

# PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS AS ENVELOPES OF THE RESTRICTIONS OF DISC FUNCTIONALS

Abstract: We consider the set of analytic disc in an open set  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  which derivative at the origin is zero and we prove that the envelope of Poisson's disc functional on this set is plurisubharmonic.

## INTRODUCTION

Denote by  $\mathbb{D}$  the unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We recall the definition of the Kobayashi-Royden pseudo-metric  $K_X$  of a domain  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

$$K_X(x, v) = \inf \{ \alpha > 0, \text{ there is } f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}, X), f(0) = x, \alpha f'(0) = v \}$$

where  $x \in X, v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . And we set  $\Omega = \{(x, v) \in X \times \mathbb{C}^n, K_X(x, v) < 1\}$ . For  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  upper semicontinuous,  $(x, v) \in \Omega$ , we define :

$$F_\varphi(x, v) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), f(0) = x, f'(0) = v \right\}.$$

Our goal is to check whether  $F_\varphi$  is plurisubharmonic in  $\Omega$ . For any  $x \in X$  we set:

$$EH_\varphi(x) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), f(0) = x \right\}.$$

Poletsky has proved that  $EH_\varphi$  is plurisubharmonic and  $EH_\varphi = \sup\{u \in PSH(X), u \leq \varphi\}$ . Notice that,  $EH_\varphi(x) \leq F_\varphi(x, v)$ , for any  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , such that,  $(x, v) \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem 1** *The function  $F_\varphi(\cdot, 0)$  is plurisubharmonic. Where :*

$$F_\varphi(x, 0) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), f(0) = x, f'(0) = 0 \right\}.$$

And for any integer  $k > 0$  we have :

$$F_\varphi(x, 0) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), f(0) = x, f^{(1)}(0) = \dots = f^{(k)}(0) = 0 \right\} = EH_\varphi(x).$$

Before to prove this theorem let's state and prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** : *Let  $\varphi \in C(X)$ , then,  $F_\varphi \in USC(\Omega)$  and for any,  $(x, v) \in \Omega$ , we have :*

$$F_\varphi(x, v) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} EH_\varphi \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), f(0) = x, f'(0) = v \right\}.$$

**Proof 1** :

$F_\varphi \in USC(\Omega)$ .

Let  $(x_0, v_0) \in \Omega, c \in \mathbb{R}$  and assume that  $F_\varphi(x_0, v_0) < c$ . We need to show that there exists an open neighbourhood  $V$ , of  $(x_0, v_0)$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $F_\varphi(x, v) < c$  for all  $(x, v) \in V$ .

By definition of envelope, there exists  $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X)$ ,  $f_0(0) = x_0, f_0'(0) = v_0$ , such that:

$$F_\varphi(x_0, v_0) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(f_0(t)) d\sigma(t) < c.$$

We choose a compact neighbourhood  $U$  of  $(x_0, v_0)$  in  $\Omega$ , such that :  
 $\{f_0(z) + (x - x_0) + z(v - v_0), z \in \mathbb{D}\} \subset\subset X$  for all  $(x, v) \in U$  and define:

$$f_{x,v}(z) = f_0(z) + x - x_0 + z(v - v_0).$$

Recall that  $\varphi \in C(X)$  and  $F$  is continuous on  $U$  where:

$$F(x, v) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(f_0(t) + x - x_0 + t(v - v_0)) d\sigma(t).$$

Since  $F(x_0, v_0) < c$ , it follows that there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $(x_0, v_0)$  contained in  $U$ , such that for any  $(x, v) \in V$ , we have:  $F_\varphi(x, v) < c$ . Hence  $F_\varphi \in USC(\Omega)$ .

We prove the equality.

Let  $(x, v) \in \Omega$ , as  $EH_\varphi \leq \varphi$  then we have :

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} EH_\varphi \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), f(0) = x, f'(0) = v \right\} \leq \\ & \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), f(0) = x, f'(0) = v \right\} = F_\varphi(x, v). \end{aligned}$$

In order to show the other inequality we have to prove that:  $F_\varphi(x, v) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} EH_\varphi \circ h(e^{i\theta}) d\theta$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X)$  with  $h(0) = x, h'(0) = v$ . We will get, this, if we reach to prove that for any  $P \in C(X)$  with  $EH_\varphi \leq P$  we have :  $F_\varphi(x, v) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P \circ h(e^{i\theta}) d\theta$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X)$  with  $h(0) = x, h'(0) = v$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0, (x, v) \in \Omega, h \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X)$  with  $h(0) = x, h'(0) = v$  and for any  $P \in C(X)$  with  $EH_\varphi \leq P$ . We construct  $g \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), g(0) = x, g'(0) = v$  such that:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi \circ g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P \circ h(e^{i\theta}) d\theta + \epsilon.$$

To simplify the work, for any  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X)$  we denote by  $H_\varphi(f)$  the average of  $\varphi$  on the boundary of the analytic disc  $f$ . In other word  $H_\varphi(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi \circ h(e^{i\theta}) d\theta$ .

Let  $(x, v) \in \Omega, \epsilon > 0$  and  $h \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X)$  with  $h(0) = x, h'(0) = v$ . For every  $w_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ , Poletsky's theorem ensures that there exists  $r_0 > 1$  and  $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}(D_{r_0}, X), f_0(0) = h(w_0)$  such that:

$$H_\varphi(f_0) \leq P(h(w_0)) + \epsilon.$$

Since  $\varphi \in C(X), P \in C(X)$ , there exists an open arc,  $I_0 \ni w_0$  such that:

$$f_0(D_{r_0}) + h(w) - h(w_0) \subset\subset X, w \in I_0.$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(f_0(t) + h(w) - h(w_0)) d\sigma(t) \leq P(h(w)) + \epsilon, w \in I_0.$$

We define:

$$F_0 : D_{r_0} \times I_0 \longrightarrow X, F_0(z, w) = f_0(z) + h(w) - h(w_0).$$

Then  $F_0 \in C^\infty(D_{r_0} \times I_0, X), F_0(\cdot, w) \in \mathcal{O}(D_{r_0}, X), F_0(0, w) = h(w)$  and

$$H_\varphi(F_0(\cdot, w)) \leq P(h(w)) + \epsilon, w \in I_0.$$

A simple compactness argument shows that there exists a cover of  $\mathbb{T}$  by open arcs  $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^N$ ,  $r_j > 1$  and  $F_j \in C^\infty(D_{r_j} \times I_j, X)$  with  $F_j(\cdot, w) \in \mathcal{O}(D_{r_j}, X)$ ,  $F_j(0, w) = h(w)$ ,  $F_j(D_{r_j} \times I_j)$  is relatively compact in  $X$ , and

$$H_\varphi(F_j(\cdot, w)) \leq P(h(w)) + \epsilon, w \in I_j.$$

We set  $r = \min r_j$ , let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $X$  containing all the images,  $F_j(D_r \times I_j) \cup h(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ , and choose

$$C > \max_K (|\varphi| + |P|).$$

There exists disjoint closed arcs  $J_j \subset I_j$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  such that

$$\sigma(\mathbb{T} \setminus \bigcup_j J_j) < \epsilon/C.$$

Now we choose disjoint open arcs  $K_j$  such that  $J_j \subset K_j \subset I_j$ , and a function  $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}, [0, 1])$  satisfying  $\rho(w) = 1$  if  $w \in \bigcup_{j=1}^N J_j$  and  $\rho(w) = 0$  if  $w \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \bigcup_j K_j$ , and finally we define

$$F(z, w) = \begin{cases} F_j(\rho(w)z, w), & z \in D_r, w \in K_j, j = 1, \dots, N \\ h(w), & z \in D_r, w \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \bigcup_j K_j. \end{cases}$$

The choice of  $\rho$  ensures that  $F \in C^\infty(D_r \times \mathbb{T}, X)$  and  $F(\cdot, w) \in \mathcal{O}(D_r, X)$ ,  $F(0, w) = h(w)$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{T}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_\varphi(F(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) &= \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}} \varphi(F(z, w)) d\sigma(z) d\sigma(w) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{J_j} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(F_j(z, w)) d\sigma(z) \right) d\sigma(w) + C\sigma(\mathbb{T} \setminus \bigcup_j J_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{J_j} H_\varphi(F_j(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) + \epsilon \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{J_j} P(h(w)) d\sigma(w) + \epsilon + \epsilon \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(h(w)) d\sigma(w) + 2\epsilon + C\sigma(\mathbb{T} \setminus \bigcup_j J_j) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(h(w)) d\sigma(w) + 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that:

$$F(z, w) = h(w) + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (F(z, e^{i\theta}) - h(e^{i\theta})) e^{-ik\theta} d\theta \right) w^k.$$

Since the map :

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \theta \mapsto F(z, e^{i\theta}) - h(e^{i\theta}) \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

is infinitely differentiable with period  $2\pi$ , the Fourier series converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{T}$  for any  $z \in D_{r_0}$ . Where  $1 < r_0 < r$ .

Now we introduce the  $j$ -th partial sums of the series :

$$G_j(z, w) = h(w) + \sum_{k=-j}^j \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (F(z, e^{i\theta}) - h(e^{i\theta})) e^{-ik\theta} d\theta \right) w^k.$$

Since  $G_j \rightarrow F$  uniformly on  $D_{r_0} \times \mathbb{T}$  as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$  there exists  $j_0$  such that

$$G_j(D_{r_0} \times \mathbb{T}) \subset X, \quad j \geq j_0.$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} H_\varphi(G_j(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_\varphi(F(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) + \epsilon.$$

Notice that:

$$G_j \in \mathcal{O}(D_r \times \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^n).$$

$w \mapsto G_j(z, w)$  has a pole at 0 of order  $\leq j$  for every  $z \in D_r$ .

Since  $F(0, e^{i\theta}) = h(e^{i\theta})$  for all  $\theta$ , the function  $z \mapsto G_j(z, w) - h(w)$  has a zero at the origin for all  $w$ .

We can write

$$G_j(z, w) = h(w) + zw^{-j}Q_j(z, w), \quad \text{where } Q_j \in \mathcal{O}(D_r \times \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^n).$$

For every  $k \geq j$  we get

$$G_j(zw^k, w) = h(w) + zw^{k-j}Q_j(zw^k, w) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n).$$

Since  $G_j(z, w) \in X$  for all  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{T}$  and  $j \geq j_0$ , we can choose  $\eta_j \in ]0, 1[$  such that

$$G_j(z, w) \in X, \quad (z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times (\mathbb{D} \setminus D_{\eta_j}).$$

We even have for every  $k \geq j$ , that:

$$G_j(zw^k, w) \in X, \quad (z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times (\mathbb{D} \setminus D_{\eta_j}).$$

Since  $G_j(0, w) = h(w) \in X$  for all  $w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  there exists  $\delta_j$ , such that

$$G_j(zw^j, w) \in X, \quad (z, w) \in D_{\delta_j} \times \mathbb{D}.$$

If we take  $k_j > j$  such that  $zw^{k_j} \in D_{\delta_j}$  for  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times D_{\eta_j}$ . We have

$$G_j(zw^{k_j}, w) \in X, \quad (z, w) \in \mathbb{D} \times D_{\eta_j}.$$

Recall that  $j > j_0$  sufficiently large, we have

$$G_j(\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \mathbb{T}) \subset X,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} H_\varphi(G_j(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_\varphi(F(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) + \epsilon.$$

Take  $k_j > j + 1$  and set:

$$G(z, w) = G_j(zw^{k_j}, w) = h(w) + zw^{k_j-j}Q_j(zw^{k_j}, w) \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}, X).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_\varphi(G(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(G_j(e^{i(\alpha+k_j\theta)}, e^{i\theta})) d\alpha d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(G_j(e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\theta})) d\alpha d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_\varphi(G_j(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_\varphi(F(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\varphi \circ G$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$ . We set

$$\varphi \circ G(z_0, w_0) = \varphi \circ G_j(z_0 w_0^{k_j}, w_0) = \min\{\varphi \circ G_j(z w^{k_j}, w), (z, w) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}\},$$

and we take

$$g(w) = G_j(w^{k_j} e^{i\alpha_0}, w).$$

Notice that  $g \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X)$ ,  $g(0) = h(0)$ ,  $g'(0) = h'(0)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} H_\varphi(g) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(G_j(e^{i\alpha_0} e^{ik_j\theta}, e^{i\theta})) d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(G_j(e^{i\alpha} e^{ik_j\theta}, e^{i\theta})) d\alpha d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_\varphi(G_j(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_\varphi(F(\cdot, w)) d\sigma(w) + \epsilon \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(h(w)) d\sigma(w) + 4\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So  $F_\varphi(x, v) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(h(w)) d\sigma(w) + 4\epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  hence  $F_\varphi(x, v) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} P(h(w)) d\sigma(w)$ . We have this for any continuous function  $P$  bigger than  $EH_\varphi$  by monotonic convergence theorem we get  $F_\varphi(x, v) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} EH_\varphi \circ h(e^{i\theta}) d\theta$ . As  $h$  is arbitrary so we have:

$$F_\varphi(x, v) \leq \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} EH_\varphi \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), f(0) = x, f'(0) = v \right\}.$$

Proof of theorem 1.

**Proof 2** For  $x \in X$  if we consider the constant disc  $f = x$ , Lemma 1, gives,  $F_\varphi(x, 0) \leq EH_\varphi(x)$ , hence  $F_\varphi(x, 0) = EH_\varphi(x)$ . So  $F_\varphi(\cdot, 0) \in PSH(X)$  and it coincides with the largest plurisubharmonic function less than  $\varphi$ .

Let  $k > 0$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X)$  with  $h(0) = x$ ,  $h^{(1)}(0) = \dots = h^{(k)}(0) = 0$  if we repeat the proof of Lemma 1, we get,

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), f(0) = x, f^{(1)}(0) = \dots = f^{(k)}(0) = 0 \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} EH_\varphi \circ h(e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

On considering the constant disc we get

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}, X), f(0) = x, f^{(1)}(0) = \dots = f^{(k)}(0) = 0 \right\} = EH_\varphi(x) = F_\varphi(x, 0).$$