

THE CARATHÉODORY AND KOBAYASHI–ROYDEN METRICS BY WAY OF DUAL EXTREMAL PROBLEMS

HALSEY ROYDEN, PIT-MANN WONG AND STEVEN G. KRANTZ

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a complex normed space and let $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be the *Minkowski subnorm* on $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$, i.e.

- $P(x + y) \leq P(x) + P(y)$, $x, y \in X$,
- $P(tx) = tP(x)$, $t \geq 0$, $x \in X$,
- $c^{-1}\|x\|_X \leq P(x) \leq c\|x\|_X$, $x \in X$, where $c = c(P, \|\cdot\|_X) > 0$ is some constant.

Let

$$X^* := \{u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \text{ is } \mathbb{C}\text{-linear and continuous}\}$$

denote the dual of X endowed with the standard norm

$$\|u\|_{X^*} := \sup \left\{ \frac{|u(x)|}{\|x\|_X} : x \in X \setminus \{0\} \right\}, \quad u \in X^*.$$

Put

$$P^*(u) := \sup \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Re} u(x)}{P(x)} : x \in X \setminus \{0\} \right\}, \quad u \in X^*.$$

One can easily prove that $P^* : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a Minkowski subnorm on $(X^*, \|\cdot\|_{X^*})$ with the same constant c as that for P .

- Indeed, subadditivity and positive homogeneity are clear. To see the third condition observe that

$$\frac{\operatorname{Re} u(x)}{P(x)} \leq c \frac{|u(x)|}{\|x\|_X}, \quad x \neq 0,$$

i.e. right inequality is trivial. To see the left inequality fix $u \in X^*$ and observe that for any $x \in X$ with $u(x) \neq 0$ there is $\tilde{x} \in X$ with $\|x\|_X = \|\tilde{x}\|_X$ and

$$0 < u(\tilde{x}) = |u(\tilde{x})| = \operatorname{Re} u(\tilde{x}).$$

Hence

$$\frac{|u(x)|}{c\|x\|_X} = \frac{\operatorname{Re} u(\tilde{x})}{c\|\tilde{x}\|_X} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} u(\tilde{x})}{P(\tilde{x})} \leq P^*(u).$$

Since x was arbitrary (if $u(x) = 0$ then inequality is obvious), we get

$$c^{-1}\|u\|_{X^*} \leq P^*(u).$$

Let Y be a complex linear subspace of X and let $x_0 \in X \setminus \bar{Y}$. Define

$$m = m(x_0 + Y) := \inf_{y \in Y} P(x_0 + y)$$

and

$$M = M(x_0 + Y) := \inf\{P^*(u) : u \in Y^0, \operatorname{Re} u(x_0) = 1\},$$

where $Y^0 := \{u \in X^* : u|_Y = 0\}$ is the *annihilator* of Y . Clearly $m > 0$, since $x_0 \notin \bar{Y}$.

To solve the linear extremal problem is to find a point $x \in x_0 + Y$ such that $P(x) = m$. To solve the dual extremal problem is to find a point $u \in Y^0$ with $\operatorname{Re} u(x_0) = 1$ such that $P^*(u) = M$.

Proposition 1 (principle of duality). *With notation as above, we have*

- (i) $mM = 1$;
- (ii) there exists a point $u \in Y^0$ with $\operatorname{Re} u(x_0) = 1$ and $P^*(u) = M$;
- (iii) if $x \in x_0 + Y$ and $u \in Y^0$ are such that $\operatorname{Re} u(x) = P(x)P^*(u) = 1$, then $P(x) = m$ and $P^*(u) = M$.

0.1. A linear extremal problem. Let Ω be a bounded, convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n containing the origin. Let

$$p(z) := \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \lambda^{-1}z \in \Omega\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

be the *Minkowski function* of Ω . Note that $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : p(z) < 1\}$ and that p is Minkowski subnorm on \mathbb{C}^n .

- Indeed, recall that $p_{\Omega_1} \geq p_{\Omega_2}$ for $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$. Form the assumption there are $r, R > 0$ such that $B(0, r) \subset \Omega \subset B(0, R)$, i.e.

$$\frac{\|z\|}{R} = p_{B(0,R)}(z) \leq p(z) \leq p_{B(0,r)}(z) = \frac{\|z\|}{r}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

It suffices to take $c := \max\{r^{-1}, R\}$.

For $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\zeta_\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$, $d_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq \alpha \leq \nu$, let

$$\mathcal{D}(\zeta) = \mathcal{D}_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\nu; d_1, \dots, d_\nu)}(\zeta) := \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\nu} (\zeta - \zeta_\alpha)^{d_\alpha}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C},$$

be the *divisor* on \mathbb{D} with total degree $\deg \mathcal{D} := \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\nu} d_\alpha$ and let

$$\mathfrak{D} := \{a_{\alpha, \beta_\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}^n : 1 \leq \alpha \leq \nu, 0 \leq \beta_\alpha \leq d_\alpha - 1\}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ put $X := \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{C}^k(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and

$$\|f\|_X := \sum_{j=0}^k \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}} \|f^{(j)}(\zeta)\|, \quad f \in X.$$

Then $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is a Banach space. Define

$$P(f) := \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}} p(f(\zeta)), \quad f \in X.$$

Finally, we define

$$(1.1) \quad L_k = L_k(\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{D}) := \{f \in X : f^{(\beta_\alpha)}(\zeta_\alpha) = a_{\alpha, \beta_\alpha}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \nu, 0 \leq \beta_\alpha \leq d_\alpha - 1\}.$$

Note that L_k is an affine subspace of X . Indeed, if we fix $f_0 \in L_k$ then

$$L_k = f_0 + Y,$$

where $Y := \{\mathcal{D}f : f \in X\}$ is a closed linear subspace of X .

Proposition 2. *Let Ω be a bounded, convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n containing the origin and let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

- (a) *Let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{D}$, $a, b \in \Omega$, $a \neq b$, $\mathcal{D}(\zeta) = (\zeta - \zeta_1)(\zeta - \zeta_2)$, $\mathfrak{D} = \{a, b\}$, $f \in L_k(\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{D})$ with $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset \Omega$. Then the following are equivalent*
 - (i) *f is extremal for $K_\Omega(a, b)$;*
 - (ii) *$P(f) = 1$ and f is extremal for $m(L_k)$.*
- (b) *Let $a \in \Omega$, $v \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathcal{D}(\zeta) = \zeta^2$, $\mathfrak{D} = \{a, v\}$, $f \in L_k(\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{D})$ with $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset \Omega$. Then the following are equivalent*
 - (i) *f is extremal for $\kappa_\Omega(a; v)$;*
 - (ii) *$P(f) = 1$ and f is extremal for $m(L_k)$.*

0.2. The dual extremal problem. Let Ω be a bounded, convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n containing the origin with Minkowski function p . Let

$$p^*(w) := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(z \bullet w)}{p(z)}, \quad w \in \Omega,$$

where $z \bullet w := \sum_{j=1}^n z_j w_j$ for any $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n), w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\mathbb{T} := \partial\mathbb{D}$.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ put $X := \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and

$$\|f\|_X := \sum_{j=0}^k \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}} \|f^{(j)}(\zeta)\|, \quad f \in X.$$

Then $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is a Banach space. Define

$$P(f) := \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}} p(f(\zeta)), \quad f \in X.$$

Let P^* be the Minkowski subnorm associated to P defined on the dual space X^* . Denote by τ the normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} , i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} v(\zeta) d\tau(\zeta) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} v(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad v \in L^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}; \tau).$$

For $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^n; \tau)$ let $v\tau := (v_1\tau, \dots, v_n\tau)$.

Theorem 3. *Let Ω be a bounded, convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n with \mathcal{C}^k boundary, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, containing the origin and let $f \in L_k(\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{D})$, where \mathfrak{D} contains at least two different vectors. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) f is extremal for $m = m(L_k)$;
- (ii) there is a map $\tilde{h} \in H^1(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$, $h \neq 0$, such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\zeta) \bullet h(\zeta) d\tau(\zeta) = P(f)P^*(h\tau),$$

where $h(\zeta) := \zeta(\mathcal{D}(\zeta))^{-1}\tilde{h}^*(\zeta)$;

- (iii) there is a map $\tilde{h} \in H^1(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$, $h \neq 0$, such that

$$p(f(\zeta)) = m, \quad \operatorname{Re}(f(\zeta) \bullet h(\zeta)) = p(f(\zeta))p^*(h(\zeta)) \quad \text{for a.a. } \zeta \in \mathbb{T},$$

where $h(\zeta) := \zeta(\mathcal{D}(\zeta))^{-1}\tilde{h}^*(\zeta)$.

Furthermore,

- if Ω is strictly convex (i.e. if $z, w, \frac{1}{2}(z+w) \in \partial\Omega$, then $z = w$) then f as in (i) is unique;
- if Ω has \mathcal{C}^1 boundary, then h as in (ii) or (iii) is unique.

Corollary 4. *Let Ω be a bounded, convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n containing the origin and let $f \in L_k(\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{D}) \cap L_k(\mathcal{D}', \mathfrak{D}')$, where \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' are divisors on \mathbb{D} such that $d := \deg \mathcal{D} \leq \deg \mathcal{D}' =: d'$. If f is extremal for $m = m(L_k(\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{D}))$, then it is also extremal for $m' = m'(L_k(\mathcal{D}', \mathfrak{D}'))$.*

Corollary 5. *Let Ω be a bounded, convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n containing the origin and let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{D}$, $a, b \in \Omega$, $a \neq b$, $\mathcal{D}(\zeta) = (\zeta - \zeta_1)(\zeta - \zeta_2)$, $\mathfrak{D} = \{a, b\}$, $f \in L_k(\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{D})$ with $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset \Omega$. Assume that f is extremal for $K_\Omega(a, b)$. Then f is also extremal for $m = m(L_k(\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{D}))$ with $P(f) = 1$ and there is a map $\tilde{h} \in H^1(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$, $h \neq 0$, such that for a.a. $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ the hyperplane*

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Re}((z - f(\zeta)) \bullet h(\zeta)) = 0\}$$

is a supporting hyperplane for Ω at $f(\zeta)$, where $h(\zeta) := \zeta(\mathcal{D}(\zeta))^{-1}\tilde{h}^*(\zeta)$. Furthermore,

- if Ω is strictly convex then f is unique;

- if Ω has \mathcal{C}^1 boundary, then h is unique.

A consequence of the last corollary is the next result.

Corollary 6. *Let Ω be a bounded, convex domain in \mathbb{C}^n and let $a, b \in \Omega$, $a \neq b$. If $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}, \Omega)$ is extremal for $K_\Omega(a, b)$ which extends \mathcal{C}^k onto \mathbb{T} then f is also extremal for $K_\Omega(a', b')$ and $\kappa_\Omega(a'; v)$ for any $a', b' \in f(\mathbb{D})$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*

Corollary 7. *Let Ω be a bounded strongly convex domain with \mathcal{C}^2 boundary. If f is an extremal mapping for the Kobayashi distance, then $f \in \mathcal{C}^{1/2}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *Invariant Distances and Metrics in Complex Analysis*, Walter de Gruyter, 1993.
- [2] L. Lempert, *La métrique de Kobayashi et la représentation des domaines sur la boule*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **109** (1981), 427–474.