt domains in \mathbb{C}^2* , Michigan Math. J. **54** (2006), 34–63.
- [6] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *First Steps in Several Complex Variables: Reinhardt Domains*, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2008.
- [7] M. Landucci, *Proper equivalence for a class of pseudoconvex domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **282** (1984), 807–811.
- [8] M. Landucci, *Proper holomorphic mappings between some nonsmooth domains*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **155** (1989), 193–203.