

Notations and definitions  
 Linear Fractional Transformation (LFT)  
 The Main Loop Theorem  
 Upper bound LFT  
 BREAK  
 Properties of  $\nabla$   
 $S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
 Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

## Notation

$\|v\|$  - standard Euclidean norm for  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$\bar{\sigma}(M) = \sup\{|Mx| : |x| = 1\}$  = maximum eigenvalue of  $(M^*M)^{1/2}$  - maximum singular value,

$$\Delta_l := \{\text{diag}[\delta_1 I_{r_1}, \dots, \delta_S I_{r_S}, \Delta_{S+1}, \dots, \Delta_{S+F}], \},$$

where  $\delta_i \in \mathbb{C}, \Delta_{S+j} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_j \times m_j}$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\Delta_l} := \{\Delta \in \Delta_l : \bar{\sigma}(\Delta) \leq 1\}$$

$S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE

$S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE

Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

## Definiton

For  $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  we define

$$\mu_{\Delta_1}(M) := \frac{1}{\min\{\bar{\sigma}(\Delta) : \Delta \in \Delta_1, \det(I - M\Delta) = 0\}}$$

and we put  $\mu_{\Delta_1}(M) := 0$  if for any  $\Delta \in \Delta_1$  matrix  $I - M\Delta$  is singular.  
 $\mu$  is continuous function.

Notations and definitons  
Linear Fractional Transformation (LFT)  
The Main Loop Theorem  
Upper bound LFT  
BREAK  
Properties of  $\nabla$   
 $S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

Consider a complex matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

### Definiton

We define a two blocks structure the following way:

$$\Delta_{|1} := \{\Delta_1 \text{ is matrix} : M_{11}\Delta_1 \text{ is square}\}$$

$$\Delta_{|2} := \{\Delta_2 \text{ is matrix} : M_{22}\Delta_2 \text{ is square}\}$$

For  $\Delta_2 \in \Delta_{|2}$  consider the loop equations :

$$e = M_{11}d + M_{12}w,$$

$$z = M_{21}d + M_{22}w,$$

$$w = \Delta_2 z.$$

## Definiton

Set of equations :

$$e = M_{11}d + M_{12}w,$$

$$z = M_{21}d + M_{22}w,$$

$$w = \Delta_2 z.$$

is called well posed if for any vector  $d$ , there exist unique vectors  $w, z$  and  $e$  satisfying the loop equations.

## Observation

Equations are well posed if and only if  $\det(I - M_{22}\Delta_2) \neq 0$

When the inverse does exist the vectors  $e$  and  $d$  satisfy:

$$e = P(M, \Delta_2)d$$

where

$$P(M, \Delta_2) := M_{11} + M_{12}\Delta_2(I - M_{22}\Delta_2)^{-1}M_{21}$$

Analogous formula describes  $P(\Delta_1, M)$ ,

$$P(\Delta_1, M) := M_{22} + M_{21}\Delta_1(I - M_{11}\Delta_1)^{-1}M_{12}.$$

We can extend the definition  $P$  in the following way.

Suppose we have two complex matrix:

$$Q := \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad M := \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $Q_{22}M_{11}$  well defined and square. If  $I - Q_{22}M_{11}$  is invertible then we define:

$$P(Q, M) := \begin{bmatrix} P(Q, M_{11}) & Q_{12}(I - M_{11}Q_{22})^{-1}M_{12} \\ M_{21}(I - Q_{22}M_{11})^{-1}Q_{21} & P(Q_{22}, M) \end{bmatrix}$$

Next we only consider equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = P(Q, M) \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

**The Main Loop Theorem**

Upper bound LFT

BREAK

Properties of  $\nabla$

$S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE

$S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE

Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

## Definiton

We define:

$$\mathbb{B}_i := \{\Delta_i \in \Delta_{|i} : \bar{\sigma}(\Delta_i) \leq 1\}$$

and structure  $\Delta_{|i}$  as

$$\Delta_{|i} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_2 \end{bmatrix} : \Delta_1 \in \Delta_{|1}, \Delta_2 \in \Delta_{|2} \right\}$$

and

$$\mu_i := \mu_{\Delta_{|i}} \text{ for } i = 1, 2$$

## Theorem

The linear fractional transformation  $P(M, \Delta_2)$  is well posed for all  $\Delta_2 \in \mathbb{B}_2$  if and only if  $\mu_2(M_{22}) < 1$

**The Main Loop Theorem**

Upper bound LFT

BREAK

Properties of  $\nabla$

$S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE

$S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE

Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

**Theorem**

(Main Loop Theorem)

$$\mu_{\Delta}(M) < 1 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \mu_2(M_{22}) < 1, \\ \max_{\Delta_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2} \mu_1(P(M, \Delta_2)) < 1 \end{cases}$$

**Proof.**

Of course  $\mu_{\Delta}(M) < 1$  implies that  $\mu_2(M_{22}) < 1$ . Let  $\Delta_i \in \Delta_i$  be such that  $\bar{\sigma}(\Delta_i) \leq 1$ , and define

$$\Delta = \text{diag}[\Delta_1, \Delta_2]$$

. We see that

$$\det(I - M\Delta) = \det \begin{bmatrix} I - M_{11}\Delta_1 & -M_{12}\Delta_2 \\ -M_{21}\Delta_1 & I - M_{22}\Delta_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because  $I - M_{22}\Delta_2$  is invertible, hence

$$\det(I - M\Delta) = \det(I - M_{22}\Delta_2) \cdot \det(I - M_{11}\Delta_1 - M_{12}\Delta_2(I - M_{22}\Delta_2)^{-1}M_{21}\Delta_1).$$

**The Main Loop Theorem**

Upper bound LFT

BREAK

Properties of  $\nabla$

$S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE

$S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE

Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

**Proof.**

and therefore

$$\det(I - M\Delta) = \det(I - M_{22}\Delta_2) \det(I - P(M, \Delta_2)\Delta_1).$$



**Example**

Let  $\Delta_{|1} := \{\delta_1 I_n : \delta_1 \in \mathbb{C}\}$ ,  $\Delta_{|2} := \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ . Recall that  $\mu_1(A) = \rho(A)$ ,  $\mu_2 = \bar{\sigma}(D)$ . Let  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  be given. Consider the state space model of a discrete time

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k,$$

$$y_k = Cx_k + Du_k$$

and define

$$M := \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$



**The Main Loop Theorem**

Upper bound LFT

BREAK

Properties of  $\nabla$

$S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE

$S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE

Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

### Example

The following conditions are equivalent:

- $\rho(A) < 1$  and

$$\max_{\delta_1 \in \mathbb{C}, |\delta_1| \leq 1} (D + C\delta_1(I - A\delta_1)^{-1}B) < 1$$

- $\bar{\sigma}(D) < 1$  and

$$\max_{\Delta_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, \bar{\sigma}(\Delta_2) \leq 1} \rho(A + B\Delta_2(I - D\Delta_2)^{-1}C) < 1$$

- $\mu_{\Delta_1}(M) < 1$

Notations and definitions  
 Linear Fractional Transformation (LFT)  
 The Main Loop Theorem  
 Upper bound LFT  
 BREAK  
 Properties of  $\nabla$   
 $S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
 Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

Let  $\Delta_{|1}$  and  $\Delta_{|2}$  be two given structures. Define

$$\Delta_{|i} := \{\text{diag}[\Delta_1, \Delta_2] : \Delta_i \in \Delta_{|i}\}$$

$$\mathbb{D}_i := \{\text{diag}[D_1, D_2, \dots, D_S, d_{S+1}I_{m_1}, \dots, d_{S+F}I_{m_F}] :$$

$$D_i = D_i^* > 0, d_{S+j} \in \mathbb{R}, d_{S+j} > 0\} \subset \Delta_{|i}$$

$$\mathbb{D} := \{\text{diag}[D_1, D_2] : D_i \in \mathbb{D}_i\}$$

### Theorem

(Redheffer, 1959, 1960) Let  $M$  be

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose there is a  $D \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2}MD^{-1/2}) < 1$ . Then there exists a  $D_1 \in \mathbb{D}_1$  such that

$$\max_{\Delta_2 \in \mathbb{B}_2} \bar{\sigma}(D_1^{1/2}P(M, \Delta_2)D_1^{-1/2}) < 1.$$

### Proof.

Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be the separate parts of the  $D \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2}MD^{-1/2}) < 1$ . We see that  $\mu_2(M_{22}) < 1$  so for any  $\Delta_2 \in \mathbb{B}_2$  the two LFT's are well posed (?). By assumption for  $d \neq 0$  we find unique  $e, w, z$  such that

$$\|z\|^2 + \|e\|^2 < \|w\|^2 + \|d\|^2$$

and since  $\bar{\sigma}(\Delta_2) \leq 1$

$$\|w\|^2 \leq \|z\|^2.$$

We get

$$\|e\|^2 < \|d\|^2$$



Notations and definitons  
Linear Fractional Transformation (LFT)  
The Main Loop Theorem  
Upper bound LFT

**BREAK**

Properties of  $\nabla$

$S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE

$S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE

$S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE

Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

BREAK

**Properties of  $\nabla$**

$S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
 Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

Recall that:

If  $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  then we have sigular value decomposition

$$M = \sigma_1 UV^* + U_2 \Sigma_2 V_2^*$$

For U and V compatibly with  $\Delta|$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ A_S \\ E_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ E_F \end{bmatrix} \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ B_S \\ H_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ H_F \end{bmatrix}$$

$S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
 Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

we define

$$P_i^\eta := A_i \eta \eta^* A_i^* - B_i \eta \eta^* B_i^\eta,$$

$$P_{S+j}^\eta := \eta^* (E_j^* E_j - H_j^* H_j) \eta$$

and

$$\nabla_M := \{\text{diag}[P_1^\eta, \dots, P_S^\eta, P_{S+1}^\eta I_{m_1}, \dots, P_{S+F-1}^\eta I_{m_{F-1}}, O_{m_F}] : \eta \in \mathbb{C}^r, \|\eta\| = 1\}$$

We have known

### Theorem

$$\inf_{D \in \mathbb{D}} \bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2} M D^{-1/2}) = \bar{\sigma}(M) \quad \text{iff} \quad 0 \in \text{conv}(\nabla_M)$$

$S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

## Theorem

*The following statements are equivalent*

- (a)  $0 \in \nabla_M$
- (b) *There exists  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^r$ ,  $\|\eta\| = 1$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{Q} := \{A^*A = I\}$  with  $QU\eta = V\eta$*
- (c)  $\bar{\sigma}(M) = \mu_{\Delta}(M)$

## Definiton

*Consider structure  $\Delta_1$  has the following property :*

*if  $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and  $0 \in \text{conv}(\nabla_W)$  then  $0 \in \nabla_W$ .*

*In this case we say  $\Delta_1$  is  $\mu$ -simple.*

## Theorem

Suppose the block structure  $\Delta$  is  $\mu$ -simple. Then for every  $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  we have

$$\mu_{\Delta}(M) = \inf_{D \in \mathbb{D}} \bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2} M D^{-1/2})$$

## Theorem

(Fact from Functional Analysis)

$$\rho(M) = \inf_{D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, D = D^* > 0} \bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2} M^{-1/2})$$

In this section we will answer the question:  
when we have

$$\mu_{\Delta}(M) = \inf_{D \in \mathbb{D}} \bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2} M D^{-1/2})?$$



$S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

Case  $S = 0, F = 1$  is trivial.  
In case  $S = 1, F = 0$  we have

$$\rho(M) = \inf_{D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, D = D^* > 0} \bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2} M^{-1/2})$$

Notations and definitons  
Linear Fractional Transformation (LFT)  
The Main Loop Theorem  
Upper bound LFT  
BREAK  
Properties of  $\nabla$   
 $S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R} \dots$

In this situation we have

$$\nabla = \{\eta^*(E^*E - F^*F)\eta : \eta \in \mathbb{C}^r, \|\eta\| = 1\}$$

Since  $E^*E - F^*F$  is Hermitian,  $\nabla$  is a closed interval in the real line.

In this case we have

$$\nabla = \{A\eta\eta^*A^* - B\eta\eta^*B^* : \eta \in \mathbb{C}^r, \|\eta\| = 1\}$$

for some  $r > 0$  and  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times r}$ .

Of course we see that  $\nabla$  is not convex ( $A = I, B = 0$ )

### Theorem

Let  $\nabla$  be defined as

$$\nabla = \{A\eta\eta^*A^* - B\eta\eta^*B^* : \eta \in \mathbb{C}^r, \|\eta\| = 1\}$$

for some  $r > 0$  and  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times r}$ .

If  $0 \in \text{conv}(\nabla)$ , then  $0 \in \nabla$

## Proof.

Suppose that  $0 \in \text{conv}(\nabla)$ . Then for some integer  $p$ . There exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^p$  and vectors  $\eta_i \in \mathbb{C}^r$  with  $\|\eta_i\|$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i (A \eta_i \eta_i^* A^* - B \eta_i \eta_i^* B^*) = 0$$

. We see that

$$A \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \eta_i \eta_i^* \right) A^* = B \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \eta_i \eta_i^* \right) B^*.$$

Next we define  $X := \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \eta_i \eta_i^*$ . We easily check  $X = X^*$  and  $X \geq 0$ . Let  $X^{1/2}$  be its root. Therefore we have

$$A X^{1/2} X^{1/2} A^* = B X^{1/2} X^{1/2} B^*.$$



### Proof.

We get

$$AX^{1/2} = BX^{1/2}V$$

where  $V$  is unitary matrix ( $V := X^{1/2}B^*AX^{-1/2}$ ). Let  $v$  be an eigenvector of  $V$  and define  $u := X^{1/2}v$ . Then

$$Au = e^{i\theta}Bu$$

. We use theorem with

$$Q := \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta}I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$



Notations and definitions  
 Linear Fractional Transformation (LFT)  
 The Main Loop Theorem  
 Upper bound LFT  
 BREAK  
 Properties of  $\nabla$   
 $S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
 Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

In this case (  $S = 0, F = 4$  ) we take  $m_j = 1$ .

Let  $a, b, c > 0$  and  $d, f \in \mathbb{C}, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $U, V \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 2}$  by

$$U := \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & b \\ c & ic \\ d & f \end{bmatrix}, \quad V := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ b & -b \\ c & -ic \\ e^{i\psi_1}f & e^{i\psi_2}d \end{bmatrix},$$

Suppose  $U, V$  are unitary. For example:

set  $\gamma := 3 + 3^{1/2}, \beta := 3^{1/2} - 1$ . Then  $a = (2/\gamma)^{1/2}, b = 1/(\gamma)^{1/2} = c,$   
 $d = -(\beta/\gamma)^{1/2} f = (1+i)(1/(\gamma\beta))^{1/2}, \psi_1 = -\pi/2, \psi_2 = \pi$

Notations and definitons  
 Linear Fractional Transformation (LFT)  
 The Main Loop Theorem  
 Upper bound LFT  
 BREAK  
 Properties of  $\nabla$   
 $S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
 Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

Next, we define matrix

$$M := UV^*$$

Obviously  $\bar{\sigma}(M) = 1$ . Take  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $\|\eta\| = 1$ . Of course  $\eta$  is the form

$$\eta = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\Psi_1} \cos \theta \\ e^{i\Psi_2} \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define  $\Psi := \Psi_1 - \Psi_2$ . We get

$$\nabla_M = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a^2(\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) \\ 4b^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos \Psi \\ 4c^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \sin \Psi \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \Psi, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Easy calculations show that  $0 \notin \nabla_M$ , hence  $\mu(M) < 1$ .

On the other hand, setting  $\theta = 0$  and  $\theta = \pi/2$  we get  $[a^2, 0, 0], [-a^2, 0, 0] \in \nabla_M$ .

Therefore  $0 \in \text{conv}(\nabla_M)$ . We obtain

$$\inf_{D \in \mathbb{D}} \bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2}MD^{-1/2}) = \bar{\sigma}(M) = 1.$$

This counter-example show that if  $S + F \geq 4$ , there exist matrices  $M$  with

$$\inf_{D \in \mathbb{D}} \bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2}MD^{-1/2}) = \bar{\sigma}(M) > \mu(M).$$

Other cases are false, but we won't prove it.



In section 3 we have proved the following

### Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent*

- 1  $\bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2}MD^{-1/2}) < \beta$
- 2  $\lambda_{\max}(D^{1/2}M^*D^{-1/2}D^{1/2}MD^{-1/2}) < \beta^2$
- 3  $D^{1/2}M^*D^{-1/2}D^{1/2}MD^{-1/2} - \beta^2I < 0$
- 4  $M^*DM - \beta^2D < 0$

where  $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \beta > 0, D \in \mathbb{D}$

Next we will prove main theorem in this section:

Notations and definitons  
 Linear Fractional Transformation (LFT)  
 The Main Loop Theorem  
 Upper bound LFT  
 BREAK  
 Properties of  $\nabla$   
 $S = 0, F = 1$  and  $S = 1, F = 0$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 2$  - TRUE  
 $S = 1, F = 1$  - TRUE  
 $S = 0, F = 4$  - FALSE  
 Optimal scalings with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\dots}$

## Theorem

Let  $\mathbb{D}_R$  be the set of real, symmetric, members of  $\mathbb{D}$ . If  $M$  is real, then

$$\inf_{D \in \mathbb{D}} \bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2} M D^{-1/2}) = \inf_{D_R \in \mathbb{D}_R} \bar{\sigma}(D_R^{1/2} M D_R^{-1/2})$$

## Proof.

Let  $D \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $D = D_r + iD_i$  and suppose that  $\bar{\sigma}(D^{1/2} M D^{-1/2}) < \beta$ . Then

$$M^T (D_r + iD_i) M - \beta^2 (D_r + iD_i) < 0$$

and therefore

$$M^T D_r M - \beta^2 D_r < 0$$

. Hence

$$\bar{\sigma}(D_r^{1/2} M D_r^{-1/2}) < \beta$$

.

