

A HARTOGS-TYPE EXTENSION THEOREM FOR GENERALIZED (N,K)-CROSSES WITH PLURIPOLAR SINGULARITIES

MAŁGORZATA ZAJĘCKA

ABSTRACT. The aim of the talk is to present an extension theorem for (N, k) -crosses with pluripolar singularities.

1. DEFINITIONS AND MAIN RESULTS

Definition 1.1 (Relative extremal function). Let X be a Riemann domain over \mathbb{C}^n and let $A \subset X$. The *relative extremal function of A with respect to X* is a function

$$\mathbf{h}_{A,X} := \sup\{u \in \mathcal{PSH}(X) : u \leq 1, u|_A \leq 0\}.$$

For an open set $Y \subset X$ we define $\mathbf{h}_{A,Y} := \mathbf{h}_{A \cap Y, Y}$.

Definition 1.2. Let D be a Riemann domain over \mathbb{C}^n . A set $A \subset D$ is called *pluriregular at a point $a \in \bar{A}$* if $\mathbf{h}_{A,U} = 0$ for any open neighborhood U of the point a .

We call A *locally pluriregular* if $A \neq \emptyset$ and A is pluriregular at every point $a \in A$.

Let D_j be a Riemann domain over \mathbb{C}^{n_j} and let $A_j \subset D_j$ be locally pluriregular, $j = 1, \dots, N$, where $N \geq 2$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N$ define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_\alpha &:= \mathcal{X}_{1,\alpha_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}_{N,\alpha_N}, \\ \mathcal{X}_{j,\alpha_j} &:= \begin{cases} D_j & \text{when } \alpha_j = 1 \\ A_j & \text{when } \alpha_j = 0 \end{cases}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

When no confusion arise we will use the following convention: for $A \subset \prod_{j \in I} D_j$ and $B \subset \prod_{j \in J} D_j$, where $I \cup J = \{1, \dots, N\}$, by $A \times B$ we will denote a product $C_1 \times \dots \times C_N$, where

$$C_j = \begin{cases} A_j & \text{for } j \in I \\ B_j & \text{for } j \in J \end{cases}.$$

To simplify the notation let us define families

$$\mathcal{I}_k^N := \{\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^N : |\alpha| = k\}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N := \{\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^N : 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k\}.$$

Definition 1.3. For a $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ we define an (N, k) -cross

$$\mathbf{X}_{N,k} = \mathbb{X}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N) := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N} \mathcal{X}_\alpha.$$

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ let $\Sigma_\alpha \subset \prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j$ and put

$$\mathcal{X}_\alpha^\Sigma := \{z \in \mathcal{X}_\alpha : z_\alpha \notin \Sigma_\alpha\}, \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N,$$

where z_α denotes a projection of z on $\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} D_j$.

Definition 1.4. We define a *generalized* (N, k) -cross $\mathbf{T}_{N,k}$

$$\mathbf{T}_{N,k} = \mathbb{T}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N, (\Sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N}) := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N} \mathcal{X}_\alpha^\Sigma$$

and a *generalized* (N, k) -cross $\mathbf{Y}_{N,k}$

$$\mathbf{Y}_{N,k} = \mathbb{Y}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N, (\Sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N}) := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N} \mathcal{X}_\alpha^\Sigma.$$

For $k = 1$ we call $\mathbb{X}_{N,1}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N)$ an *N-fold cross* \mathbf{X} and we use the following notation

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{X}(A_1, \dots, A_N; D_1, \dots, D_N) = \mathbb{X}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N) = \bigcup_{j=1}^N (A'_j \times D_j \times A''_j),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A'_j &:= A_1 \times \dots \times A_{j-1}, \quad j = 2, \dots, N, \\ A''_j &:= A_{j+1} \times \dots \times A_N, \quad j = 1, \dots, N-1, \\ A'_1 \times D_1 \times A''_1 &:= D_1 \times A''_1, \\ A'_N \times D_N \times A''_N &:= A'_N \times D_N. \end{aligned}$$

For $\Sigma_j \subset A'_j \times A''_j$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ put

$$\mathcal{X}_j := \{(a'_j, z_j, a''_j) \in A'_j \times D_j \times A''_j : (a'_j, a''_j) \notin \Sigma_j\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a'_j &:= (a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}), \quad j = 2, \dots, N, \\ a''_j &:= (a_{j+1}, \dots, a_N), \quad j = 1, \dots, N-1, \\ (a'_1, z_1, a''_1) &:= (z_1, a''_1), \\ (a'_N, z_N, a''_N) &:= (a'_N, z_N). \end{aligned}$$

We call $\mathbb{T}_{N,1}((A_j, D_j, \Sigma_j)_{j=1}^N) = \bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{X}_j$ a *generalized N-fold cross* \mathbf{T} .

Definition 1.5. For (N, k) -crosses we define their *centers* as

$$\begin{aligned} c(\mathbf{X}_{N,k}) &:= A_1 \times \dots \times A_N, \\ c(\mathbf{T}_{N,k}) &:= \mathbf{T}_{N,k} \cap (A_1 \times \dots \times A_N), \\ c(\mathbf{Y}_{N,k}) &:= \mathbf{Y}_{N,k} \cap (A_1 \times \dots \times A_N). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.6. For a cross $\mathbf{X}_{N,k} = \mathbb{X}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N)$ we define its *hull*

$$\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k} = \widehat{\mathbb{X}}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N) := \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in D_1 \times \dots \times D_N : \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{h}_{A_j, D_j}(z_j) < k\},$$

where $\mathbf{h}_{B,D}$ denotes relative extremal function of B with respect to D .

For an $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and for an $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j)$ define a function

$$\iota_\alpha^a = (\iota_{\alpha,1}^a, \dots, \iota_{\alpha,N}^a) : \prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\alpha,$$

$$\iota_{\alpha,j}^a(z) := \begin{cases} z_j & \text{when } \alpha_j = 1 \\ a_j & \text{when } \alpha_j = 0 \end{cases}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Let $\mathbf{W}_{N,k} \in \{\mathbf{T}_{N,k}, \mathbf{Y}_{N,k}\}$ and let $M \subset \mathbf{W}_{N,k}$. For an $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and for an $a \in \prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j$ let $M_{a,\alpha}$ denote a fiber

$$M_{a,\alpha} := \{z \in \prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j : \iota_\alpha^a(z) \in M\}.$$

Definition 1.7. Let $M \subset \mathbf{T}_{N,k}$ be such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N$ and for all $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ the set $(\prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j) \setminus M_{a,\alpha}$ is open. A function $f : \mathbf{T}_{N,k} \setminus M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *separately holomorphic on $\mathbf{T}_{N,k} \setminus M$* ($f \in \mathcal{O}_S(\mathbf{T}_{N,k} \setminus M)$), if for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N$ and for all $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$, the function

$$(*) \quad \left(\prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j \right) \setminus M_{a,\alpha} \ni z \mapsto f \circ \iota_\alpha^a =: f_{a,\alpha}(z)$$

is holomorphic.

For generalized (N, k) -cross $\mathbf{Y}_{N,k}$ we state an analogical definition.

Definition 1.8. Let $M \subset \mathbf{Y}_{N,k}$ be such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and for all $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ the set $(\prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j) \setminus M_{a,\alpha}$ is open. A function $f : \mathbf{Y}_{N,k} \setminus M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *separately holomorphic on $\mathbf{Y}_{N,k} \setminus M$* ($f \in \mathcal{O}_S(\mathbf{Y}_{N,k} \setminus M)$), if for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N$ and for all $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$, the function $(*)$ is holomorphic.

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and for $b \in \prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j$ define a function

$$\kappa_\alpha^b = (\kappa_{\alpha,1}^b, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha,N}^b) : \prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\alpha,$$

$$\kappa_{\alpha,j}^b(z) := \begin{cases} z_j & \text{when } \alpha_j = 0 \\ b_j & \text{when } \alpha_j = 1 \end{cases}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Let $M \subset \mathbf{T}_{N,k}$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and for $b \in \prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j$ let $M_{b,\alpha}^\kappa$ denote a fiber

$$M_{b,\alpha}^\kappa := \{z \in \prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j : \kappa_\alpha^b(z) \in M\}.$$

Definition 1.9. Let $M \subset \mathbf{T}_{N,k}$ be such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N$ and for all $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ the set $(\prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j) \setminus M_{a,\alpha}$ is open. By $\mathcal{O}_S^c(\mathbf{T}_{N,k} \setminus M)$ we will denote a space of functions

$f \in \mathcal{O}_S(\mathbf{T}_{N,k} \setminus M)$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N$ and for all $b \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j)$, the function

$$\left(\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j \right) \setminus (\Sigma_\alpha \cup M_{b,\alpha}^\kappa) \ni z \mapsto f \circ \kappa_\alpha^b =: f_{b,\alpha}^\kappa(z)$$

is continuous.

Theorem 1.10 (Extension theorem for (N, k) -crosses with pluripolar singularities). *Let D_j be a Riemann domain of holomorphy over \mathbb{C}^{n_j} , $A_j \subset D_j$ be locally pluriregular, $j = 1, \dots, N$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N$ let $\Sigma_\alpha \subset \prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j$, be pluripolar. Let*

$$\mathbf{X}_{N,k} := \mathbb{X}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N), \quad \mathbf{T}_{N,k} := \mathbb{T}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N, (\Sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N}).$$

Let M be a relatively closed, pluripolar subset of $\mathbf{T}_{N,k}$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N$ and all $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ the fiber $M_{a,\alpha}$ is pluripolar. Let

$$\mathcal{F} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_S(\mathbf{X}_{N,k} \setminus M), & \text{if for any } \alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N \text{ we have } \Sigma_\alpha = \emptyset \\ \mathcal{O}_S^c(\mathbf{T}_{N,k} \setminus M), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then there exists a relatively closed, pluripolar set $\widehat{M} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k}$ and a generalized (N, k) -cross $\mathbf{T}'_{N,k} := \mathbb{T}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N, (\Sigma'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N}) \subset \mathbf{T}_{N,k}$ with $\Sigma_\alpha \subset \Sigma'_\alpha \subset \prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j$, Σ'_α pluripolar,

$\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N$, such that:

- $\widehat{M} \cap (c(\mathbf{T}_{N,k}) \cup \mathbf{T}'_{N,k}) \subset M$,
- for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists a function $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k} \setminus \widehat{M})$ such that $\widehat{f} = f$ on $(c(\mathbf{T}_{N,k}) \cup \mathbf{T}'_{N,k}) \setminus M$,
- \widehat{M} is singular with respect to $\{\widehat{f} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}^{(1)}$.

Theorem 1.11. *Let D_j be a Riemann domain of holomorphy over \mathbb{C}^{n_j} , $A_j \subset D_j$ be locally pluriregular, $j = 1, \dots, N$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ let Σ_α be a pluripolar subset of $\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j$.*

Let

$$\mathbf{X}_{N,k} := \mathbb{X}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N), \quad \mathbf{T}_{N,k} := \mathbb{T}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N, (\Sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N}), \\ \mathbf{Y}_{N,k} := \mathbb{Y}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N, (\Sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N}),$$

$\mathbf{W}_{N,k} \in \{\mathbf{X}_{N,k}, \mathbf{T}_{N,k}, \mathbf{Y}_{N,k}\}$, $M \subset c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k})$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \{f : c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \setminus M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$ be such that:

(T1) M is pluripolar,⁽²⁾

(T2) for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and any $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ the fiber $M_{a,\alpha}$ is pluripolar,

(T3) for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and any $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ there exists a closed, pluripolar set

$$\widetilde{M}_{a,\alpha} \subset \prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j \text{ such that } \widetilde{M}_{a,\alpha} \cap \prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} A_j \subset M_{a,\alpha},^{(3)}$$

⁽¹⁾That is, for all $a \in \widehat{M}$ and U_a -open neighborhood of a there exists $\widehat{f} \in \{f : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ such that \widehat{f} does not extend holomorphically on U_a .

⁽²⁾Actually we can assume a bit less: M is such that for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ the set $\{a_j \in A_j : M_{(\cdot, a_j, \cdot)} \text{ is not pluripolar}\}$ is pluripolar.

⁽³⁾When $k = N$ we assume that there exists $\widetilde{M} \in D_1 \times \dots \times D_N$ closed, pluripolar, such that $\widetilde{M} \cap c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \subset M$.

- (T4) for any $a \in c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \setminus M$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists an $f_a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}(a, r))$ with $f_a = f$ on $\mathbb{P}(a, r) \cap (c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \setminus M)$,
- (T5) for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, any $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and any $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ there exists a function

$$\tilde{f}_{a,\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}(\prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j \setminus \widetilde{M}_{a,\alpha}) \text{ such that } \tilde{f}_{a,\alpha} = f_{a,\alpha} \text{ on } (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} A_j) \setminus M_{a,\alpha}^{(4)}.$$

Then there exists a relatively closed, pluripolar set $\widehat{M} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k}$ such that:

- $\widehat{M} \cap c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \subset M$,
- for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k} \setminus \widehat{M})$ such that $\widehat{f} = f$ on $c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \setminus M$,
- \widehat{M} is singular with respect to $\{\widehat{f} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$,
- if for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and all $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ we have $\widetilde{M}_{a,\alpha} = \emptyset$, then $\widehat{M} = \emptyset$,
- if for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and all $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ the set $\widetilde{M}_{a,\alpha}$ is thin in $\prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j$, then \widehat{M} is analytic in $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k}$.

Proposition 1.12. Let D_j, A_j and Σ_α be as in Theorem 1.11. Let

$$\mathbf{X}_{N,k} := \mathbb{X}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N), \quad \mathbf{T}_{N,k} := \mathbb{T}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N, (\Sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N}),$$

$$\mathbf{Y}_{N,k} := \mathbb{Y}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N, (\Sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N}),$$

$\mathbf{W}_{N,k} \in \{\mathbf{X}_{N,k}, \mathbf{T}_{N,k}, \mathbf{Y}_{N,k}\}$. Let $M \subset \mathbf{W}_{N,k}$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{W}_{N,k} \setminus M)$ be such that:

- (P1) $M \cap c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k})$ is pluripolar,
- (P2) for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and any $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ the fiber $M_{a,\alpha}$ is pluripolar and relatively closed in $\prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j$,
- (P3) for any $a \in c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \setminus M$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists a function $f_a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}(a, r))$ with $f_a = f$ on $\mathbb{P}(a, r) \cap (c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \setminus M)$.

Then there exists a relatively closed, pluripolar set $\widehat{M} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k}$ such that:

- $\widehat{M} \cap c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \subset M$,
- for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k} \setminus \widehat{M})$ such that $\widehat{f} = f$ on $c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \setminus M$,
- \widehat{M} is singular with respect to $\{\widehat{f} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$,
- if $M = \emptyset$, then $\widehat{M} = \emptyset$,
- if for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\leq k}^N$ and all $a \in (\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_\alpha$ the fiber $M_{a,\alpha}$ is thin in $\prod_{j:\alpha_j=1} D_j$, then \widehat{M} is analytic in $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k}$.

2. PREREQUISITIES

Theorem 2.1 (see [JarPfl 2007], Theorem 1.1). Let D_j be a Riemann domain of holomorphy over \mathbb{C}^{n_j} , $A_j \subset D_j$ be locally pluriregular and let $\Sigma_j \subset A'_j \times A''_j$ be pluripolar, $j = 1, \dots, N$. Put

$$\mathbf{X} := \mathbb{X}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N), \quad \mathbf{T} := \mathbb{T}((A_j, D_j, \Sigma_j)_{j=1}^N).$$

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \{f : c(\mathbf{T}) \setminus M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$ and let $M \subset \mathbf{T}$ be such that:

- for any $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ an any $(a'_j, a''_j) \in (A'_j \times A''_j) \setminus \Sigma_j$ the fiber $M_{(a'_j, a''_j)}$ is pluripolar,

⁽⁴⁾When $k = N$ we assume that there exists $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(D_1 \times \dots \times D_N \setminus \widetilde{M})$ such that $\tilde{f} = f$ on $c(\mathbf{W}_{N,k}) \setminus M$.

- for any $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and any $(a'_j, a''_j) \in (A'_j \times A''_j) \setminus \Sigma_j$ there exists a closed, pluripolar set $\widetilde{M}_{a,j} \subset D_j$ such that $\widetilde{M}_{a,j} \cap A_j \subset M_{(a'_j, \cdot, a''_j)}$,
- for any $a \in c(\mathbf{T}) \setminus M$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists an $f_a \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}(a, r))$ with $f_a = f$ on $\mathbb{P}(a, r) \cap (c(\mathbf{T}) \setminus M)$ ⁽⁵⁾,
- for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, any $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, and any $(a'_j, a''_j) \in (A'_j \times A''_j) \setminus \Sigma_j$ there exists a function $\widetilde{f}_{a,j} \in \mathcal{O}(D_j \setminus \widetilde{M}_{a,j})$ such that $\widetilde{f}_{a,j} = f(a'_j, \cdot, a''_j)$ on $A_j \setminus M_{a,j}$.

Then there exists a relatively closed, pluripolar set $\widehat{M} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{X}}$ such that:

- $\widehat{M} \cap c(\mathbf{T}) \subset M$,
- for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists a function $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}} \setminus \widehat{M})$ such that $\widehat{f} = f$ on $c(\mathbf{T}) \setminus M$,
- \widehat{M} is singular with respect to $\{\widehat{f} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$,
- if for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and all $(a'_j, a''_j) \in (A'_j \times A''_j) \setminus \Sigma_j$ we have $\widetilde{M}_{a,j} = \emptyset$, then $\widehat{M} = \emptyset$,
- if for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and all $(a'_j, a''_j) \in (A'_j \times A''_j) \setminus \Sigma_j$ the set $\widetilde{M}_{a,j}$ is thin in D_j , then \widehat{M} is analytic in $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}$.

Lemma 2.2 ([JarPfl 2010], Lemma 4). *Let D_j be a Riemann domain of holomorphy over \mathbb{C}^{n_j} and $A_j \subset D_j$ be locally pluriregular, $j = 1, \dots, N$. Then for all $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k}$ we have:*

$$\mathbf{h}_{\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k-1}, \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k}}(z) = \max \left\{ 0, \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{h}_{A_j, D_j}(z_j) - k + 1 \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.3 (Cross theorem for (N, k) -crosses, cf. [JarPfl 2011], Theorem 7.2.7). *Let D_j be a Riemann domain of holomorphy over \mathbb{C}^{n_j} and $A_j \subset D_j$ be locally pluriregular, $j = 1, \dots, N$. For $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ let $\mathbf{X}_{N,k} := \mathbb{X}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N)$. Then for every $f \in \mathcal{O}_S(\mathbf{X}_{N,k})$ there exists a unique function $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k})$ such that $\widehat{f} = f$ on $\mathbf{X}_{N,k}$ and $\widehat{f}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k}) \subset f(\mathbf{X}_{N,k})$.*

Theorem 2.4 (Cross theorem for generalized (N, k) -crosses). *Let D_j be a Riemann domain over \mathbb{C}^{n_j} , $A_j \subset D_j$ be pluriregular, $j = 1, \dots, N$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N$ let Σ_α be a subset of $\prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} A_j$. Let*

$$\mathbf{X}_{N,k} := \mathbb{X}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N), \quad \mathbf{T}_{N,k} := \mathbb{T}_{N,k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^N, (\Sigma_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_k^N}).$$

Then for every $f \in \mathcal{O}_S^c(\mathbf{T}_{N,k})$ there exists $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k})$ such that $\widehat{f} = f$ on $\mathbf{T}_{N,k}$ and $\widehat{f}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k}) \subset f(\mathbf{T}_{N,k})$.

3. SKETCH OF PROOF OF THEOREM 1.11

Lemma 3.1. *Theorem 1.11 with $\mathbf{W}_{N,k} = \mathbf{X}_{N,k}$ implies Theorem 1.11 with*

$$\mathbf{W}_{N,k} \in \{\mathbf{T}_{N,k}, \mathbf{Y}_{N,k}\}.$$

Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.11 with $\mathbf{W}_{N,k} = \mathbf{X}_{N,k}$.

Step 1. Theorem 1.11 is true for any N when $k = 1$ (Theorem 2.1) and when $k = N$ (in this case we assumed the thesis).

⁽⁵⁾ $\mathbb{P}(a, r)$ denotes a polydisc in Riemann domain $D_1 \times \dots \times D_N$ centered at a with radius r .

Step 2. In particular, theorem is true for $N = 2$, $k = 1, 2$. Assume we already have Theorem 1.11 for $(N-1, k)$, where $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ and for $(N, 1), \dots, (N, k-1)$, where $k \in \{2, \dots, N-1\}$. We need to prove it for (N, k) .

Step 3. Fix $s \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ (to simplify the notation let $s = N$). Let

$$Q_N := \{a_N \in A_N : M_{(\cdot, a_N)} \text{ is not pluripolar}\}.$$

Then Q_N is pluripolar. Define

$$\mathbf{X}_{N-1, k}^{(s)} := \mathbb{X}_{N-1, k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1, j \neq s}^N), \quad s = 1, \dots, N,$$

in particular

$$\mathbf{X}_{N-1, k}^{(N)} = \mathbf{X}_{N-1, k} := \mathbb{X}_{N-1, k}((A_j, D_j)_{j=1}^{N-1}).$$

Fix $a_N \in A_N \setminus Q_N$ and define a family $\{f(\cdot, a_N) : f \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \{f : c(\mathbf{X}_{N-1, k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$. Then from inductive assumption we get a relatively closed pluripolar set $\widehat{M}_{a_N} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N-1, k}$ such that:

- $\widehat{M}_{a_N} \cap c(\mathbf{X}_{N-1, k}) \subset M_{(\cdot, a_N)}$,
- for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $\widehat{f}_{a_N} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N-1, k} \setminus \widehat{M}_{a_N})$ such that $\widehat{f}_{a_N} = f(\cdot, a_N)$ on $c(\mathbf{X}_{N-1, k}) \setminus M_{(\cdot, a_N)}$,
- \widehat{M}_{a_N} is singular with respect to $\{\widehat{f}_{a_N} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$,
- if for all $\alpha' \in \{0, 1\}^{N-1} : |\alpha'| \leq k$ and all $a' \in (\prod_{j:\alpha'_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_{\alpha'}$, we have $\widetilde{M}_{a', \alpha'} = \emptyset$,

then $\widehat{M}_{a_N} = \emptyset$,

- if for all $\alpha' \in \{0, 1\}^{N-1} : |\alpha'| \leq k$ and all $a' \in (\prod_{j:\alpha'_j=0} A_j) \setminus \Sigma_{\alpha'}$, the set $\widetilde{M}_{a', \alpha'}$ is

thin in $\prod_{j:\alpha'_j=1} D_j$, then \widehat{M}_{a_N} is analytic in $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N-1, k}$.

Define a new cross

$$\mathbf{Z}_N := \mathbb{X}(c(\mathbf{X}_{N-1, k}), A_N; \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N-1, k}, D_N).$$

Observe that \mathbf{Z}_N with original M , $(\Sigma_\alpha)_\alpha$ and the family \mathcal{F} satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 1.11 with $N = 2$, $k = 1$. Then there exists an $\widehat{M}_N \subset \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_N$, relatively closed, pluripolar, such that:

- $\widehat{M}_N \cap c(\mathbf{X}_{N, k}) \subset M$,
- for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $\widehat{f}_N \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_N \setminus \widehat{M}_N)$ such that $\widehat{f}_N = f$ on $c(\mathbf{X}_{N, k}) \setminus M$,
- \widehat{M}_N is singular with respect to $\{\widehat{f}_N : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$,
- if for all $a' \in c(\mathbf{X}_{N-1, k}) \setminus \Sigma_{(0, \dots, 0, 1)}$ we have $\widetilde{M}_{a'} = \emptyset$ and for all $a_N \in A_N \setminus Q_N$ we have $\widetilde{M}_{a_N} = \emptyset$, then $\widehat{M}_N = \emptyset$,
- if for all $a' \in c(\mathbf{X}_{N-1, k}) \setminus \Sigma_{(0, \dots, 0, 1)}$ the set $\widetilde{M}_{a'}$ is thin in D_N and for all $a_N \in A_N \setminus Q_N$ the set \widetilde{M}_{a_N} is thin in $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N-1, k}$, then \widehat{M}_N is analytic in $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_N$.

We repeat the reasoning above for all $s = 1, \dots, N-1$, obtaining a family of functions $\{\widehat{f}_s\}_{s=1}^N$ such that for any $s \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ we have $\widehat{f}_s = f$ on $c(\mathbf{X}_{N, k}) \setminus M$. Define a new function

$$F_f(z) := \begin{cases} \widehat{f}_1(z) & \text{for } z \in \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_1 \setminus \widehat{M}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{f}_N(z) & \text{for } z \in \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_N \setminus \widehat{M}_N \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Function F_f is well defined and holomorphic on $\left(\bigcup_{s=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_s\right) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{s=1}^N \widehat{M}_s\right)$.*

Step 4. Define a 2-fold cross

$$\mathbf{Z} := \mathbb{X}(\mathbf{X}_{N-1,k-1}, A_N; \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N-1,k}, D_N) \subset \bigcup_{s=1}^N \mathbf{Z}_s,$$

a pluripolar set

$$\widetilde{M} := \left(\bigcup_{s=1}^N \widehat{M}_s\right) \cap (\mathbf{X}_{N-1,k-1} \times A_N)$$

and a family

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} := \{\widehat{f} := F_f|_{(\mathbf{X}_{N-1,k-1} \times A_N) \setminus \widetilde{M}} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Then \mathbf{Z} , \widetilde{M} and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.11 with $N = 1$ and $k = 1$. Now from Theorem 1.11 there exists a relatively closed, pluripolar set $\widehat{M} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{Z}} = \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k}$ such that:

- $\widehat{M} \cap (\mathbf{X}_{N-1,k-1} \times A_N) \subset \widetilde{M}$, in particular, $\widehat{M} \cap c(\mathbf{X}_{N,k}) \subset M$,
- for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N,k} \setminus \widehat{M})$ such that $\widehat{f} = \widetilde{f}$ on $(\mathbf{X}_{N-1,k-1} \times A_N) \setminus \widetilde{M}$, in particular $\widehat{f} = f$ on $c(\mathbf{X}_{N,k}) \setminus M$,
- \widehat{M} is singular with respect to $\{\widehat{f} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$,
- if for all $z' \in \mathbf{X}_{N-1,k-1} \setminus P$ $\widetilde{M}_{z'} = \emptyset$ and for all $a_N \in A_N \setminus Q$ $\widetilde{M}_{a_N} = \emptyset$, then $\widehat{M} = \emptyset$,
- if for all $z' \in \mathbf{X}_{N-1,k-1} \setminus P$ the set $\widetilde{M}_{z'}$ is thin in D_N and for all $a_N \in A_N \setminus Q$ the set \widetilde{M}_{a_N} is thin in $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{N-1,k}$, then \widehat{M} is analytic in $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$.

□

Sketch of proof of Lemma 3.2. Fix s and p . We want to show that $\widehat{f}_s = \widehat{f}_p$ on $(\mathbf{Z}_s \cap \mathbf{Z}_p) \setminus (\widehat{M}_s \cup \widehat{M}_p)$. To simplify the notation we may assume that $s = N - 1$ and $p = N$.

Step 1. Every connected component of $\mathbf{Z}_{N-1} \cap \mathbf{Z}_N$ contains part of the center.

Step 2. One connected component of $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_{N-1} \cap \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_N$ contains whole $\mathbf{Z}_{N-1} \cap \mathbf{Z}_N$.

Step 3. Every connected component of $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_{N-1} \cap \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_N$ with $\widehat{M}_{N-1} \cup \widehat{M}_N$ deleted is a domain, thus it is a connected component of $(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_{N-1} \cap \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_N) \setminus (\widehat{M}_{N-1} \cup \widehat{M}_N)$.

Step 4. One connected component of $(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_{N-1} \cap \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_N) \setminus (\widehat{M}_{N-1} \cup \widehat{M}_N)$ contains whole set $(\mathbf{Z}_{N-1} \cap \mathbf{Z}_N) \setminus (\widehat{M}_{N-1} \cup \widehat{M}_N)$.

Step 5. $\widehat{f}_{N-1} = \widehat{f}_N$ on $(\mathbf{Z}_{N-1} \cap \mathbf{Z}_N) \setminus (\widehat{M}_{N-1} \cup \widehat{M}_N)$. □

REFERENCES

- [JarPfl 2007] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *A general cross theorem with singularities*, Analysis Munich 27 (2007), 181-212.
- [JarPfl 2010] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *A new cross theorem for separately holomorphic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), 3923-3932.
- [JarPfl 2011] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *Separately analytic functions*, EMS Publishing House, 2011.
- [Lew 2012] A. Lewandowski, *An extension theorem with analytic singularities for generalized (N, k) -crosses*, Ann. Polon. Math. (2012) (to appear).