

Let  $X$  be a topological Hausdorff space. We define an equivalence relation on  $X^k$  by  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \sim (y_1, \dots, y_k) \iff (y_1, \dots, y_k)$  is a reordering of  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . Then we define the  $k$ -th symmetric product of  $X$ :  $\overleftrightarrow{X^k} := X^k / \sim$ . In the case  $k = 1$ , we get  $\overleftrightarrow{X^1} = X$ . Now, we define the projection  $\pi : X^k \rightarrow \overleftrightarrow{X^k}$ ,  $\pi(x) := [x]$ . We put  $[x_1, \dots, x_k] := [(x_1, \dots, x_k)]$ ,  $\{[x_1, \dots, x_k]\} := \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . If  $x_j \neq x_t$  for  $j \neq t$ , then we define  $[[x_1, \dots, x_k]] := [x_1, \dots, x_k]$ . Moreover, we put

$$[x_1 : \mu_1, \dots, x_\ell : \mu_\ell] := \overbrace{[x_1, \dots, x_1]}^{\mu_1\text{-times}}, \dots, \overbrace{[x_\ell, \dots, x_\ell]}^{\mu_\ell\text{-times}},$$

provided that  $x_j \neq x_t$  for  $j \neq t$ ,  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_\ell = k$ . We define

$$[A_1, \dots, A_k] := \left\{ [x_1, \dots, x_k] : x_i \in A_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k \right\},$$

The topology on  $\overleftrightarrow{X^k}$  is defined by the basis

$$[U_1, \dots, U_m], \quad U_i \text{ is open in } X, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Observe that  $\pi$  is continuous, open, and  $\overleftrightarrow{X^k}$  is Hausdorff.

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $Y$  be Hausdorff topological space and let  $F : X \rightarrow \overleftrightarrow{Y^n}$  be continuous. Then we put

$$X_F^{(k)} := \{x \in X : \#\{F(x)\} = k\},$$

$$\chi_F := \max\{k : X_F^{(k)} \neq \emptyset\}, \quad X_F := X_F^{(\chi_F)}.$$

Note that  $X_F$  is open.

**Proposition 0.2.** Let  $F$  be as above. Suppose that

$$a \in X_F, \quad F(a) = [b_1 : \mu_1, \dots, b_k : \mu_k], \quad k := \chi_F.$$

Then there is a neighborhood  $U \subset X_F$  of  $a$  and there are uniquely defined continuous functions  $f_i : U \rightarrow Y$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , such that

$$F(x) = [f_1(x) : \mu_1, \dots, f_k(x) : \mu_k], \quad x \in U.$$

In the above situation, we will write  $F = \mu_1 f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mu_k f_k$  on  $U$ .

**Proposition 0.3.** Let  $F : X^k \rightarrow Y$ . Then there exists a continuous function  $\overleftarrow{F} : \overleftrightarrow{X^k} \rightarrow Y$  such that  $F = \overleftarrow{F} \circ \pi$  if and only if  $F$  is symmetric.

**Definition 0.4.** Let  $M, N$  be complex manifolds and let  $M$  be connected. We say a continuous function  $F : M \rightarrow \overleftrightarrow{N^n}$  is *holomorphic on  $M$*  ( $F \in \mathcal{O}(M, \overleftrightarrow{N^n})$ ), if:

- $M \setminus M_F$  is thin, i.e. every point  $x_0 \in M \setminus M_F$  has open connected neighborhood  $V \subset M$  and a function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ ,  $\varphi \neq 0$ , such that  $(M \setminus M_F) \cap V \subset \varphi^{-1}(0)$ ,
- for every  $a \in M_F$ , if  $F = \mu_1 f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mu_k f_k$  on  $V$  as in Proposition 0.2, then  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ .

If  $M$  is disconnected, then we say that  $F$  is *holomorphic on  $M$* , if  $F|_C \in \mathcal{O}(C, \overleftrightarrow{N^n})$  for any connected component  $C \subset M$ .

**Proposition 0.5.** Let  $M, N, K$  be a complex manifolds and let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(M, N)$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{O}(N, \overleftrightarrow{K^n})$ . Assume that  $f(M) \cap N_g \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $g \circ f \in \mathcal{O}(M_{g \circ f}, \overleftrightarrow{K^n})$ .

**Proposition 0.6.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(M, \overleftarrow{N}^n)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{O}(N^n, K)$  be symmetric. Then  $\overleftarrow{g} \circ f \in \mathcal{O}(M, K)$ .

**Definition 0.7.** Let  $M$  be an analytic submanifold of a manifold  $X$ . Let  $U \subset X$  be a domain such that  $U \cap M \neq \emptyset$ . We say a holomorphic function

$$\Delta : U \longrightarrow \overleftarrow{(M \times \mathbb{C})^n}$$

is a *holomorphic multivalued projection*  $U \longrightarrow M$ , if for any  $x \in U \cap M$  such that  $\Delta(x) = [(x_1, z_1), \dots, (x_n, z_n)]$  we have  $x_{j_0} = x$  for some  $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $z_j = 0$  for any  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_0\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote set of all holomorphic multivalued projections  $U \longrightarrow M$ . Then we define the map

$$\Xi : (U \cap M) \times \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \Xi(x, \Delta) := z_{j_0}.$$

Observe that  $\Xi$  is well defined.

**Definition 0.8.** We say  $\Pi = (\Delta_j)_{j=1}^k$  is an *system of holomorphic multivalued projections*  $U \longrightarrow M$ , if  $\Delta_j : U \longrightarrow \overleftarrow{(M \times \mathbb{C})^{n_j}}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , are holomorphic multivalued projections and  $\sum_{j=1}^k \Xi(x, \Delta_j) = 1$  for any  $x \in U \cap M$ .

**Theorem 0.9.** Assume that there exists a system  $\Pi$  of holomorphic multivalued projections on  $U$ . Then exists a linear continuous operator

$$L_\Pi : \mathcal{O}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$$

such that  $L_\Pi(u)(x) = u(x)$  for  $x \in U \cap M$ .

**Theorem 0.10.** Let  $M$  be an analytic submanifold of a Stein manifold  $X$ . Let  $U$  be a relatively compact domain of  $X$  such that  $U \cap M \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a system of multivalued holomorphic projections  $U \longrightarrow M$ .

**Definition 0.11.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(X, \mathbb{C}^k)$ . We say that a set  $P \subset P_0 := M \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{D}^k)$  is an *analytic polyhedron* in  $M$  ( $P \in \mathcal{P}(M, k, f)$ ) if  $P \subset\subset M$  and  $P$  is the union of a family of connected components of  $P_0$ .

We say that an analytic polyhedron  $P \in \mathcal{P}(M, k, f)$  is *special* if  $d = k$ .

**Theorem 0.12** (cf. [2]). Assume that  $P \in \mathcal{P}(M, k, f)$ ,  $S \subset P$ ,  $T \subset f^{-1}(\mathbb{D}^k)$  are compact. Then there exists a special analytic polyhedron  $Q \in \mathcal{P}(M, d, g)$  such that  $S \subset Q \subset P$  and  $g(T) \subset \mathbb{D}^d$ .

**Theorem 0.13** (cf. [2]). Assume that  $X$  is Stein,  $T \subset X$  is compact, and  $U$  is an open neighborhood of  $T$  such that  $(U \setminus T) \cap \hat{T}_{\mathcal{O}(X)} = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} := \text{cl}_{\mathcal{C}(T)}(\mathcal{O}(U)|_T)$ . Then  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) = T$ , i.e every non-zero character (homomorphism)  $\xi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an evaluation (i.e. there exists an  $x_0 \in T$  such that  $\xi(f) = f(x_0)$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ).

Consequently (cf. [1], Chapter I, Section II, Corollary 10), if  $w_1, \dots, w_m \in \mathcal{A}$  have no common zeros on  $T$ , then there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_m w_m = 1$ .

**Theorem 0.14** (cf. [2]). Assume that  $P \in \mathcal{P}(M, d, f)$  is special. Then there exist a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and a holomorphic mapping  $\omega : \mathbb{D}^d \longrightarrow \overleftarrow{P}^k$  such that:

- $f^{-1}(z) \cap P = \{\omega(z)\}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}^d$ ,
- $\#\{\omega(z)\} = k$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}^d \setminus \Sigma'$ , where  $\Sigma'$  is a proper analytic set.

**Proposition 0.15.** *Let  $\omega, f, X, P$  be as above. Additionally assume that  $f(U) \subset \mathbb{D}^d$ , where  $U \subset X$  is a domain and  $U \cap P \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\omega \circ f|_U \in \mathcal{O}(U, \overleftrightarrow{P^k})$ .*

**Proposition 0.16.** *Let  $\omega, f, X, P$  be as above. Then  $\omega \circ f|_P \in \mathcal{O}(P, \overleftrightarrow{P^k})$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Arens, *Dense inverse limit rings*, Michigan Math. J. 5 (1958), 169-182.
- [2] E. Bishop, *Some global problems in the theory of functions of several complex variables*, Amer. J. Math. 83 (1961), 479-498.
- [3] R. Gunning, H. Rossi, *Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1965.
- [4] S. Łojasiewicz, *Introduction to Complex Analytic Geometry*, Birkhäuser, 1991.
- [5] H. Whitney, *Complex Analytic Varieties*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1972.