## ON THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR THE COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE OPERATOR

## RAFAŁ CZYŻ

Let us recall the following Cegrell classes of plurisubharmonic functions defined in bounded hyperconvex domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_{0} = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{PSH} \cap L^{\infty} : \forall \xi \in \partial \Omega \lim_{\Omega \ni z \to \xi} \varphi(z) = 0, \int_{\Omega} (dd^{c}\varphi)^{n} < \infty \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{p} = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{PSH} : \exists \{u_{j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{E}_{0}, u_{j} \searrow u, \sup_{j} \int_{\Omega} (-u_{j})^{p} (dd^{c}u_{j})^{n} < \infty \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{PSH}^{-}(\Omega) : \exists \{u_{j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{E}_{0}, u_{j} \searrow u, \sup_{j} \int_{\Omega} (dd^{c}u_{j})^{n} < +\infty \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{PSH}^{-}(\Omega) : \forall z \in \Omega \exists \omega_{z} \subset \Omega \exists u_{z} \in \mathcal{F}, u = u_{z} \text{ na } \omega_{z} \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{E} : \tilde{u} = 0 \right\},\,$$

where  $\tilde{u}$  is the smallest maximal plurisubharmonic majorant of u. Let  $\mathcal{K} \in \{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}\}$ . Then  $u \in \mathcal{K}(H), H \in \mathcal{E}$ , if there exists  $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$  such that

$$H \ge u \ge \varphi + H \,.$$

By the Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator in the class  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  we mean: for a positive measure  $\mu$  in  $\Omega$ , and  $H \in \mathcal{E}$  find a plurisubharmonic function  $u \in \mathcal{K}(H)$  such that

$$(dd^c u)^n = \mu.$$
 Let  $\mathcal{M}_{MA} = (dd^c \cdot)^n(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\mathcal{M}_p = (dd^c \cdot)^n(\mathcal{E}_p)$ .

Ce grell proved that for any measure  $\mu$  in hyperconvex domain we get the following decomposition:

$$\mu = f \left( dd^c \phi \right)^n + \nu = \mu_r + \mu_s,$$

where  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0$ ,  $f \in L^1_{loc}((dd^c \phi)^n)$ ,  $f \ge 0$  and  $\mu_s$  is positive measure supported by pluripolar set.

- (1) If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{MA}$ , then  $t\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{MA}$ .
- (2) If  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{MA}$ , then  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{MA}$ .
- (3) If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{MA}$  and  $\nu \leq \mu$ , then  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{MA}$ .
- (4) If  $\mu = (dd^c u)^n = \mu_r(u) + \mu_s(u)$ , then  $\mu_r(u) = fdd^c(dd^c\psi)^n$ , for some  $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0, f \ge 0, f \in L^1_{loc}((dd^c\psi)^n)$  and  $supp\mu_s(u) \subset \{u = -\infty\}.$
- (5) It follows from subsolution theorem that in the Dirichlet problem it is enough to consider regular and singular measures separately.

## RAFAŁ CZYŻ

If  $u_1 \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1)$ ,  $u_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega_2)$  are such that  $\mu_s(u_1) = \chi_{\{u_1=-\infty\}}(dd^c u_1)^{n_1}$  and  $\mu_s(u_2) = \chi_{\{u_2=-\infty\}}(dd^c u)^{n_2}$ , then  $\max(u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$  and

$$\mu_s(\max(u_1, u_2)) = \mu_s(u_1) \otimes \mu_s(u_2)$$

Open question: Can we find plurisubharmonic function u such that

$$(dd^c u)^n = \mu_r(u_1) \otimes \mu_s(u_2)$$

Even for

$$\mu = dV \otimes \delta_0$$

the answer is unknown. We know that there is no toric symmetric solution u(z, w) =u(|z|, w) or u(z, w) = u(z, |w|).

Open question: is it true, as in the singular case, that for  $u_1 \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1), u_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega_2)$ 

$$\mu_r(u_1)\otimes\mu_r(u_2)\in\mathcal{M}_{MA}$$

In general the answer is unknown. In some special cases: the answer is YES!

- (1) If measures  $\mu_r(u_1)$ ,  $\mu_r(u_2)$  are finite then the answer is YES, since the product measure would be finite, and the solution would be in the class  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- (2) If  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are bounded functions, then  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{PSH}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ . In this case Monge-Ampère measures can be infinite!
- (3) If  $\mu_1$  is finite,  $\mu_2$  is such that there exists negative plurisubharmonic function  $\psi$  in  $\Omega_2$  such that  $\psi \in L^1(\mu_2)$ , then the answer is YES!
- (4) If  $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{p_1}, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{p_2}$  then  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_q$ , for  $q < \frac{\alpha(n_1+n_2)}{1-\alpha}$ .
- (5) If  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$  then the answer is YES!

Let  $\mu$  be a unitary invariant measure defined on B(0,1), and let  $F(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \mu(B(0,t))$ . TFCAE:

(1) 
$$\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{MA},$$
  
(2)  $\int_{1}^{1} \frac{r}{F(t)} dt < \infty$ 

(2)  $\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \sqrt[n]{F(t)} dt < \infty.$ 

It follows from the following representation

$$u(z) = \int_{|z|}^{1} -\frac{1}{t} \sqrt[n]{F(t)} dt$$

Open question: Assume that the density function  $f \in L^p(B(0,1)), p > 0$  and  $\mu = f dV.$ 

- If p > 1 then the solution is continuous (Hölder continuous).
- If p = 1 the solution is in the class  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $p < \frac{1}{n+1}$  then  $f(z) = (1 |z|^{2n})^{-n-1} \in L^p$ , but

$$F(t) = C((1 - t^{2n})^{-n} - 1) \notin L^{\frac{1}{n}}([\frac{1}{2}, 1]).$$

Therefore there is no solution to the Dirichlet problem.

• What for  $p \in [\frac{1}{n+1}, 1)$ ?