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## ABSTRACT

Area and orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of the standard 2-disc, referred to as symplectomorphisms of $\mathbb{D}^{2}$, allow decompositions in terms of positive twist diffeomorphisms. Using the latter decomposition we utilize the Conley index theory of discrete braid classes as introduced in [1, 2] in order to obtain a Morse type forcing theory of periodic points: a priori information about periodic points determines a mapping class which may force additional periodic points.

[^0]Let $\mathbb{D}^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the standard unit 2-disc with coordinates $z=(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and let $\omega=d x \wedge d y$ be the standard area 2-form on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. A diffeomorphism $\mathrm{F}: \mathbb{D}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{2}$ is said to be symplectic if $\mathrm{F}^{*} \omega=\omega$ - area and orientation preserving - and is referred to as a symplectomorphism of $\mathbb{D}^{2}$. Symplectomorphisms of the 2-disc form a group which is denoted by $\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$. A diffeomorphism $F$ is Hamiltonian if it is given as the time-1 mapping of a Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} & =\partial_{y} H(t, x, y) \\
\dot{y} & =-\partial_{x} H(t, x, y) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{H} \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ a the Hamiltonian function with the additional property that $\left.\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t}, \cdot)\right|_{\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}}=$ const. for all $\mathrm{t} \in \mathbb{R}$. The set of Hamiltonians satisfying these requirements is denoted by $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and the associated flow of (1) is denoted by $\psi_{t, H}$. The group $\operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ signifies the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{D}^{2}$. Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms are symplectic by construction. For the 2-disc these notions are equivalent, i.e. $\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and we may therefore study Hamiltonian systems in order to prove properties about symplectomorphisms of $\mathbb{D}^{2}, c f$. [3], and Appendix B.
$A$ subset $B \subset \mathbb{D}^{2}$ is a invariant set for $F$ if $F(B)=B$. We are interested in finite invariant sets. Such invariant sets consist of periodic points, i.e. points $z \in \mathbb{D}^{2}$ such that $F^{k}(z)=z$ for some $k \geqslant 1$. Since $\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}$ is also invariant, periodic point are either in int $\mathbb{D}^{2}$, or $\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}$.

The main result of this paper concerns a forcing problem. Given a finite invariant set $B \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ for $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, do there exist additional periodic points? More generally, does there exist a finite invariant set $A \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$, with $A \cap B=\varnothing$ ? This is much alike a similar question for the discrete dynamics on an interval, where the famous Sharkovskii theorem establishes a forcing order among periodic points based on their period. In the 2-dimensional situation such an order is much harder to establish, cf. [3]. The main results are based on braiding properties of periodic points and are stated and proved in Section 7. The braid invariants introduced in this paper add additional information to existing invariants in the areapreserving case. For instance, Example 7.3 describes a braid class which forces additional invariant sets solely in the area-preserving case hence extending the non-symplectic methods described in [4].

The theory in this paper can be further genelarized to include symplectomorphisms of bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with smooth boundary, eg. annuli, and symplectomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
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## 2 MAPPING CLASS GROUPS

A priori knowledge of finite invariant sets B for F categorize mappings in so-called mapping classes. Traditionally mapping class groups are defined for orientation preserving homeomorphisms, cf. [5] for an overview. Denote by Homeo ${ }^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ the space of orientation preserving homeomorphisms and by $\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ the homeomorphisms that leave the boundary point wise invariant. Two homeomorphisms $F, G \in \operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ are isotopic if there exists an isotopy $\phi_{t}$, with $\phi_{t} \in \operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$, such that $\phi_{0}=F$ and $\phi_{1}=G$. The equivalence classes in $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) / \sim$ are called mapping classes and form a group under composition. The latter is referred to as the mapping class group of the 2-disc and is denoted by $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$. For homeomorphisms that leave the boundary point wise invariant the mapping class group is denoted by $\operatorname{Mod}_{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)=\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right)$. In Appendix A we provide proofs of the relevant facts about mapping class groups.
Proposition 2.1. Both mapping class groups $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Mod}_{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ are trivial.

The mapping class groups $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ may also be defined using diffeomorphisms, cf. Appendix A. In Proposition B.1, we show that $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and in Propositon B. 3 we show that $\operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, which implies that every homeomorphism, or diffeomorphism is isotopic to a Hamiltonian symplectomorphism.
Proposition 2.2. $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right)=\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \cong 1$.
More refined information about mapping classes is obtained by considering finite invariant sets B . This leads to the notion of the relative mapping classes. Two homeomorphisms $F, G \in \operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ are of the same mapping class relative to B if there exists an isotopy $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$, with $\phi_{t} \in$ Homeo $^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and $\phi_{t}(B)=B$ for all $t \in[0,1]$, such that $\phi_{0}=F$ and $\phi_{1}=G$. The subgroup of such homeomorphisms is denoted by Homeo ${ }^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)$ and $\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)$ in case $\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}$ is point wise invariant. The associated mapping class groups are denoted by $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)=\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.\right.$ rel $\left.\left.B\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Mod}_{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)=$ $\pi_{0}\left(\mathrm{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.\right.$ rel $\left.\left.B\right)\right)$ respectively.

Proposition 2.3. $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right) \cong \mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} / Z\left(\mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Mod}_{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right) \cong$ $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$, where $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the Artin braid group, with $\mathrm{m}=\# B$ and $Z\left(\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is the center of the braid group.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ be the configuration space of unordered configurations of $m$ points in $\mathbb{D}^{2}$. Geometric braids on $m$ strands on $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ are closed loops in $\mathcal{C}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ based at $B_{0}=\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}\right\}$, where the points $z_{i}$ are defined as follows: $z_{i}=\left(x_{i}, 0\right), x_{0}=-1$, and $x_{i+1}=x_{i}+2 /(m+1)$. The classical braid group on $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ is the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2}, B_{0}\right)$ and is denote by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}$. The (algebraic) Artin braid group $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is a free group spanned by the $m-1$ generators $\sigma_{i}$, modulo following relations:

$$
\begin{cases}\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}, & |i-j| \geqslant 2, i, j \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}  \tag{2}\\ \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}, & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-2 .\end{cases}
$$

Full twists are denoted algebraically by $\square=\left(\sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{\mathfrak{m}-1}\right)^{m}$ and generate the center of the braid group $\mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Presentation of words consisting only of the $\sigma_{i}$ 's (not the inverses) and the relations in (2) form a monoid which is called the positive braid monoid $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$.

There exists a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}$, cf. [6, Sect. 1.4]. For closed loops $\beta(t)$ based at $B \in \mathcal{C}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{j}_{\mathrm{B}}: \pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}, \mathrm{~B}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{0}\right)=\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}$. Let $p:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ be a path connecting $B_{0}$ to $B$, then define $\mathfrak{j}_{B}\left([\beta]_{B}\right):=$ $\left[(p \cdot \beta) \cdot p^{*}\right]_{B_{0}}=\left[p \cdot\left(\beta \cdot p^{*}\right)\right]_{B_{0}}$, where $p^{*}$ is the inverse path connecting $B$ to $B_{0}$. The definition of $j_{B}$ is independent of the chosen path $p$. This yields the isomorphism $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathfrak{i}_{\mathrm{m}}^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{j}_{\mathrm{B}}: \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}, \mathrm{~B}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$.

The construction of the isomorphism $\operatorname{Mod}_{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right) \cong \mathcal{B}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ can be understood as follows, cf. [6], [7]. For $F \in \operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel B) choose an isotopy $\phi_{t} \in \operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right), t \in[0,1]$, such that $\phi_{1}=F$. Such an isotopy exists since $\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ is contractible, cf. Propostion 2.1. For $G \in[F] \in \operatorname{Mod}_{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)$, the composition and scaling of the isotopies defines isotopic braids based at $B \in \mathcal{C}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2}$. The isomorphism $\jmath_{\mathrm{B}}: \operatorname{Mod}_{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \operatorname{rel} B\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is given by $\jmath_{\mathrm{B}}([F])=\iota_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{d}_{*}^{-1}([\mathrm{~F}])\right)=\imath_{\mathrm{B}}\left([\boldsymbol{\beta}]_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, with $\beta(t)=\phi_{t}(B)$ the geometric braid generated by $\phi_{t}$. The isomorphism $d_{*}$ is given in Appendix A. 2 and $[\beta]_{B}$ denotes the homotopy class in $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2}, B\right)$. For $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)$ we use the same notation for the isomorphism which is given by

$$
\jmath_{\mathrm{B}}: \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \operatorname{rel} \mathrm{~B}\right) \cong \mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} / \mathrm{Z}\left(\mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right), \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mapsto \mathrm{\jmath}_{\mathrm{B}}([\mathrm{~F}])=\beta \bmod \square,
$$

where $\beta=\imath_{B}\left([\boldsymbol{\beta}]_{B}\right)$. The above mapping class groups can also be defined using diffeomorphisms and symplectomorphisms.

Proposition 2.4. $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \operatorname{rel} B\right)\right)=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \operatorname{rel} B\right) \cong \mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} / \mathrm{Z}\left(\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$.
In Appendix $B$ we show that $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.\right.$ rel $\left.\left.B\right)\right)=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)$ and that $\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)=\operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)$ and therefore that every mapping class can be represented by Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms.

## 3 BRAID CLASSES

Considering free loops in a configuration space as opposed to based loops leads to classes of closed braids, which are the key tool for studying periodic points.

### 3.1 Discretized braids

From [1] we recall the notion of positive piecewise linear braid diagrams and discretized braids.

Definition 3.1. The space of discretized period d closed braids on n strands, denoted $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$, is the space of all pairs $(\mathbf{b}, \tau)$ where $\tau \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a permutation on $m$ elements, and $\mathbf{b}$ is an unordered set of $m$ strands, $\mathbf{b}=\left\{\mathbf{b}^{\mu}\right\}_{\mu=1}^{m}$, defined as follows:
(a) each strand $\mathbf{b}^{\mu}=\left(x_{0}^{\mu}, x_{1}^{\mu}, \ldots, x_{d}^{\mu}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ consists of $d+1$ anchor points $x_{j}^{\mu}$;
(b) $x_{d}^{\mu}=x_{0}^{\tau(\mu)}$ for all $\mu=1, \ldots, m$;
(c) for any pair of distinct strands $\mathbf{b}^{\mu}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\mu^{\prime}}$ such that $x_{j}^{\mu}=x_{j}^{\mu^{\prime}}$ for some $\mathfrak{j}$, the transversality condition $\left(x_{j-1}^{\mu}-x_{j-1}^{\mu^{\prime}}\right)\left(x_{j+1}^{\mu}-x_{j+1}^{\mu^{\prime}}\right)<0$ holds.

Remark 3.2. Two discrete braids $(\mathbf{b}, \tau)$ and $\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ are close if the strands $\mathbf{b}^{\zeta(\mu)}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime \mu}$ are close in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{md}}$ for some permutation $\zeta$ such that $\tau^{\prime}=$ $\zeta \tau \zeta^{-1}$. We suppress the permutation $\tau$ from the notation. Presentations via the braid monoid $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$store the permutations.
Definition 3.3 (cf. [1]). The closure $\overline{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ of the space $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ consists of pairs $(\mathbf{b}, \tau)$ for which (a)-(b) in Definition 3.1 are satisfied.

The path components of $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ are the discretized braids classes [b]. Being in the same path connected component is an equivalence relation on $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$, where the braid classes are the equivalence classes expressed by the notation $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \in[\mathbf{b}]$, and $\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$. The associated permutations $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ are conjugate. A path connecting $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ is called a positive isotopy and the equivalence relation is referred to positively isotopic.

To a configuration $\mathbf{b} \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ one can associate a piecewise linear braid diagram $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{b})$. For each strand $\mathbf{b}^{\mu} \in \mathbf{b}$, consider the piecewise-linear (PL) interpolation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\mu}(t):=x_{\lfloor d \cdot t\rfloor}^{\mu}+(d \cdot t-\lfloor d \cdot t\rfloor)\left(x_{\lceil d \cdot t\rceil}^{\mu}-x_{\lfloor d \cdot t\rfloor}^{\mu}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$. The braid diagram $B(b)$ is then defined to be the superimposed graphs of all the functions $B^{\mu}(t)$. A braid diagram $B(b)$ is not only a good bookkeeping tool for keeping track of the strands in $B(b)$, but also plays natural the role of a braid diagram projection with only positive intersections, cf. Section 4.

The set of $t$-coordinates of intersection points in $B(b)$ is denoted by $\left\{t_{i}\right\}$, $\mathfrak{i}=1, \cdots,|\mathbf{b}|$, where $|\mathbf{b}|$ is the total number of intersections in $B(\mathbf{b})$ counted with multiplicity. The latter is also referred to as the word metric and is an invariant for $\mathbf{b}$. A discrete braid $\mathbf{b}$ is regular if all points $t_{i}$ and anchor points $x_{j}^{\mu}$ are distinct. The regular discrete braids in $[\mathbf{b}]$ form a dense subset and every discrete braid is positively isotopic to a regular discrete braid. To a regular discrete braid $\mathbf{b}$ one can assign a unique positive word $\beta=\beta(\mathbf{b})$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{b} \mapsto \beta(\mathbf{b})=\sigma_{\mathrm{k}_{1}} \cdots \sigma_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i}$ and $k_{i}+1$ are the positions that intersect at $t_{i}$, cf. [8, Def. 1.13]. On the positive braid monoid $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$two positive words $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ are positively equal, notation $\beta \doteq \beta^{\prime}$, if they represent the same element in $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$ using the relations in (2). On $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$we define an equivalence relation which acts as an analogue of conjugacy in the braid group, cf. [9, Sect. 2.2]. For a given word $\sigma_{\mathfrak{i}_{1}} \cdots \sigma_{\mathfrak{i}_{n}}$, define the relation

$$
\sigma_{i_{1}} \sigma_{i_{2}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{n}} \equiv \sigma_{i_{2}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{n}} \sigma_{i_{1}}
$$



Figure 1: The left and middle diagrams show representatives $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{3}^{2}$ in Example 3.8. The right diagram shows a representative the same topological braid class in $\mathscr{D}_{3}^{3}$ (free).

Definition 3.4. Two positive words $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$are positively conjugate, notation $\beta \dot{\sim} \beta^{\prime}$, if there exists a sequence of words $\beta_{0}, \cdots, \beta_{\ell} \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$, with $\beta_{0}=\beta$ and $\beta_{\ell}=\beta^{\prime}$, such that for all $k$, either $\beta_{k} \doteq \beta_{k+1}$, or $\beta_{k} \equiv \beta_{k+1}$

Positive conjugacy is an equivalence relation on $\mathscr{B}_{m}^{+}$and the set of positive conjugacy classes $\llbracket \beta \rrbracket$ of the braid monoid $\mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{+}$is denoted by $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$.

The above defined assignment $\mathbf{b} \mapsto \beta(\mathbf{b})$ can be extended to all discrete braids. A discrete braid $\mathbf{b}$ is positively isotopic to a regular braid $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and the mapping $\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathscr{C} \mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{+}$, given by $\mathbf{b} \mapsto \llbracket \beta(\mathbf{b}) \rrbracket$, is well-defined by choosing $\beta(\mathbf{b})$ to be any representative in the positive conjugacy class $\llbracket \beta\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right) \rrbracket$. Observe that for fixed d the mapping $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathscr{C} \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$is not surjective.

Remark 3.5. The positive conjugacy relation defined in Definition 3.4 is symmetric by construction since it is defined on finite words. For instance, consider $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \equiv \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}$. The question whether the $\sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1} \equiv \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}$ is answered as follows: $\sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1} \equiv \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \equiv \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}$, which, by Definition 3.4, shows that $\sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1} \equiv \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}$.

The presentation of discrete braids via words in $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$yields the following alternative equivalence relation.

Definition 3.6. Two discretized braids $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ are topologically equivalent if $\beta(\mathbf{b}) \dot{\sim} \beta\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$, i.e. $\beta(\mathbf{b})$ and $\beta\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right)$ are positively conjugate. Notation: $\mathbf{b} \dot{\sim} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$.

Summarizing, $\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ implies $\mathbf{b} \dot{\sim} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ and $\dot{\sim}$ defines a coarser equivalence relation on $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$. The equivalence classes with respect to $\dot{\sim}$ are denote by $[\mathbf{b}] \dot{\sim}$. The converse is not true in general, cf. [1, Fig. 8]. Following [ 1, Def. 17], a discretized braid class $[\mathbf{b}]$ is free if $[\mathbf{b}]=[\mathbf{b}] \dot{\sim}$.

Proposition 3.7 ([1], Prop. 27). If $d>|\mathbf{b}|$, then $[\mathbf{b}]$ is a free braid class.
Taking d sufficiently large is a sufficient condition to ensure free braid classes, but this condition is not a necessary condition.

Example 3.8. Given the braids $\mathbf{b} \in \mathscr{D}_{3}^{2}$ with $\mathbf{b}^{1}=(1,4,1), \mathbf{b}^{2}=(2,2,2)$ and $\mathbf{b}^{3}=(3,3,3)$ and consider the braid class $[\mathbf{b}]$, see Figure $1[$ left and middle]. Since $\mathbf{b}$ is regular, $\beta(\mathbf{b})$ is uniquely defined and $\beta(\mathbf{b})=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}$. Also define $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{3}^{2}$ with $\mathbf{b}^{\prime 1}=(4,1,4), \mathbf{b}^{\prime 2}=\mathbf{b}^{2}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime 3}=\mathbf{b}^{3}$ and the braid class $\left[\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right]$. Since $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ is also regular we have the unique braid word $\beta\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}$. Observe that $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1} \dot{\sim} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}$, which
implies that $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ are topologically equivalent. However, $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ are not positively isotopic in $\mathscr{D}_{3}^{2}$ and $[\mathbf{b}]$ and $\left[\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right]$ are two different path components of $\mathscr{D}_{3}^{2}$. The positive conjugacy class of $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}$ is given by $\llbracket \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1} \rrbracket=\left\{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right\}$. The words $\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}$ are not represented in $\mathscr{D}_{3}^{2}$. If we consider $\mathbf{b}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{3}^{3}$ given by $\mathbf{b}^{\prime \prime}=\{(1,4,1,1),(2,2,2,2),(3,3,3,3)\}$, then the associated braid class $\left[\mathbf{b}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ is free, which confirms that the condition in Proposition 3.7 is not a necessary condition, see Figure 1 [right].

Let $\beta=\sigma_{i_{1}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{d}} \in \mathscr{B}_{m}^{+}$be a positive braid word, then define

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{q}}(\beta):=\mathbf{b}=\left\{\mathbf{b}^{\mu}\right\} \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{q}}, \quad \mathbf{b}^{\mu}=\left(x_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\mu}\right), \quad \mu=1, \cdots, m, \quad \mathbf{q} \geqslant 0
$$

with $x_{0}^{\mu}=\mu, x_{j}^{\mu}=x_{0}^{\sigma_{i_{1}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{j}}(\mu)}, j=1, \cdots, d$, and $x_{d+q}^{\mu}=\cdots=x_{d}^{\mu}$. The expression $\sigma_{i_{1}} \cdots \sigma_{\mathfrak{i}_{j}}(\mu), \mu=1, \cdots, m$ describes the permutation of the set $\{1, \cdots, m\}$, where $\sigma_{i_{1}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{j}}$ is regarded as a concatenation of permutations given by the generators $\sigma_{i}$ interpreted as a basic permutation of $i$ and $i+1$. By Proposition 3.7, $\left[\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{q}}(\beta)\right]$ is free for all $\mathrm{q} \geqslant 1$, and every $\llbracket \beta \rrbracket \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$ defines a free discrete braid class $\left[\varepsilon_{q}(\beta)\right]$ in $\mathscr{D}_{m}^{\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{q}}$ for all $\mathrm{q} \geqslant 1$.
3.2 Discrete 2-colored braid classes

On closed configuration spaces we define the following product:

$$
\overline{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{d}} \times \overline{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}} \quad(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \mapsto \mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b},
$$

where $\mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b}$ is the disjoint union of the strands in $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ regarded as an element in $\overline{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$. The definition yields a canonical permutation on the labels in $\mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b}$. Define the space of 2-colored discretized braids as the space of ordered pairs

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}_{n, m}^{\mathrm{d}}:=\left\{\mathbf{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b}:=(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \mid \mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b} \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strand labels in $a$ range from $\mu=1, \cdots, n$ and the strand labels in $b$ range from $\mu=n+1, \cdots, n+m$. The associated permutation $\tau_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}=\tau_{\mathbf{a}} \oplus \tau_{\mathbf{b}} \in S_{n+m}$, where $\tau_{\mathbf{a}} \in S_{n}$ and $\tau_{\mathbf{b}} \in S_{m}$, and $\tau_{\mathbf{a}}$ acts on the labels $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ and $\tau_{\mathbf{b}}$ acts on the labels $\{n+1, \cdots, n+m\}$. The strands $\boldsymbol{a}=\left\{x_{j}^{\mu}\right\}, \mu=1, \cdots, n$ are the red, or free strands and the strands $\mathbf{b}=\left\{x_{j}^{\mu}\right\}, \mu=n+1, \cdots, n+m$ are the black, or skeletal strands. A path component $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]$ in $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ is called a 2-colored discretized braid class. The canonical projections are given by $\Phi: \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ with $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}$ and by $\varpi^{*}: \mathscr{D}_{n, m}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ with $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{a}$. The mapping $\oplus$ yields a fibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathbf{a}] \text { rel } \mathbf{b} \rightarrow[\mathbf{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b}] \rightarrow[\mathbf{b}] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pre-images $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(\mathbf{b})=[\mathbf{a}]$ rel $\mathbf{b} \subset \mathscr{D}_{n}^{\mathrm{d}}$, are called the relative discretized braid class fibers.

There exists a natural embedding $\mathscr{D}_{n, m}^{\mathrm{d}} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$, defined by a rel b $\mapsto$ $\mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b}$. Via the embedding we define the notion of topological equivalence of two 2-colored discretized braids: $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \dot{\sim} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ rel $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ if $\mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b} \dot{\sim} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \sqcup \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$. The associated equivalence classes are denoted by $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]_{\dot{\sim}}$, which are not
necessarily connected sets in $\mathscr{D}_{n, m}^{\mathrm{d}}$. A 2-colored discretized braid class $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]$ is free if $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]=[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]_{\dot{\sim}}$. If $d>|\mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b}|$, then $[\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b}]$ is free by Proposition 3.7.

The set of collapsed singular braids in $\overline{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{-}:=\left\{\mathbf{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b} \in \overline{\mathscr{D}}_{n, m}^{\mathrm{d}} \mid \mathbf{a}^{\mu}=\right. & \mathbf{a}^{\mu^{\prime}}, \text { or } \mathbf{a}^{\mu}=\mathbf{b}^{\mu^{\prime}} \\
& \text { for some } \left.\mu \neq \mu^{\prime}, \text { and } \mathbf{b} \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A 2-colored discretized braid class $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]$ is proper if $\partial[\mathbf{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b}]_{\sim} \cap \Sigma^{-}=\varnothing$. If a braid class [a rel b] is not proper it is called improper. In [9] properness is considered in a more general setting. The notion of properness in this paper coincides with weak properness in [9].

A 2-colored discretized braid class [a rel b] is called bounded if its fibers are bounded as sets in $\mathbb{R}^{n d}$. Note that $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]$ is not a bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^{(n+m) d}$.

### 3.3 Algebraic presentations

Discretized braid classes are presented via the positive conjugacy classes of the positive braid monoid $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$. For 2-colored discretized braids we seek a similar presentation.

In order to keep track of colors we define coloring on words in $\mathscr{B}_{n+m}^{+}$. Words in $\mathscr{B}_{n+m}^{+}$define associated permutations $\tau$ and the permutations $\tau$ yield partitions of the set $\{1, \cdots, n+m\}$. Let $\gamma \in \mathscr{B}_{n+m}^{+}$be a word for which the induced partition contains a union of equivalence classes $\mathrm{A} \subset\{1, \cdots, n+m\}$ consisting of $n$ elements. The set A is the red coloring of length $n$ and the remaining partitions are colored black, denoted by в. The pair $(\gamma, \mathrm{A})$ is called a 2-colored positive braid word, see Figure 2. For a given coloring a $\subset\{1, \cdots, n+m\}$ of length $n$ the set of all words $(\gamma, A)$ forms a monoid which is denoted by $\mathscr{B}_{n, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~A}}^{+}$and is referred as the 2-colored braid monoid with coloring A.

Two pairs $(\gamma, \mathrm{A})$ and $\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ are positively conjugate if $\gamma \dot{\sim} \gamma^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=$ $\zeta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})$, where $\zeta$ is a permutation conjugating the induced permutations $\tau_{\gamma}$ and $\tau_{\gamma^{\prime}}$, i.e. $\tau_{\gamma^{\prime}}=\zeta \tau_{\gamma} \zeta^{-1}$. If $\xi$ is another permutation such that $\tau_{\gamma^{\prime}}=$ $\xi \tau_{\gamma} \xi^{-1}$, then $\zeta \tau_{\gamma} \zeta^{-1}=\xi \tau_{\gamma} \xi^{-1}$. This implies that $\tau_{\gamma}=\zeta^{-1} \xi \tau_{\gamma} \xi^{-1} \zeta$ and thus $\xi^{-1} \zeta(\mathbf{A})=\mathbf{A}$, which is equivalent to $\zeta^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})=\xi^{-1}(\mathbf{A})$. This shows that the conjugacy relation in well-defined. Positive conjugacy for 2-colored braid words is again denoted by $(\gamma, \mathrm{A}) \dot{\sim}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ and a conjugacy class is denoted by $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$. The set of 2-colored positive conjugacy classes with red colorings of length n is denoted by $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}}^{+}$.

The words corresponding to the different colors $(\gamma, \mathrm{A})$ can be derived from the information in $(\gamma, \mathrm{A})$. Let $\mathrm{A}_{0} \subset \mathrm{~A}$ be a cycle of length $\ell \leqslant \mathrm{n}$ and let $k \in A_{0}$. If $\gamma=\sigma_{i_{1}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{d}}$, then we define an $\ell$-periodic sequence $\left\{k_{j}\right\}$, with

$$
k_{0}=k, \quad \text { and } \quad k_{j}=\sigma_{i_{j}}\left(k_{j-1}\right), \quad j=1, \cdots, \ell d,
$$

by considering the word $\gamma^{\ell}$. Now use the following rule: if $k_{j}-k_{j-1} \neq 0$, remove $\sigma_{i_{j}}$, from $\gamma$, for $\mathfrak{j}=1, \cdots, \ell d$, where $\mathfrak{j}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{j} \bmod d \in\{1, \cdots, d\}$. Moreover, $\sigma_{i_{j}}$ is replaced by $\sigma_{i_{j}-1}$, if $k_{j}=k_{j-1}<\mathfrak{i}_{j}$ and $\sigma_{i_{j}}$ remains
unchanged otherwise. We repeat this procedure for all cycles in a and we obtain the mapping $(\gamma, \mathrm{A}) \mapsto \beta \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$denoted by

$$
\pi_{\mathrm{A}}: \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~A}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}
$$

By considering the complementary color в we construct a mapping $(\gamma$, в $) \mapsto \alpha \in \mathscr{B}_{n}^{+}$using the same scheme.

With the notion of coloring braid words we can encode the information of a 2 -colored discretized braid $\boldsymbol{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b}$ in a 2 -colored word ( $\gamma, \mathbf{A}$ ). Given $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \in \mathscr{D}_{n, m}^{\mathrm{d}}$ (regular), we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b} \mapsto \gamma(\mathbf{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b}):=\beta(\mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b}), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

cf. (4) and the coloring $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{C}^{-1}(\{1, \cdots, n\})$, where the permutation C is defined as follows. Order the coordinates $x_{0}^{\mu_{1}}<\cdots<x_{0}^{\mu_{n+m}}$ and define

$$
c^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & n+m \\
\mu_{1} & \mu_{2} & \cdots & \mu_{n+m}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The permutations $\tau_{\gamma}$ and $\tau_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$ are conjugated: $\tau_{\gamma}=\mathrm{c} \tau_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \mathrm{C}^{-1}$. The mapping $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \mapsto(\gamma, \mathbf{A})$ is well-behaved under positive conjugacy: $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \dot{\sim}$ $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ rel $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ implies $(\gamma, \mathrm{A}) \dot{\sim}\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$.

Conversely, every positive conjugacy class $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$ determines a 2-colored discretized braid class $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]_{\dot{\sim}}$ via the mapping $\gamma \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{q}}(\gamma) \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{q}}$, with $d$ the number of generators in $\gamma$ and $q \geqslant 0$, cf. Figure 2[right]. The representation $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{q}}$ is obtained from the coloring A.

Definition 3.9. A positive conjugacy class $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$ is called proper if the associated discretized braid class $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}] \sim$ is proper, cf. Section 3.2.


Figure 2: Representations of the relative braid class [a rel b].

Example 3.10. Consider $\boldsymbol{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \in \mathscr{D}_{1,2}^{2}$, with strands $\boldsymbol{a}=\{(2,4,2)\}$, $\mathbf{b}=\{(1,3,3),(3,1,1)\}$. Since the strands in $\mathbf{b}$ have labels $\mu=2,3$, the permutation is given by $\tau_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}=(23)$ and $\gamma=\beta(\mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b})=\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$. The coloring permutation is given as follows: $x_{0}^{2}<x_{0}^{1}<x_{0}^{3}$ and therefore $\mathrm{c}^{-1}=(12)$. The red coloring is given by $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{c}(\{1\})=\{2\}$. We verify that
 of $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b}$ is given by the $\llbracket \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2},\{2\} \rrbracket$ and [arel $\left.\mathbf{b}\right]_{\sim}$ is a proper and free 2-colored discrete braid class, see Figure 2[right]. In order to compute the skeletal braid word $\beta$ we consider the following sequence:

$$
k_{0}=2, \quad k_{1}=\sigma_{2}(2)=3, \quad k_{2}=\sigma_{1}(3)=3, \quad k_{3}=\sigma_{2}(3)=2 .
$$

This yields the differences $k_{1}-k_{0}=1, k_{2}-k_{1}=0$ and $k_{3}-k_{2}=-1$, and therefore both letters $\sigma_{2}$ are removed from $\gamma$, which gives $\gamma \mapsto \beta=\sigma_{1}$.


Figure 3: Positively conjugate representations.

Figure $3_{3}[$ left $]$ shows shows a representation of $(\gamma, \mathrm{A})$ and Figure 3 [right] shows a positively conjugate representation ( $\gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ). In the latter case $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=$ $\{3\}, \mathrm{c}=(123)$ and $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\mathrm{c}^{-1}(\{1\})=(132)(1)=\{3\}$. It follows that $\tau_{\gamma^{\prime}}=$ $\mathrm{C} \tau_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mathrm{C}^{-1}}=(123)(23)(132)=(12)$. Moreover, since $\gamma^{\prime}=\zeta \gamma \zeta^{-1}$, with $\zeta=(23)$ it follows that $\tau_{\gamma^{\prime}}=\zeta \tau_{\gamma} \zeta^{-1}=(23)(13(23)=(12)$.

## 4 DISCRETE BRAID INVARIANTS

We summarize the construction of a topological invariant for 2-colored relative braid classes as described in [1, 2]. Let $\boldsymbol{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \in \mathscr{D}_{n, m}^{\mathrm{d}}$ represent a proper, bounded discretized 2-colored braid class [a rel b]. Then, the fiber [a] rel b defines a bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^{\text {nd }}$.

A sequence $\mathcal{R}=\left\{\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{j}}\right\}$ of functions $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{j}}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which satisfy $\partial_{1} \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{j}}>0$ and $\partial_{3} \mathcal{R}_{j}>0$ is called a parabolic recurrence relation. From [1, Lem. 55-57] there exists a parabolic recurrence relation $\mathcal{R}=\left\{\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{j}}\right\}$ such that $\mathbf{b}$ is a zero for $\mathcal{R}$, i.e. $\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(x_{j-1}^{\mu_{\nu}}, x_{j}^{\mu_{v}}, x_{j+1}^{\mu_{v}}\right)=0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for all $v=1, \cdots, m$. The recurrence relation $\mathcal{R}$ may regarded as vector field and is integrated via the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d s} x_{j}^{\mu_{v}}=\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(x_{j-1}^{\mu_{v}}, x_{j}^{\mu_{v}}, x_{j+1}^{\mu_{v}}\right), \quad v=1, \cdots, m \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N$ denoted the closure in $\mathbb{R}^{\text {nd }}$ of $[\mathbf{a}]$ rel $\mathbf{b}$. By [ 1, Prop. 11 and Thm. 15 ], the set N is an isolating neighborhood for the parabolic flow generated by Equation (8). We define $h(\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b})$ as the homotopy Conley index of $\operatorname{Inv}(N, \mathcal{R}), c f .[1],[10]$. The Conley index is independent of the choice of parabolic recurrence relations $\mathcal{R}$ for which $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{b})=0$, cf. [1, Thm. 15(a)-(b)], as well as the choice of the fiber, i.e. $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ rel $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, then $\mathrm{h}(\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b})=$ $h\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right)$, cf. [1, Thm. 15(c)]. This makes $h(\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b})$ an invariant of the discrete 2 -colored braid class [a rel b].

There is an intrinsic way to define $\mathrm{h}(\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b})$ without using parabolic recurrence relations. We define $\mathrm{N}^{-} \subset \partial \mathrm{N}$ to be the set of boundary points for which the word metric is locally maximal. The pair ( $N, N^{-}$) is an index pair for any parabolic system $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{b})=0$, and thus by the independence of Conley index on $\mathcal{R}$, the pointed homotopy type of $N / N^{-}$ gives the Conley index: $h(\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b})=\left[\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}^{-}\right]$, see Figure 4 and [ 1 , Sect. 4.4] for more details on the construction.

The invariant $h(\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b})$ is not necessarily invariant with respect to the number of discretization points $d$. In order to have invariance also with respect to $d$, another invariant for discrete braid classes was introduced in [1]. Consider the equivalence class induced by the relation $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \dot{\sim} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ rel $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ on $\mathscr{D}_{n, m}^{\mathrm{d}}$, which defines the class $[\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b}] \dot{\sim}$ of proper discrete 2-colored braids.


Figure 4: The Conley index for the braid in Example 7.2. The homotopy of the pionted space in given by $h(\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b})=S^{1}$.

Via the projection $\varpi:[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]_{\dot{\sim}} \rightarrow[\mathbf{b}]_{\dot{\sim}}$ we obtain fibers $\varpi^{-1}(\mathbf{b})$. Suppose $[\mathbf{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b}]_{\sim}$ is a bounded class, i.e. all fibers $\Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{b})$ are bounded sets in $\mathbb{R}^{\text {nd }}$. Following [1, Def. 18] the closure $N$ of a fiber $\boldsymbol{a}^{-1}(\mathbf{b})$ is an isolating neighborhood since $\boldsymbol{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b}$ is proper. Define $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b})$ as the homotopy Conley index of $N$. If $\left[\mathbf{a}_{k}\right]$ rel $\mathbf{b}$ are the fibers belonging to the components [ $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$ rel b] of [a rel b] ${ }_{\dot{\sim}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b}):=\bigvee_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~h}\left(\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{k}}\right] \text { rel } \mathbf{b}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the following extension mapping $\mathbb{E}: \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}+1}$, cf. [1], via concatenation with the trivial braid of period one:

$$
(\mathbb{E} \mathbf{b})^{\mu}:=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
x_{j}^{\mu} & j=0, \ldots, d ;  \tag{10}\\
x_{d}^{\mu} & j=d+1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Properness remains unchanged under the extension mapping $\mathbb{E}$, however boundedness may not be preserved. Define the skeletal augmentation:

$$
\mathbb{A}: \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}+2}^{\mathrm{d}}, \quad \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbb{A} \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{b}^{*}=\mathbf{b} \cup \mathbf{b}^{-} \cup \mathbf{b}^{+}
$$

where $\mathbf{b}^{-}=\left\{\min _{\mu}\left\{x_{j}^{\mu}\right\}-1\right\}_{j}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{+}=\left\{\max _{\mu}\left\{x_{j}^{\mu}\right\}+1\right\}_{j}$. If $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]_{\dot{\sim}}$ is bounded, then $h\left(\left[\boldsymbol{a}_{k}\right]\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}\right)=h\left(\left[\boldsymbol{a}_{k}\right]\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)$ for all $k$ and therefore $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b})=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)$. One can define second skeletal augmentation:

$$
\mathbb{B}: \mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{m}+2}^{\mathrm{d}}, \quad \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbb{B} \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{b}^{\#}=\mathbf{b} \cup \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{s}} \cup \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

where $\mathbf{b}^{s}=\left\{(-1)^{\mathfrak{j}} \min _{\mu}\left\{\chi_{j}^{\mu}\right\}-(-1)^{\mathfrak{j}}\right\}_{j}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\boldsymbol{n}}=\left\{(-1)^{\mathfrak{j}} \max _{\mu}\left\{\chi_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\mu}\right\}+(-1)^{\mathfrak{j}}\right\}_{j}$. As before, if $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]_{\dot{\sim}}$ is bounded, then $h\left(\left[\boldsymbol{a}_{k}\right]\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}\right)=h\left(\left[\boldsymbol{a}_{k}\right]\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}^{\#}\right)$ for all k and therefore $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b})=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}^{\#}\right)$.

Consider the proper, bounded 2 -colored braid classes $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]_{\dot{\sim}}$ and $[\mathbb{E} \boldsymbol{a} \operatorname{rel} \mathbb{E} \mathbf{b}]_{\dot{\sim}}$. The main result in [1, Thm. 20] is the Stabilization Theorem which states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}\left(\boldsymbol{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{E} \boldsymbol{a} \text { rel } \mathbb{E} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The independence of H on the skeleton $\mathbf{b}$ can be derived from the Stabilization Theorem. Since a 2-colored discretized braid class is free when $d$ is sufficiently large, we have that $\left[\mathbb{E}^{p} \mathbf{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbb{E}^{p} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right]$ is free for some $p>0$ sufficiently large, and by stabilization $\mathrm{H}\left(\boldsymbol{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{E}^{p} \boldsymbol{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbb{E}^{p} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)$. Let $\boldsymbol{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \dot{\sim} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, then $\mathbb{E}^{p} \mathbf{a} \operatorname{rel} \mathbb{E}^{p} \mathbf{b}^{*} \sim \mathbb{E}^{p} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \operatorname{rel} \mathbb{E}^{p} \mathbf{b}^{\prime *}$. By [1, Thm. 15(c)], a continuation can be constructed which proves that $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{E}^{p} \boldsymbol{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbb{E}^{p} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)=$ $H\left(\mathbb{E}^{p} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbb{E}^{p} \mathbf{b}^{\prime *}\right)$. Consequently,

$$
\mathrm{H}\left(\boldsymbol{a} \operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{p}} \boldsymbol{a} \operatorname{rel} \mathbb{E}^{p} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{E}^{p} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \text { rel } \mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathbf{b}^{\prime *}\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime} \text { rel } \mathbf{b}^{\prime *}\right)
$$

which shows that the index H only depends on the topological type $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$, with $\gamma=\beta(\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b})$.

Definition 4.1. Let $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$ be proper, positive conjugacy class. Then, the braid Conley index is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H} \llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{~A} \rrbracket:=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{a} \operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The braid Conley index H may be computed using any representative $\boldsymbol{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b}^{*}$ for any sufficiently large $d$ and any associated recurrence relation $\mathcal{R}$.

Finally, we mention that besides the extension $\mathbb{E}$, we also have a half twist extension operator $\mathbb{T}$ :

$$
(\mathbb{T} \mathbf{b})^{\mu}:=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
x_{j}^{\mu} & j=0, \ldots, d  \tag{13}\\
-x_{d}^{\mu} & j=d+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Every discretized braid can be dualized via the mapping $\left\{x_{j}^{\mu}\right\} \mapsto\left\{(-1)^{j} x_{j}^{\mu}\right\}$. On $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ this yields a well-defined operator $\mathbb{D}: \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2 \mathrm{~d}} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ mapping proper, bounded discretized braid classes [arel b] to proper, bounded discretized braid classes $[\mathbb{D} a$ rel $\mathbb{D b}]$. From [1, Cor. 31] we recall the following result. Let $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \in \mathscr{D}_{n, m}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ be proper, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2} \circ \mathbb{D}\left(\mathbf{a} \operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{D}\left(\mathbf{a} \operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)\right) \wedge \mathrm{S}^{2 n} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the wedge is the $2 n$-suspension of the Conley index.
From the singular homology $\mathrm{H}_{*}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\boldsymbol{a}\right.\right.$ rel $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)\right)$ the Poincaré polynomial is denoted by $P_{t}\left(\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)$, or $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} \llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$ in terms of the topological type. This yields an important invariant: $\mid \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathbf{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)\left|=\left|\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} \llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket\right|\right.$, which is the number of monomial term in the Poincare polynomial.

## 5 THE VARIATIONAL FORMULATION

For a given symplectomorphism $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ the problem of finding periodic points can be reformulated in terms of parabolic recurrence relations.
5.1 Twist symplectomorphisms

Let $F(x, y)=(f(x, y), g(x, y))$ be a symplectomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with $f, g$ smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Recall that $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is a positive twist symplectomorphism if

$$
\frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y}>0
$$

For twist symplectomorphisms there exists a variational principle for finding periodic points, cf. [11], [12]. Such a variational principle also applies to symplectomorphisms that are given as a composition:

$$
F=F_{d} \circ \cdots \circ F_{1},
$$

with $F_{j} \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ positive twist symplectomorphisms for all $j$. It is important to point out that $F$ itself is not twist in general. An important question is whether every mapping $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ can be written as a composition of (positive) twist symplectomorphisms, cf. [11]. Suppose $F \in \operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, and $F$ allows a Hamiltonian isotopy $\psi_{t, H}$ with appropriate asymptotic conditions near infinity, such that $\psi_{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{H}} \circ \psi_{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{H}}^{-1}$ is close to the identity mapping in the $C^{1}$-norm for sufficiently small time steps $t_{i}$ -$t_{i-1}$. Then, define $G_{i}=\psi_{t_{i}, H} \circ \psi_{t_{i-1}, H}^{-1}, i=1, \cdots, k$, and $F=G_{k} \circ \cdots \circ$ $\mathrm{G}_{1}$. We remark that in this construction the individual mappings $\mathrm{G}_{i}$ are not twist necessarily. The following observation provides a decomposition consisting solely of positive twist symplectomorphisms. Consider the $90^{\circ}$ degree clockwise rotation

$$
\psi(x, y)=(y,-x), \quad \psi^{4}=\mathrm{id}
$$

which is positive twist symplectomorphism. This yields the decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\left(G_{k} \circ \psi\right) \circ \psi \circ \psi \circ \psi \circ \cdots \circ\left(G_{1} \circ \psi\right) \circ \psi \circ \psi \circ \psi \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{4 i}=G_{i} \circ \psi$ and $F_{j}=\psi$ for $j \neq 4 i$ for some $i$ and $d=4 k$. Since the mappings $G_{i}$ are close to the identity, the compositions $G_{i} \circ \psi$ are positive twist symplectomorphisms. The above procedure intertwines symplectomorphisms with $k$ full rotations. As we will see later on this results in positive braid representations of mapping classes. The choice of $\psi$ is arbitrary since other rational rotations also yield twist symplectomorphisms.

For symplectomorphisms $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ we establish a similar decomposition in terms of positive twist symplectomorphisms, with the additional property that the decomposition can be extended to symplectomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, which is necessary to apply the variational techniques in [1].

### 5.2 Interpolation

A symplectomorphism $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ satisfies the uniform twist condition if the there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{-1} \geqslant \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} \geqslant \delta>0, \quad \forall(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subset of such symplectomorphism is denoted by $S V\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, cf. [11]. A result by Moser implies that all symplectomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with a uniform twist condition are Hamiltonian.

Proposition 5.1 (cf. [12]). Let $F \in S V\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Then, there exists a Hamiltonian $\mathrm{H} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that $0<\delta \leqslant \mathrm{H}_{y y} \leqslant \delta^{-1}$ and $\psi_{1, \mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{F}$, where $\psi_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{H}}$ is the associated Hamiltonian flow. All orbits of $\psi_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{H}}$ project to straight lines in $(t, x)$-plane, and $\psi_{t, H} \in S V\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for all $t \in(0,1]$.

For completeness we give a self-contained proof of Proposition 5.1, which is the same as the proof in [12] modulo a few alterations.

Proof. Following [12] we consider action integral $\int_{0}^{1} L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) d t$ for functions $x(t)$ with $x(0)=x_{0}$ and $x(1)=x_{1}$. We require that extremals are
affine lines, i.e. $\ddot{x}(t)=0$. For extremals the action is given by $S\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=$ $\int_{0}^{1} L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) d t$ and we seek Lagrangians such that $S=h$, where $h$ is the generating function for $F$. For Lagrangians this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\partial_{p} L\right)-\partial_{x} L=\left(\partial_{t}+p \partial_{x}\right) \partial_{p} L-\partial_{x} L=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=\dot{x}$. Solving the first order partial differential equation yields $L=L_{0}(t, x, p)+p \partial_{x} m+\partial_{t} m$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}:=-\int_{0}^{p}\left(p-p^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{2} h\left(x-p^{\prime} t, x+p^{\prime}(1-t)\right) d p^{\prime} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $m=m(t, x)$ to be specified later, cf. see [12] for details. The extremals $x(t)$ are also extremals for $L_{0}$. Let $S_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} L_{0}(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) d t$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} p \partial_{x} m(t, x(t))+\partial_{t} m(t, x(t)) d t=m\left(1, x_{1}\right)-m\left(0, x_{0}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=S_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+m\left(1, x_{1}\right)-m\left(0, x_{0}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $S$ yields

$$
\partial_{x_{0}} S=-\partial_{p} L\left(0, x_{0}, x_{1}-x_{0}\right), \quad \partial_{x_{1}} S=\partial_{p} L\left(0, x_{1}, x_{1}-x_{0}\right)
$$

and for the mixed derivate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{2} S_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=-\partial_{p p}^{2} L\left(0, x_{0}, x_{1}-x_{0}\right)=\partial_{x_{0} x_{1}}^{2} h\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $S_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)-h\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=u\left(x_{0}\right)+v\left(x_{1}\right)$ and the choice

$$
m(t, x):=(1-t) u(x)-t v(x)
$$

implies $S=\mathbf{h}$. Differentiating the relation $y=-\partial_{x} \mathbf{h}\left(x, x_{1}\right)$ with respect to $y$ and using the fact that $x_{1}=f(x, y)$, yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =-\partial_{y x}^{2} h\left(x, x_{1}\right)=-\partial_{x x_{1}}^{2} h\left(x, x_{1}\right) \partial_{y} f\left(x, x_{1}\right) \\
& =-\partial_{x x_{1}}^{2} h\left(x, x_{1}\right) \partial_{y} f\left(x, x_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $\delta \leqslant \partial_{y} f \leqslant \delta^{-1}$ if and only if $-\delta^{-1} \leqslant \partial_{x x_{1}}^{2} h \leqslant-\delta$. By relation (21) we have $\partial_{p p}^{2} L \in\left[\delta, \delta^{-1}\right]$.

The Hamiltonian is obtained via the Legendre transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}):=\mathrm{yp}-\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{p}) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\partial_{p} L(t, x, p) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can solve for $p$, i.e. $p=\lambda(x, y)$. As before, differentiating (23) gives $1=\partial_{p p}^{2} L \cdot \partial_{y} \lambda$ and differentiating (22) gives $\partial_{y} H=\lambda$. Combining these two identities yields $\partial_{p p}^{2} L \cdot \partial_{y y}^{2} H=1$, from which the desired property $\partial_{y y}^{2} H \in\left[\delta, \delta^{-1}\right]$ follows.

From the above analysis we obtain the following expression for the isotopy $\psi_{t, \mathrm{H}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{t, H}(x, y)=\left(x+\lambda(x, y) t, \partial_{p} L(t, x+\lambda(x, y) t, \lambda(x, y))\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\pi_{x}$ denote the projection onto the $x$-coordinate. Then, $\partial_{y} \pi_{x} \psi_{t, H}(x, y)=$ $\partial_{y} \lambda(x, y) t=\partial_{y y}^{2} H t$, which proves that $\psi_{t, H}$ is positive twist for all $t \in$ $(0,1]$.

Using Proposition 5.1 we obtain a decomposition of symplectomorphisms $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ as given in (15) and which satisfy additional properties such that the discrete braid invariants in [1] are applicable.

Proposition 5.2. Let $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$. Then, there exists an isotopy $\phi_{t} \subset$ $\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$, an integer $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence $\left\{t_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{d} \subset[0,1]$ with $t_{j}=j / d$, such that
(i) $\phi_{0}=\mathrm{id},\left.\phi_{1}\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}=\mathrm{F}$;
(ii) $\phi_{t}$ is smooth with respect to $t$ on the intervals $\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right]$ (piecewise smooth);
(iii) $\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{j}}:=\phi_{\mathrm{t}_{\mathfrak{j}}} \circ \phi_{\mathrm{t}_{\mathfrak{j}-1}}^{-1} \in \mathrm{SV}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for all $1 \leqslant \mathfrak{j} \leqslant \mathrm{~d}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathfrak{j}}:=\left.\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{j}}\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}$;
(iv) the projection of the graph of $\phi_{t}(x, y)$ onto $(t, x)$-plane is linear on the intervals $t \in\left(t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right)$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d$, and for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$;
(v) $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \subset[-1,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ for all $\mathrm{t} \in[0,1]$;
(vi) the points $z_{ \pm}=( \pm 2,0)$ are fixed points of $\widehat{F}_{j}=\phi_{t_{j}} \circ \phi_{t_{j-1}}^{-1}$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d ;$
(vii) the points $z_{ \pm}^{\prime}=( \pm 4,0)$ are period-2 points of $\widehat{F}_{j}=\phi_{t_{j}} \circ \phi_{t_{j-1}}^{-1}$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant$ d, i.e. $\widehat{F}_{j}\left(z_{ \pm}^{\prime}\right)=z_{\mp}^{\prime}=-z_{ \pm}^{\prime}$, for all $j$.

The decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathrm{F}}=\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{d}} \circ \cdots \circ \widehat{\mathrm{~F}}_{1}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a generalization of the decomposition given in (15).
The isotopy constructed in Proposition 5.2 is called a chained Moser isotopy. Before proving Proposition 5.2 we construct analogues of the rotation mapping used in (15).

Lemma 5.3. For every integer $\ell \geqslant 3$ there exists a positive Hamiltonian twist diffeomorphism $\Psi$ of the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, such that:
(i) the restriction $\left.\Psi\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}$ is a rotation over angle $2 \pi / \ell$ and $\left.\Psi\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} ^{\ell}=\mathrm{id}$;
(ii) the points $z_{ \pm}=( \pm 2,0)$ are fixed points for $\Psi$;
(iii) the points $z_{ \pm}^{\prime}=( \pm 4,0)$ are period-2 points for $\Psi$, i.e. $\Psi\left(z_{ \pm}^{\prime}\right)=z_{\mp}^{\prime}$.

Proof. A linear rotation mapping on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a positive twist mapping for all rotation angles $\vartheta \in(0, \pi)$. The generating function for a rotation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{h}_{\vartheta}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \cot (\vartheta) x^{2}-\csc (\vartheta) x x^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \cot (\vartheta) x^{\prime 2} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to construct the mappings $\Psi$ we construct special generating functions. Let $\ell \geqslant 3$ be an integer and let $\vartheta_{\ell}=2 \pi / \ell \in(0, \pi)$. Consider generating functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{h}_{\Psi}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\xi_{\ell}(x)-\csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right) x x^{\prime}+\xi_{\ell}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which generate positive twist mappings for all $\ell \geqslant 3$. We choose $\xi_{\ell}$ as follows: $\xi_{\ell}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \cot \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right) x^{2}$ for all $|x| \leqslant 1, \xi_{\ell}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right) x^{2}$ for all $3 / 2 \leqslant|x| \leqslant 5 / 2$, and $\xi_{\ell}(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right) x^{2}$ for all $7 / 2 \leqslant|x| \leqslant 9 / 2$. The mapping $\Psi$ is defined by $h_{\Psi}$ and $y=-\partial_{1} h_{\Psi}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ and $y^{\prime}=\partial_{2} h_{\psi}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) .{ }^{1}$ For $|x|,\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 1$, the generating function restricts to (26) which yields the rotation over $\vartheta_{\ell}$ on $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ and establishes (i). For $3 / 2 \leqslant x, x^{\prime} \leqslant 5 / 2$ we have

$$
y=\csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right)\left(x^{\prime}-x\right), \quad \text { and } \quad y^{\prime}=\csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right)\left(x^{\prime}-x\right)
$$

which verifies that $z_{+}$are fixed points and same holds for $z_{-}$, completing the verification of (ii). For $7 / 2 \leqslant x \leqslant 9 / 2$ and $-9 / 2 \leqslant x^{\prime} \leqslant-7 / 2$, we have

$$
y=\csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right)\left(x^{\prime}+x\right), \quad \text { and } \quad y^{\prime}=-\csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right)\left(x^{\prime}+x\right)
$$

then $z_{+}^{\prime}$ is mapped to $z_{-}^{\prime}$ and similarly $z_{-}^{\prime}$ is mapped to $z_{+}^{\prime}$, which completes (iii) and proof of the lemma.

In order to extend chained Moser isotopies yet another type of Hamiltonian twist diffeomorphism is needed.

Lemma 5.4. For every integer $\ell \geqslant 3$ there exists a positive Hamiltonian twist symplectomorphism $\Upsilon$ of the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, such that:
(i) the restriction $\left.\Upsilon\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}$ is a rotation over angle $2 \pi / \ell$, i.e. $\left.\Upsilon\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} ^{\ell}=\mathrm{id}$;
(ii) the points $z_{ \pm}=( \pm 2,0)$ and $z_{ \pm}^{\prime}=( \pm 4,0)$ are period-2 points for $\Upsilon$, i.e. $\Upsilon\left(z_{ \pm}^{\prime}\right)=z_{\mp}^{\prime}$.

Proof. As before consider generating functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{h}_{\curlyvee}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\xi_{\ell}(x)-\csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right) x x^{\prime}+\xi_{\ell}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which generate positive twist mappings for all $\ell \geqslant 3$. We choose $\xi_{\ell}$ as follows: $\xi_{\ell}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \cot \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right) x^{2}$ for all $|x| \leqslant 1$, and $\xi_{\ell}(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right) x^{2}$ for all $3 / 2 \leqslant|x| \leqslant 9 / 2$. The mapping $\Upsilon$ is defined by $h_{r}$. For $|x|,\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 1$, the generating function restricts to (26) which yields the rotation over $\vartheta_{\ell}$ on $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ and establishes (i). For $3 / 2 \leqslant x, \leqslant 9 / 2$ and $-9 / 2 \leqslant x^{\prime} \leqslant-7 / 2$, we have

$$
y=\csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right)\left(x^{\prime}+x\right), \quad \text { and } \quad y^{\prime}=-\csc \left(\vartheta_{\ell}\right)\left(x^{\prime}+x\right)
$$

then $z_{+}$and $z_{+}^{\prime}$ are mapped to $z_{-}$and $z_{-}^{\prime}$ respectively and similarly $z_{-}$ and $z_{-}^{\prime}$ are mapped to $z_{+}$and $z_{+}^{\prime}$ respectively, which completes proof.

[^1] $\partial_{1} h$ and $\partial_{2} h$.

Proof of Proposition 5.2. Consider the subgroup $\operatorname{Symp}_{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ formed by compactly supported symplectomorphisms of the plane. ${ }^{2}$ Recall that due to the uniform twist property the set $S V\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is open in the topology given by $C^{1}$-convergence on compact sets, cf. [11]. Let $\Psi \in \operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ be given by Lemma 5.3 for some $\ell \geqslant 3$. Then, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathscr{W} \subset \operatorname{Symp}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ of the identity, such that $\varphi \circ \Psi \in \operatorname{SV}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathscr{W}$.

For $\mathrm{F} \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, Proposition 2.2 provides a Hamiltonian $\mathrm{H} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{F}=\psi_{1, \mathrm{H}}$. Let $\mathrm{H}^{\dagger}$ be a smooth extension to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)=$ $\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}| | z \mid<1+\epsilon\right\}$ and let $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth bump function satisfying $\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}=1, \alpha=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$. Take $\epsilon \in(0,1 / 2)$ and define $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}=\alpha \mathrm{H}^{\dagger}$ with $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. The associated Hamiltonian isotopy is denoted by $\psi_{t, \tilde{H}}$ and $\widetilde{F}=\psi_{1, \tilde{H}} \in \operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Moreover, $\psi_{t, \tilde{H}}$ equals the identity on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, i.e. $\psi_{t, \tilde{H}}$ is supported in $\mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, and $\left.\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}=\mathrm{F}$.

Fix $\ell \geqslant 3$ and choose $k>0$ sufficiently large such that the symplectomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i}=\psi_{i / k, \tilde{H}^{\circ}} \circ \psi_{(i-1) / k, \tilde{H}^{\prime}}^{-1} i \in\{1, \ldots, k\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

are elements of $\mathscr{W}$. Each $G_{i}$ restricted to $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}=\left(\left.\mathrm{G}_{i}\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} \circ \Psi\right) \circ \underbrace{\Psi^{\prime} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi^{\prime}}_{\kappa(\ell-1)}, \quad \ell \geqslant 3, \kappa \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi$ and $\Psi^{\prime}$ are obtained from Lemma 5.3 by choosing rotation angles $2 \pi / \ell$ and $2 \pi / \kappa \ell$ respectively. Observe that $\left.\Psi \circ \Psi^{\prime \kappa(\ell-1)}\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}=\mathrm{id}$. From $\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}$ we define the mapping $\widehat{\mathrm{F}} \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathrm{F}}=\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}} \circ \Psi\right) \circ \underbrace{\Psi^{\prime} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi^{\prime}}_{\kappa(\ell-1)} \circ \cdots \circ\left(G_{1} \circ \Psi\right) \circ \underbrace{\Psi^{\prime} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi^{\prime}}_{\kappa(\ell-1)} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction we have $\left.\widehat{\mathrm{F}}\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}=\mathrm{F}$. Let $\ell_{\kappa}=\kappa(\ell-1)+1$ and $\mathrm{d}=\ell_{\kappa} \mathrm{k}$ and put

$$
\widehat{F}_{j}= \begin{cases}G_{j / \ell_{k}} \circ \Psi & \text { for } j \in\left\{\ell_{k}, 2 \ell_{k}, \cdots, d\right\}  \tag{32}\\ \Psi^{\prime} & \text { for } j \in\left\{1, \ldots, d \backslash \backslash\left\{\ell_{k}, 2 \ell_{k}, \ldots, d\right\}\right.\end{cases}
$$

with $\widehat{F}_{j} \in S V\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $\mathfrak{j} \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $F_{j}=\left.\widehat{F}_{j}\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}$. Using the latter we obtain a decomposition of $F$ as given in (15), and with the additional property that the mappings $F_{j}$ extend to twist symplectomorphisms of the $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, which proves (25).

Each symplectomorphism $\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{j}$ can be connected to identity by a Hamiltonian path. Let $H^{j}$ be the Hamiltonian given by Proposition 5.1, which connects $\widehat{F}_{j}$ to the identity via the Moser isotopy $\psi_{s, H^{j}}, s \in[0,1]$. Let $t_{j}=j / d$ for all $j \in\{0, \ldots, d\}$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{t}=\psi_{s^{j}(t), H^{j}} \circ \widehat{F}_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \widehat{F}_{0}, \quad t \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right], \quad j \in\{1, \cdots, d\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s^{j}(t)=d\left(t-t_{j}\right)$ and $\widehat{F}_{0}=i d$. Observe that, by construction, $\phi_{t_{j}} \circ$ $\phi_{\mathrm{t}_{j-1}}^{-1}=\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{j}$, for all $j=1, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}$ and (i) - (iv) is satisfied. Condition (v) follows from (iv) and from the fact that each $\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{j}}$ leaves the disc $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ invariant.

[^2]All the symplectomorphisms in the decomposition are supported in the disc $\mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, hence Conditions (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 5.3 imply Properties (vi) and (vii).

Remark 5.5. The chained Moser isotopies in Proposition 5.1 can be extended with two more parameters $r \geqslant 0$ and $\rho \geqslant 0$. Consider the decoposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathrm{F}}=\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{d}} \circ \cdots \circ \widehat{\mathrm{~F}}_{1} \circ \underbrace{\Psi_{r}^{\ell_{r}} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{r}^{\ell_{r}}}_{\mathrm{r}} \circ \underbrace{\Upsilon_{\rho}^{\ell_{\rho}} \circ \cdots \circ \Upsilon_{\rho}^{\ell_{\rho}}}_{\rho}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\Psi_{r}^{\ell_{r}}\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}=$ id and $\ell_{r} \geqslant 3$, and $\left.\Upsilon_{\rho}^{\ell_{\rho}}\right|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}=$ id and $\ell_{\rho} \geqslant 3$. We can again define an Moser isotopy as in (33) with $d$ replaced by $d+r \ell_{r}+\rho \ell_{\rho}$. The isotopy is again called a chained Moser isotopy and denoted by $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$, and the extended period will again be denoted by d . The strands $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}\left(z_{ \pm}\right)$link with the cylinder $[0,1] \times \mathbb{D}^{2}$ and with each other with linking number $2 \rho$.
5.3 The discrete action functional

Let $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ be the given symplectomorphism of the 2-disc and let $\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{t}}\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{\mathrm{j} \in\{0, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}\}}$ be the associated continuous isotopy and sequence of discretization times as given in Proposition 5.2 for the extension $\widehat{F}$. The isotopy is extended periodically, that is $\phi_{t+s}=\phi_{t} \circ \phi_{1}^{s}$ and $t_{j+s d}=s+t_{j}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. The decomposition of $\widehat{F}$ given by Proposition 5.2 yields a periodic sequence of positive twist symplectomorphisms $\left\{\widehat{F}_{j}\right\}$, with $\widehat{F}_{j}=\phi_{t_{j+1}} \circ \phi_{t_{j}}^{-1} \in S V\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $\widehat{F}_{j+d}=\widehat{F}_{j}$.

Definition 5.6. A sequence $\left\{\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a full orbit for the system $\left\{\widehat{F}_{j}\right\}$ if

$$
\left(x_{j+1}, y_{j+1}\right)=\widehat{F}_{j}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

If $\left(x_{j+n}, y_{j+d}\right)=\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$ for all $\mathfrak{j}$, then $\left\{\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called an d-periodic sequence for the system $\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$.

For every twist symplectomorphism $\widehat{F}_{j} \in S V\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ we assign a generating function $\mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{j}}=\mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathfrak{j}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathfrak{j}+1}\right)$ on the x -coordinates, which implies that $\mathrm{y}_{\mathfrak{j}}=$ $-\partial_{1} \mathbf{h}_{j}$ and $y_{j+1}=\partial_{2} \mathbf{h}_{j}$. From the twist property it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{1} \partial_{2} \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{j}}<0, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the sequence $\left\{\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}$ is d-periodic.
Define the action functional $W_{d}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} / \mathrm{d} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{d}\left(\left\{x_{j}\right\}\right):=\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{j}}\left(x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sequence $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ is a critical point of $W_{d}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{j}}\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right):=-\partial_{2} h_{j-1}\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)-\partial_{1} h_{j}\left(x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right)=0, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\mathfrak{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The $y$-coordinates satisfy $y_{j}=\partial_{2} \mathbf{h}_{j-1}\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)$.

Periodicity and exactness of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is immediate. The monotonicity follows directly from inequality (35). A periodic point $z$, i.e. $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{d}}(z)=z$, is equivalent to the periodic sequence $\left\{\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathbb{Z}}$, with $z=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{D}^{2}$. Since $z=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{D}^{2}$, the invariance of $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ under $F$ implies that $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{D}^{2}$ for all $j$. The above considerations yield the following variational principle.

Proposition 5.7. A d-periodic sequence $\left\{\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an d-periodic orbit for the system $\left\{\widehat{F}_{j}\right\}$ if and only if the sequence of $x$-coordinates $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ is a critical point of $W_{d}$.

The idea of periodic sequences can be generalized to periodic configurations. Let $\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathbb{Z}}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathfrak{j}}=\left\{\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mu}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mu}\right) \mid \mu=1, \cdots, \mathrm{~m}\right\} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $B_{j+d}=B_{j}$ for all $\mathfrak{j}$. Such a sequence $\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ is a d-periodic sequence for $\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{j}\right\}$ if $\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{j}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{B}_{\boldsymbol{j}+1}$ for all $\boldsymbol{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For a d-periodic sequence $\left\{B_{j}\right\}$, the $x$-projection yields a discretized braid $\mathbf{b}=\left\{\mathbf{b}^{\mu}\right\}=\left\{x_{j}^{\mu}\right\}$, cf. Definition 3.1. The above action functional can be extended to the space of discretized braids $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathbf{b}):=\sum_{\mu=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathbf{b}^{\mu}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{d}\left(\mathbf{b}^{\mu}\right)$ is given by (36). This yields the following extension of the variational principle.

Proposition 5.8. A d-periodic sequence $\left\{B_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, B_{j} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, is a dperiodic sequence of configurations for the system $\left\{\widehat{F}_{j}\right\}$ if and only if the sequence of $x$-coordinates $\mathbf{b}=\left\{\chi_{j}^{\mu}\right\}$ is a critical point of $W_{d}$ on $\mathscr{D}_{m}^{d}$.

A discretized braid $\mathbf{b}$ that is stationary for $W_{d}$ if it satisfies the parabolic recurrence relations in (37) for all $\mu$ and the periodicity condition in Definition 3.1(b). In Section 6 we show that d-periodic sequences of configurations $\left\{\mathrm{B}_{j}\right\}$ for the system $\left\{\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{j}\right\}$ yields geometric braids.

## 6 braiding of periodic points

For symplectomorphisms $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, with a finite invariant set $B \subset$ $\operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$, the mapping class can be identified via a chained Moser isotopy.

Proposition 6.1. Let $B \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$, with $\# B=m$, be a finite invariant set for $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and let $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$ be a chained Moser isotopy given in Proposition 5.2. Then, $\beta(t)=\phi_{t}(B)$ represents a geometric braid based at $B \in \mathcal{C}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ with only positive crossings and $\beta=\imath_{B}\left([\beta]_{B}\right)$ is a positive word in the braid monoid $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$. The $x$-projection $\mathbf{b}(\mathrm{t})=\pi_{\mathrm{x}} \beta(\mathrm{t})$ on the $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x})$-plane is a (continuous) piecewise linear braid diagram.

Proposition 2.3 implies that the associated positive braid word $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, derived from the braid diagram $\pi_{x} \phi_{t}(B)$ determines the mapping class of $F$ relative to $B$. If the based path $\beta(t)=\phi_{t}(B)$ is regarded as a free loop $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}$, i.e. discarding the base point, then $\beta$ is referred to as a closed geometric braid in $\mathbb{D}^{2}$.

Definition 6.2. Let $\beta$ be a geometric braid in $\mathbb{D}^{2}$. A component of $\beta^{\prime} \subset \beta$ is called cylindrical in $\beta$ if $\beta^{\prime}$ can be deformed onto $\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}$ as a closed geometric braid. Otherwise $\beta^{\prime}$ is called acylindrical. A union of components $\beta^{\prime}$ is called cylindrical/acylindrical in $\beta$ if all members are.

Remark 6.3. A positive conjugacy class $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$ is associated with braid classes in $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]_{\sim}$ in $\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{q}}$, cf. Section 3.3. If for a representative $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b}$ it holds that $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{a})$ is cylindrical/acylindrical in $\gamma=\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{a})$ rel $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{b})$, then $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$ is said to cylindrical/acylindrical, cf. Definition 3.9.

Let $z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be distinct points with the property that $\widehat{\mathrm{F}}^{n}(z)=z$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{F}}^{n}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=z^{\prime}$, for some $n \geqslant 1$, and where $\widehat{\mathrm{F}}=\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$ a chained Moser isotopy constructed in Proposition 5.2. Define the continuous functions $z(\mathrm{t})=\phi_{\mathrm{t}}(z)$ and $z^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})=\phi_{\mathrm{t}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ and let $x(\mathrm{t})$ and $x^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})$ the $x$-projection of $z(\mathrm{t})$ and $z^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})$ respectively. By Proposition 5.2, $x(\mathrm{t})$ and $x^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})$ are (continuous) piecewise linear functions that are uniquely determined by the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{nd}}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{d}$.

Lemma 6.4 (cf. [1]). The two $x$-projections $x(t)$ and $x^{\prime}(t)$ form a (piecewise linear) braid diagram, i.e. no tangencies. The intersection number $t\left(x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)$, given as the total number of intersections of the graphs of $x(t)$ and $x^{\prime}(t)$ on the interval $t \in[0, n]$, is well-defined and even.

Proof. Let $x_{j}=x\left(t_{j}\right)$ and $x_{j}^{\prime}=x^{\prime}\left(t_{\mathfrak{j}}\right), \mathfrak{j}=0, \cdots, n d$ and by the theory in Section 5.3 the sequences satisfy the parabolic recurrence relations $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{j}}\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right)=0$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{j}}\left(x_{j-1}^{\prime}, x_{j}^{\prime}, x_{j+1}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Suppose the sequences $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ have a tangency at $x_{j}=x_{j}^{\prime}$ (but are not identically equal). Then, either $x_{j-1}^{\prime}<x_{j-1}$ and $x_{j+1}^{\prime} \leqslant x_{j+1}$, or $x_{j-1}^{\prime} \leqslant x_{j-1}$ and $x_{j+1}^{\prime}<x_{j+1}$, and similar with the role of $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ reversed. Since functions $\mathcal{R}_{j}$ are strictly increasing in the first and third variables and since $x_{j}=x_{j}^{\prime}$ both evaluations of $\mathcal{R}_{j}$ cannot be zero simultaneously, which contradicts the existence of tangencies. All intersections of $x(t)$ and $x^{\prime}(t)$ are therefore transverse in the sense of Definition 3.1(c) and thus $\mathrm{l}\left(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{x}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})\right)$ is well-defined and even.

The curves $z(\mathrm{t})$ and $z^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})$ may be regarded as 3-dimensional (continuous) curves $z, z^{\prime}: \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathrm{t} \mapsto(\mathrm{t}, z(\mathrm{t}))$ and $\mathrm{t} \mapsto\left(\mathrm{t}, z^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})\right)$. Due to the special properties of the chained Moser isotopy $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$ we have:

Lemma 6.5. The graphs $t \mapsto(t, z(t))$ and $t \mapsto\left(t, z^{\prime}(t)\right)$ form a positive 2 -strand braid. Intersections in the $x, x^{\prime}$-braid diagram correspond to positive crossings in the $z, z^{\prime}$-braid. The linking number is given by $\operatorname{Link}\left(z(t), z^{\prime}(t)\right)=\frac{1}{2} \iota\left(x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.4 the projection graphs $t \mapsto x(t)$ and $t \mapsto x^{\prime}(t)$ form a braid diagram. In order to show that the graphs $t \mapsto(t, z(t))$ and $t \mapsto$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, z^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})\right)$ form a positive 2-strand braid we examine the intersections in the $x, x^{\prime}$-braid diagram.
(i) Consider an intersection on the interval $\left[\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}+1}\right] \subset[0, \mathrm{n}]$ for which $x_{j}<x_{j}^{\prime}$ and $x_{j+1}>x_{j+1}^{\prime}$. Let $\tau \in\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right]$ be the intersection point and $x(\tau)=x^{\prime}(\tau)=x_{*}$. After rescaling and shifting to the interval $[0,1]$ we have
$x(s(t))=x_{j}+\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right) s(t), s(t)=d\left(t-t_{j}\right) \in[0,1]$ and the same for $x^{\prime}(s(t))$. Recall that $\phi_{t}$ is given by (33) and therefore by (23),

$$
y(s(\tau))=\partial_{p} L^{j}\left(s(\tau), x_{*}, x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right), \quad y^{\prime}(s(\tau))=\partial_{p} L^{j}\left(s(\tau), x_{*}, x_{j+1}^{\prime}-x_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $L^{j}$ are the Lagrangians for the Moser isotopies $\psi_{t, H^{j}}$ in Proposition 5.1. Since $\partial_{p p}^{2} L^{j} \geqslant \delta>0$ and $x_{j+1}-x_{j}>x_{j+1}^{\prime}-x_{j}^{\prime}$, we conclude that $y(s(\tau))>y^{\prime}(s(\tau))$. By reversing the role of $x$ and $x^{\prime}$, i.e. $x_{j}>x_{j}^{\prime}$ and $x_{j+1}<$ $x_{j+1}^{\prime}$, we obtain $y(s(\tau))<y^{\prime}(s(\tau))$, which shows that an intersection in the $x, x^{\prime}$-diagram corresponds to a positive crossing in the $z, z^{\prime}$-braid.
(ii) Consider an intersection at $x_{j}$, for which $x_{j-1}<x_{j-1}^{\prime}, x_{j}=x_{j}^{\prime}=x_{*}$ and $x_{\mathfrak{j}+1}>x_{\mathfrak{j}+1}^{\prime}$. As in the previous case

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(s(\tau)) & =\partial_{p} L^{j-1}\left(1, x_{*}, x_{*}-x_{j-1}\right) \\
y^{\prime}(s(\tau)) & =\partial_{p} L^{j}\left(0, x_{*}, x_{j+1}-x_{*}\right) ; \\
& \left(1, x_{*}, x_{*}-x_{j-1}^{\prime}\right)=\partial_{p} L^{j}\left(0, x_{*}, x_{j+1}^{\prime}-x_{*}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $x_{*}-x_{j-1}>x_{*}-x_{\mathfrak{j}-1}^{\prime}$ (and $x_{j+1}-x_{*}>x_{\mathfrak{j}+1}^{\prime}-x_{*}$ ) we conclude that $y(s(\tau))>y^{\prime}(s(\tau))$. Reversing the role of $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ yields $y(s(\tau))<y^{\prime}(s(\tau))$. In this case we also conclude that an intersection in the $x, x^{\prime}$-diagram corresponds to a positive crossing in the $z, z^{\prime}$-braid, which concludes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Since $\phi_{t}$ is an isotopy and $F(B)=\phi_{1}(B)=B$, the path $t \mapsto \phi_{t}(B)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{m} D^{2}$ represents a geometric braid $\beta(t)$. By Lemma 6.5 all crossings in $\beta(t)$ are positive. Indeed, if we consider the $m$-fold cover $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(t)$ of $\beta$, i.e. $t \mapsto \phi_{t}(B), t \in[0, m]$, then all pairs of strands satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 6.5. Consequently, the presentation of $\beta \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of $\beta$ is unique and consists of only positive letters.

Our decomposition automatically selects a braid word in $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$which is positive and which may be represented as a positive piecewise linear braid diagram. This allows us to use the theory of parabolic recurrence relations for finding additional periodic points for $F$. In the following lemma $\widehat{F}$ is an extension of $F$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ given by Proposition 5.2.

Lemma 6.6. Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be finite, disjoint sets and $B \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$. Let $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ with $F(B)=B$ and let $\phi_{t}$ be a chained Moser isotopy given by Proposition 5.2 with $\phi_{1}=\widehat{F}$. Suppose $A$ is an invariant set for $\widehat{F}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathrm{t})=\phi_{\mathrm{t}}(A)$ is acylindrical in $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \operatorname{rel} \boldsymbol{\beta}$. Then, $A$ is an invariant set for $F$ with $A \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$.

Proof. The set $A=\boldsymbol{\alpha}(0)$ is an invariant set for $\widehat{F}$. Assume without loss of generality that $\alpha(t)$ is a single component braid. Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\dagger}(t)$ be the $n$-fold cover of $\alpha$, with $t \in[0, n]$. If $\alpha^{\dagger}\left(t_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}, t_{j}=j / d$, for some $j$, then $\alpha^{\dagger}\left(t_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ for all $j$, since the set $\phi_{t}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ separates the points inside and outside $\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}$ under the isotopy $\phi_{t}$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\dagger}(t) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \operatorname{int} \phi_{t}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ for all $t \in[0, n]$ and thus $\alpha(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \operatorname{int} \phi_{t}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. By assumption $\beta(t) \in \phi_{t}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ and therefore that $\alpha$ can be contracted onto $\partial \phi_{t}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, which contradicts the assumption that $\alpha$ rel $\beta$ is acylindrical. Consequently, $\alpha(t) \in \phi_{t}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. The latter implies $A \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$, which completes the proof.

## 7

THE MAIN THEOREM
Given $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and a finite invariant set $B \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\jmath_{\mathrm{B}}([\mathrm{~F}])=\beta \bmod \square \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{Z}\left(\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The braid word $\beta$ can chosen positive by adding full twists and can be used to force additional invariant sets as quantified by the following result.
Theorem 7.1. Let $B \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ be a finite invariant set for a symplectomorphism $F: \mathbb{D}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{2}$ and let $\beta \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$be a positive braid word representing the mapping class of $F$ in $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)$. Consider a coloring a $\subset$ $\{1, \cdots, n+m\}$ of length $n$ and a 2-colored braid $(\gamma, \mathrm{A}) \in \pi_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1}(\beta) \subset \mathscr{B}_{n, m, \mathrm{~A}}^{+}$ and assume that $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$ is proper and acylindrical, ${ }^{3}$ and $\mathrm{H} \llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket \neq 0$. Then,
(i) there exists a finite invariant set $A \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ of $F$ such that the mapping class of $F$ satisfies $)_{A \cup B}([F])=\gamma \bmod \square$;
(ii) the number of distinct invariants sets $A$ in (i) is bounded from below by $\left|P_{t} \llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{~A} \rrbracket\right|$.

Proof. Recall from Section 3.3 that $\llbracket \gamma$, A $\rrbracket$ determines a 2-colored discretized braid class $[\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b}]_{\dot{\sim}}$ via the mapping $\gamma \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{0}(\gamma) \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{d}_{0}}$, with $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ the number of generators in $\gamma$. The representative $\mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbf{b} \in \mathscr{D}_{n, \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}}$ is obtained from the coloring a.

Consider a chained Moser isotopy $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$ with $\mathrm{r}=\rho=0$, then

$$
\imath_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\left[\phi_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{~B})\right]\right) \bmod \square=\beta,
$$

where $\ell \geqslant 3$ and $k$ in Proposition 5.2 are fixed. Let $\mathbf{b}_{B}:=\left\{\pi_{x} \phi_{t_{j}}(B)\right\}, j=$ $0, \cdots, d$ and choose $\kappa$ large enough such that $\left[b_{B}\right]$ defines a free discrete braid class. The following three cases can be distinguished:

$$
\mathbf{b} \dot{\sim} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}, \quad \mathbf{b} \dot{\sim} \mathbb{T}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{T}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{b} \dot{\sim} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}},
$$

for some $\lambda \geqslant 1$. The choices of $\ell, k$ and $k$ determine $d$.
Case I: The integers $\mathrm{q} \geqslant 0$ and k are chosen large enough such that $\mathbb{E}^{q} \mathbf{b}^{*} \sim \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}$ and $\left[\mathbb{E}^{q}\left(\mathbf{a}\right.\right.$ rel $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)\right]$ is free, where $\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}=\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}} \cup \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{-} \cup \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{+}$, with $\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{ \pm}=\{ \pm 2\}$. Note that $\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}=\left\{\pi_{x} \phi_{\mathrm{t}_{j}}\left(\mathrm{~B}^{*}\right)\right\}$, with $\mathrm{B}^{*}=\mathrm{B} \cup\{-2,+2\}$. Choose $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{B}} \operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*} \sim \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{q}}\left(\mathbf{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)$, cf. Figure 5 , then by the invariance of the discrete braid invariant we have that

$$
\mathrm{H}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{B}} \operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathbf{a} \operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{a} \operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right) \neq 0,
$$

which proves that $P_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{B}}\right.$ rel $\left.\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathbf{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} \llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket \neq 0$.
From the Morse Theory in [ 1 , Lemma 35] we derive that the number of stationary discrete braid diagrams $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{B}}$ rel $\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}$ for the action $W_{d}$ in (36) induced by the Moser isotopy $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$ is bounded below by $\left|\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}} \llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket\right|$. The associated piecewise linear braid diagrams $B\left(a_{B}\right.$ rel $\left.b_{B}\right)$ lift to 2-colored braids $\alpha_{B}(t)$ rel $\beta_{B}(t)$ by constructing the $y$-component via (24). The stationary braids $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{t})$ rel $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{t})$ are in fact braids in $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ by Proposition 6.6 and $A=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{B}(0) \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ is an invariant set for F. Moreover, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{B}(t) \operatorname{rel} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{B}(t)$ determines the mapping class of $F$, which completes the proof in Case I.

3 See Definition 3.9 and Remark 6.3.


Figure 5: Two representatives for Case I. Numbers of discretization points are linked by $d=d_{0}+q$.


Figure 6: Two representatives for Case II. Numbers of discretization points are linked by $d+r \ell_{r}=d_{0}+q$.

Case II: By Remark 5.5 we use a(n) (extended) chained Moser isotopy, denoted by $\widetilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{t}}$, with $\mathrm{r}=\lambda, \ell_{\mathrm{r}} \geqslant 3$ and $\rho=0$ and we denote the associated discrete braid by $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}:=\left\{\pi_{x} \widetilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{t}_{j}}(\mathrm{~B})\right\}$. By construction $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}} \dot{\sim} \mathbb{T}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}} \dot{\sim} \mathbf{b}$. The integers $q \geqslant 0$ and $\kappa$ are chosen large enough such that $\mathbb{E}^{q+2 \lambda} \mathbf{b}^{*} \sim \widetilde{b}_{B}^{*}$ and $\left[\mathbb{E}^{2 \lambda+\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathbf{a}\right.\right.$ rel $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)\right]$ is free, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}=\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}} \cup \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{-} \cup \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{+}=\left\{\pi_{x} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{t}_{j}}\left(\mathrm{~B}^{*}\right)\right\}$. As in Case I we choose $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{B}} \operatorname{rel} \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*} \sim \mathbb{E}^{2 \lambda+\boldsymbol{q}}\left(\mathbf{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)$, cf. Figure 6 , such that

$$
\mathrm{H}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{B}} \operatorname{rel} \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{E}^{2 \lambda+\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathbf{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\boldsymbol{a} \text { rel } \mathbf{b}^{*}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

This proves the theorem in Case II.
Case III: By Remark 5.5 we use a(n) (extended) chained Moser isotopy, denoted by $\widetilde{\phi}_{t}$, with $r=0$ and $\rho=1$ and $\ell_{\rho}=2 \lambda+2 \geqslant 4$. We denote the associated discrete braid by $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}:=\left\{\pi_{\mathrm{x}} \widetilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{t}_{j}}(\mathrm{~B})\right\}$. By construction $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}} \dot{\sim} \mathbb{T}^{2} \mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}} \dot{\sim} \mathbb{T}^{2 \lambda+2} \mathbf{b}$. The integers $\mathrm{q} \geqslant 0$ and K are chosen large enough such that $\mathbb{T}^{2 \lambda+2}\left(\mathbb{E}^{q} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right) \sim \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}$ and $\left[\mathbb{T}^{2 \lambda+2}{\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{b}}}^{q}\left(\boldsymbol{a}\right.\right.$ rel $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)\right]$ is free, and where $\mathbf{b}_{B}^{*}=\left\{\pi_{x} \widetilde{\phi}_{t_{j}}\left(B^{*}\right)\right\}$. Define $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{B}^{* \#}=\left\{\pi_{x} \widetilde{\phi}_{t_{j}}\left(B^{* \#}\right)\right\}$, with $B^{* \#}=B \cup\{-4,-2,2,4\}$, which is equivalent to augmentating $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{B}^{*}$ with the strands $\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{s}}=\left\{(-1)^{\mathfrak{j}+1} 4\right\}_{j}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{B}}^{n}=\left\{(-1)^{\mathrm{j}} 4\right\}_{j}$. Choose $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{B}}$ rel $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{*} \sim$
$\mathbb{T}^{2 \lambda+2} \mathbb{E}^{q}\left(\mathbf{a}\right.$ rel $\left.\boldsymbol{b}^{*}\right)$. From (14) and the fact that $\mathbb{E}^{q}(\mathbf{a r e l} \mathbf{b})=\mathbb{E}^{q} \mathbf{a}$ rel $\mathbb{E}^{q} \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbb{T}^{2 \lambda+2}\left(\mathbb{E}^{q} \mathbf{a} \operatorname{rel}\left(\mathbb{E}^{q} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)^{\#}\right) \sim \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{B}} \operatorname{rel} \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{* \#}, \mathrm{cf}$. Figure 7 , we derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \neq \mathrm{H}(\mathbf{a} & \left.\operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right) \wedge \mathrm{S}^{2 n(\lambda+1)}= \\
& =\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathbf{a} \operatorname{rel} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)\right) \wedge \mathrm{S}^{2 n(\lambda+1)}=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathbf{a} \operatorname{rel}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)^{\#}\right) \wedge \mathrm{S}^{2 n(\lambda+1)} \\
& =\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2 \lambda+2}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathbf{a} \operatorname{rel}\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathbf{b}^{*}\right)^{\#}\right)\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{B}} \operatorname{rel} \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{B}^{* \#}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{B}} \operatorname{rel} \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{* *}\right) \neq 0$. As in the previous case the nontriviality of the braid invariant proves the theorem in Case III since the braid class $\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{rel} \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{* \#}\right]$ is free, bounded and proper and stationary braids of $W_{d}$ in $\left[\mathbf{a}_{B}\right.$ rel $\left.\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{B}^{* \#}\right]$ yield invariant sets $A \subset \operatorname{int} \mathbb{D}^{2}$ by Proposition 6.6.


Figure 7: Two representatives for Case III. Numbers of discretization points are linked by $d+\ell_{\rho}=d_{0}+q+2 \lambda+2$. The dashed lines indicate the oscillating strands due to the action of $\mathbb{T}$ and the augmentation of the skeleta by \#.

Example 7.2. Consider $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and assume that $F$ has a four point invariant sets $B$ and mapping class $[F]$ relative to $B$ is given by $\beta=\sigma_{3} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}$, cf. Figure 9. Consider the 2-colored braid class $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket \in$ $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{B}_{1,4}^{+}$, with

$$
\gamma=\sigma_{4} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{4}, \quad \mathbf{A}=\{3\} .
$$

Then, $(\gamma, \mathrm{A}) \mapsto \beta$ and the positive conjugacy class is proper and acylindrical. In [1, Sect. 4.5] the braid invariant is given: $\mathrm{H} \llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket=\mathrm{S}^{1}$, which by Theorem 7.1 yields another fixed point. The index calculations in [1] suggest that we can find more periodic point for $F$. Instead of using multistrand braids with $n>1$, we use $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{k}}$ instead. Consider three different 2-colored braid words: $\gamma_{0}=\gamma$ as above, $\gamma_{-1}=\sigma_{4} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}^{2} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{4}$, and $\gamma_{1}=\sigma_{4} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{4}$. For all three cases the skeletal word is given by $\beta$, the coloring is given by $\mathbf{A}=\{3\}$. Consider a symbolic sequence $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$, $a_{i} \in\{-1,0,1\}$, then the positive conjugacy class $(\gamma, A)$, with $A=\{3\}$, and

$$
\gamma=\gamma_{a_{0}} \cdot \gamma_{a_{1}} \cdots \gamma_{a_{k-1}} \cdot \gamma_{a_{k}}
$$

is proper and acylindrical, except for $a_{i}=-1$, or $a_{i}=1$ for all $i$. If follows that $(\gamma, \mathrm{A}) \mapsto \beta^{k}$. In [1, Sect. 4.5] the braid invariant is given by
$H \llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{~A} \rrbracket=\mathrm{S}^{r}$, where r is the number of zeroes in $\left\{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$. This procedure produces many $k$-periodic point for $F$ that are forced by the invariant set B. As matter of fact one obtains a lower bound on the topological entropy of $F$.

Example 7.3. Let $F \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ possess an invariant set $B$ consisting of three points, and let the mapping class of $[F]$ relative to $B$ be represented by a positive braid word $\beta=\mathfrak{i}_{\mathrm{m}}^{-1}[\beta]$, with $\beta=\left\{\beta^{1}(\mathrm{t}), \beta^{2}(\mathrm{t}), \beta^{3}(\mathrm{t})\right\}$ being a geometric representative of

$$
\beta=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{1},
$$

cf. Figure 8. For the intersection numbers it holds that $l\left(\beta^{1}(t), \beta^{2}(t)\right)=$ $\iota\left(\beta^{1}(t), \beta^{3}(t)\right)=6$ and $\iota\left(\beta^{2}(t), \beta^{3}(t)\right)=1<6$. From considerations in [ 1 , Sect. 9.2] it follows that through the black strands $\beta$ one can plot a single red strand $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, such that the 2 -colored braid class $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$ represented by their union
$\gamma=\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$,
with $\mathrm{A}=\{2\}$, cf. Figure 8, is proper, acylindrical and of nontrivial index. The intersection numbers for $\alpha$ are $\iota\left(\alpha(t), \beta^{2}(t)\right)=\imath\left(\alpha(t), \beta^{3}(t)\right)=4$. If the intersection numbers are chosen more generally, i.e. $l\left(\beta^{1}(t), \beta^{2}(t)\right)=$ $\mathfrak{t}\left(\beta^{3}(\mathrm{t}), \beta^{3}(\mathrm{t})\right)=2 \mathrm{p}$ and $\mathfrak{\imath}\left(\beta^{2}(\mathrm{t}), \beta^{3}(\mathrm{t})\right)=\mathrm{r}<2 \mathrm{p}$, and $\mathfrak{\imath}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathrm{t}), \beta^{2}(\mathrm{t})\right)=$ $\iota\left(\alpha(t), \beta^{3}(t)\right)=2 q$, where $r>0$ and $p \geqslant 2$. If $r<2 q<2 p$, then the singular homology of $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket$ is given by

$$
H_{k}(H \llbracket \gamma, A \rrbracket)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{R}: k=2 q, 2 q+1 \\
0: \text { elsewise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Theorem 7.1 we conclude that there are at least two additional distinct fixed points $A_{1}, A_{2}$ with $\mathcal{A}_{i} \cup B([F])=\gamma \bmod \square, i=1,2$. In addition, via concatenating braid diagrams one can produce an infinite number of periodic solutions of different periods, cf. [1, Lemma 47]. The above forcing result is specific for area-preserving mapping of the 2-disc, or $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and not true for arbitrary diffeomorphisms of the 2-disc.

For example consider the time-1 mapping $F: \mathbb{D}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{2}$ given by the differential equation $\dot{r}=r\left(r-a_{1}\right)\left(r-a_{2}\right)(r-1)$ and $\dot{\theta}=g(r)>0$, with $g\left(a_{1}\right)=\pi$ and $g\left(a_{2}\right)=6 \pi$, and $0<a_{1}<a_{2}<1$. The set $B=\left\{-a_{1}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ is invariant and the mapping class of $F$ relative to $B$ is given by the skeleton $\beta$. The mapping $F$ has no invariant set matching the braid $\gamma$. This implies that the invariants in [4] are void for this example and the braid invariant introduced in this paper add additional information to existing invariants, in particular in the area-preserving case.

Remark 7.4. One can also consider 2-colored words $(\gamma, \mathrm{A}), \gamma \in$ $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}}$. Braid words can be expressed in normal form. The fundamental element, or Garside element in $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$is denoted by $\triangle:=$ $\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{\mathfrak{m}-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{\mathfrak{m}-2}\right) \cdots\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right) \sigma_{1}$, and is also referred to as a half twist. The element $\triangle$ is a factor of a positive word $\beta$, if $\beta=\beta^{\prime} \triangle \beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}$ positive (possibly trivial) words. If not, $\beta$ is said to be prime to $\triangle$. If we use


Figure 8: A geometric representative of the braid for Example 7.3 with $p=3, q=2$, and $r=1$.
the lexicographical order on the presentations of a positive word $\beta$, then the smallest positive word $\beta^{\prime}$, positively equal to $\beta$, is called the base of $\beta$. For positive words $\beta$, prime to $\Delta$, the base is denoted by $\bar{\beta}$. Following [6] and [13], every word $\beta \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is uniquely presented by a word $\triangle^{\rho} \bar{\delta}$, with $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\bar{\delta} \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}$, which is called left Garside normal form. Via the relation $\Delta \beta=r(\beta) \Delta$ we obtain the right Garside normal form $\beta=r(\bar{\delta}) \Delta^{\rho}$.

For the 2 -colored braid words the Garside normal form is not the appropriate normal form, since odd powers of $\triangle$ do not represent trivial permutations. In the case that the Garside power of $\gamma$ is even, then $\triangle^{2}=\square$ defines the identity permutation and therefore the associated base ( $\bar{\delta}, \mathrm{A}$ ), with $\bar{\delta} \in \mathscr{B}_{n+m}^{+}$, is a positive 2 -colored braid word. In this case the left and right normal form are the same since $\square$ is at the center of the braid group $\mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{n}+\mathrm{m}}$. When the Garside power is odd, we argue as follows. Let $\rho=2 \lambda+1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\triangle^{2 \lambda+1} \bar{\delta}=\square^{\lambda} \triangle \bar{\delta}=\square^{\lambda} r(\bar{\delta}) \triangle=r(\bar{\delta}) \Delta \square^{\lambda}=\bar{\epsilon} \square^{\lambda}=\square^{\lambda} \bar{\epsilon}, \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}=\Delta \bar{\delta}=r(\bar{\delta}) \Delta$ is the base and $\bar{\epsilon}$ is prime to $\square$. The power $\lambda$ is related to the Garside power via $\lambda=\lambda(\gamma)=\lfloor\rho / 2\rfloor$ and is referred to as the symmetric Garside power of $\gamma$. For 2 -colored braid words $(\gamma, \mathrm{a})$ this yields the following symmetric normal form: $\gamma=\square^{\lambda} \bar{\epsilon}=\bar{\epsilon} \square^{\lambda}$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(\bar{\epsilon}, \mathrm{A})$ a positive 2 -colored braid word. Since mapping classes of F are given modulo full twists the latter normal form suggests that we capture all forcing via positive braid words. If conjugacy is incorporated, the power $\lambda$ can be optimized with respect to different conjugate braid words.


Figure 9: The canonical representation of the 2-colored braid class $\llbracket \gamma, \mathrm{A} \rrbracket \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{B}_{1,4}^{+}$ with $\gamma=\sigma_{4} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{4}$ and $\mathrm{A}=\{3\}$.

## A MAPPING CLASSES AND BRAIDS

We give overview various known and less known facts about mapping class groups of the 2-disc and the 2-disc with a finite number of marked points.
A. 1 Mapping class groups of the 2-disc

By the 'Alexander trick', cf. [14, pp. 48], $\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ is contractible and thus $\operatorname{Mod}_{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ is trivial. The case of Homeo ${ }^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ is more involved. Consider the Serre fibration Homeo ${ }^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ with fiber $\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, cf. [15, pp. 536] and the associated homotopy long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots & \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \\
& \pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{0}\left(\text { Homeo }^{+}\left(\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\pi_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\right.$ Homeo $\left._{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \cong 1$, for all $k \geqslant 0$, and $\pi_{1}\left(\right.$ Homeo $\left.^{+}\left(\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\partial D^{2}\right)\right) \cong 1$, we have the short exact sequences

$$
1 \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1
$$

which yields that $\pi_{1}\left(\right.$ Homeo $\left.^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$
1 \longrightarrow \pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

which shows that $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \cong 1$ and completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Remark A.1. Mapping classes can also be defined for orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of the 2-disc, denoted by $\operatorname{Diff}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$. Due to a result by Smale [16], Diff ${ }_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ is contractible and therefore the Serre fibration Diff ${ }^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}^{+}\left(\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ with fiber $\operatorname{Diff}{ }_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, yields that $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Diff}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \cong 1$ and $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Diff}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \cong 1$, and thus the above mapping class groups can also be defined via diffeomorphisms, cf. [17], [18] [19].

For a treatment of mapping class groups via symplectic and Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms see, Appendix B.

## A. 2 Braids and mapping classes

In order to determine the mapping class group in Proposition 2.3 we consider the fiber bundle

$$
\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \text { rel B) } \xrightarrow{i} \operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}\right.
$$

cf. [14, pp. 245]. The associated homotopy long exact sequence, with base point $B$, yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots \longrightarrow & \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{*}} \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{*}} \\
& \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d}_{*}} \pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \text { rel B}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{i}_{*}} \pi_{0}\left(\text { Homeo }_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\pi_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \cong 1$, for all $k \geqslant 0$, we obtain the short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{*}} \pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \text { rel B}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

which proves that $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{*}} \pi_{0}\left(\right.$ Homeo $_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.\left.B\right)\right)$ is an isomorphism and $\operatorname{Mod}_{0}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right) \cong \mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$ via $\iota_{\mathrm{B}} \circ \mathrm{d}_{*}^{-1}$. Since $\operatorname{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ is contractible, the same arguments yield $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Diff}_{0}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.\right.$ rel B $\left.)\right) \cong \mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, which implies that diffeomorphisms define the same mapping class group. For a detailed study of relations between braids and mapping class groups the reader is also referred to a comprehensive treatise by Birman [6, 7]. See also [18], [5].

For homeomorphisms of the 2-disc preserving $\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}$ setwise we consider the fiber bundle

$$
\mathrm{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \text { rel B) } \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{C}_{m} \mathbb{D}^{2},\right.
$$

which implies the following homotopy long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdots \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{*}} \pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{*}} \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d}_{*}} \pi_{0}\left(\text { Homeo }^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \text { rel B }\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{i}_{*}} \pi_{0}\left(\text { Homeo }^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

with base point $B$. From exactness we deduce that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2} /$ ker $\mathrm{d}_{*} \cong$ $\operatorname{im} \mathrm{d}_{*}=\operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{i}_{*}$. For the kernel we have ker $\mathrm{d}_{*}=\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, cf. [6, Theorem 4.3]. Since, $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Homeo}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \cong 1$ we have that $\operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{i}_{*}=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \operatorname{rel} B\right)$ and

$$
\longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\text { Homeo }^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{*}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbb{D}^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d}_{*}} \operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2} \text { rel B) } \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}_{*}} 1,\right.
$$

which implies that $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right) \cong \mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} / Z\left(\mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$.
The same can be derived using diffeomorophism instead of homeomorphisms, i.e. $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Diff}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.\right.$ rel $\left.\left.B\right)\right)=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right) \cong \mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} / Z\left(\mathscr{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$, cf. [18].

## B SYMPLECTIC MAPPING CLASSES

Two symplectomorphisms $F, G \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ are symplectically isotopic if there exists an isotopy $\phi_{t}$, with $\phi_{t} \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1], 4$ such that $\phi_{0}=\mathrm{F}$ and $\phi_{1}=\mathrm{G}$. The equivalence classes in $\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) / \sim$ are called symplectic mapping classes.

Proposition B.1. $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \cong 1$.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right) \cong 1$, two symplectomorphisms $F, G \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ are isotopic in $\mathrm{Diff}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{F}^{-1} \mathrm{G}$ is isotopic to the identity via an isotopy $\xi_{t}$. The isotopy $\xi_{t}$ does not necessarily preserve $\omega$. Define $\omega_{t}:=\xi_{t}^{*} \omega$, which is a based loop in $\Omega^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, since $\omega_{t}=\omega$ at $t=0$ and $t=1$. Observe that $\int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} \omega_{t}=\int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} \omega=\pi$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. Indeed, since $\xi_{t}$ is smooth 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms it holds that $\int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} \omega_{t}=\pi \operatorname{deg}\left(\xi_{t}\right)=\pi$, since $\operatorname{deg}\left(\xi_{t}\right)=1$ for all $t \in[0,1]$.

[^3]Write $\omega_{t}=a_{t}(x, y) d x \wedge d y$, with $a_{t}(x, y)>0$ on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{D}^{2}$ and $a_{0}=a_{1}=1$. In order to construct a symplectic isotopy we invoke Moser's stability argument, cf. [20], [21], Sect. 3.2. Consider potential functions $\Phi_{t}: \mathbb{D}^{2} \times[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and define the vector fields $X_{t}=\frac{1}{a_{t}(x, y)} \nabla \Phi_{t}$, with $\left\langle X_{t}(x), \mathbf{n}\right\rangle=0$ for $x \in \partial \mathbb{D}^{2}, \mathbf{n}$ the outward pointing normal. The boundary condition guarantees that $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ is invariant for the associated flow $\chi_{t}$. Furthermore, define 1 -forms $\theta_{\mathrm{t}}=-\iota_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}}} \omega_{\mathrm{t}}$. In order to apply Moser stability we seek potentials $\Phi_{t}$ such that $\frac{d \omega_{t}}{d t}=d \theta_{t}$, which is equivalent to the Neumann problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \Phi_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{da}}{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}),\left.\quad \partial_{\mathrm{n}} \Phi_{\mathrm{t}}\right|_{\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}}=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the forms $\omega_{\mathrm{t}}$ are cohomologous, Stokes' theorem implies that

$$
0=\int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \omega_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}}-\Delta \Phi_{\mathrm{t}} \omega=\oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}} \theta_{\mathrm{t}}=\oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}} \partial_{\mathrm{n}} \Phi_{\mathrm{t}}
$$

which shows that the Neumann problem is well-posed and has a unique solution (up to an additive constant), which depends smoothly on $t$.

By construction $\chi_{\mathrm{t}}^{*} \omega_{\mathrm{t}}=\omega$ and the desired symplectic isotopy is given by $\xi_{t} \circ \chi_{t}$. The symplectic isotopy $\phi_{t}:=\mathrm{F} \circ \xi_{\mathrm{t}} \circ \chi_{\mathrm{t}}$ is an isotopy between $F$ and $G$, which proves that $F$ and $G$ are symplectically isotopic, and thus $\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Mod}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$.

As for homeomorphisms we can also consider relative symplectic mapping classes. Two symplectomorphisms $F, G \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ are of the same relative symplectic mapping class if there exists an isotopy $\phi_{t}$, with $\phi_{t} \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ and $\phi_{t}(B)=B$ for all $t \in[0,1]$, such that $\phi_{0}=F$ and $\phi_{1}=G$. The subgroup of such symplectomorphisms is denoted by $\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel B).
Lemma B.2. Let $F, G \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ be isotopic in $\operatorname{Diff}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel B), then they are isotopic in $\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel B).
Proof. Let $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G} \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ be isotopic in $\operatorname{Diff}^{+}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)$, then $\mathrm{F}^{-1} \mathrm{G}$ is isotopic to the identity via a smooth isotopy $\xi_{t}$ with the additional condition that $\xi_{t}(B)=B$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. In order to find a symplectic isotopy we repeat the proof of Proposition B.I with a few modifications.

Let $X_{t}=\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})} \nabla \Phi_{\mathrm{t}}+\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})} \mathrm{J} \nabla \lambda_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{x})$, where $\lambda_{\mathrm{t}}:[0,1] \times \mathbb{D}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function compactly supported in int $\mathbb{D}^{2}$, where $\mathbf{t}=\mathrm{Jn}$ is a unit tangent such that $\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{t}\}$ is positively oriented. In order for the associated flow $\chi_{t}$ to restrict to a flow on $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ we need that $\frac{\partial \lambda_{t}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{t}}{\partial n}=0$ at $\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}$. Since $\lambda_{\mathrm{t}}$ is compactly supported in int $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ we use the Neumann boundary condition for $\Phi_{\mathrm{t}}$. For the 1-forms we obtain

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{t}}=-\mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{x}} \omega_{\mathrm{t}}=-\partial_{x} \Phi_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~d} y+\partial_{\mathrm{y}} \Phi_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{d} \lambda_{\mathrm{t}} .
$$

As in the proof of Proposition B.I the potential $\Phi_{t}$ is determined by the Neumann problem in (41). Since $\lambda_{t}$ can be chosen arbitrarily we define $\lambda_{t}(x)=\left\langle J \nabla \Phi_{t}\left(z_{0}\right), x\right\rangle$ on neighborhoods of $z_{0} \in B$ and a smooth extension outside, compactly supported in int $\mathbb{D}^{2}$. This guarantees that the isotopies $\chi_{t}$ preserve B point wise, which completes the proof.

Let $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$ be a path in $\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$, then $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}$ satisfies the initial value problem $\frac{d}{d t} \phi_{t}=X_{t} \circ \phi_{t}$, where $X_{t}=\frac{d}{d t} \phi_{t} \circ \phi_{t}^{-1}$ is a time-dependent vector field. Since $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}^{*} \omega=\omega$ it holds that $\mathrm{dt}_{X_{\mathrm{t}}} \omega=0$ for all $\mathrm{t} \in[0,1]$, cf. [21], Proposition 3.2. A symplectic isotopy is Hamiltonian is there exists a smooth function $H:[0,1] \times \mathbb{D}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{X}} \omega=-\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{t}, \cdot)$. In this case $\mathrm{F}=\phi_{1}$ is a Hamiltonian symplectomorphism.

By construction $\left\langle X_{t}, \mathbf{n}\right\rangle=0$ and

$$
-\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{t}, \cdot)(\mathbf{t})=\mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{X}} \omega(\mathbf{t})=\omega\left(X_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathbf{t}\right)=\omega\left(X_{\mathrm{t}}, J \mathbf{n}\right)=\left\langle X_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathbf{n}\right)=0
$$

which proves that $\left.H(t, \cdot)\right|_{\partial \mathbb{D}^{2}}=$ const. Since the 2-disc $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ is contractible such a Hamiltonian exists, showing that symplectomorphisms of the 2-disc are Hamiltonian.

Let $\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel B) be the symplectomorphisms which leave a set B $\subset$ int $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ invariant. The subgroup of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms is denoted by $\operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)$. The above procedure yields the following result.

Proposition B.3. $\operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)=\operatorname{Ham}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right.$ rel $\left.B\right)$.
Proof. From the previous it follows that there exists a Hamiltonian such that $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{X}} \omega=-\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{t}, \cdot)$. Since the points in B are rest points for $X_{t}$ it follows that $X_{t}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ for all $t$ and for all $z_{0} \in B$. Consequently, the points in $B$ are critical points of H .
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[^1]:    1 To simplify notation we express the derivatives of $\mathbf{h}$ with respect to its two coordinates by

[^2]:    2 A symplectomorphism is compactly supported in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ if it is the identity outside a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

[^3]:    4 The condition $\phi_{t} \in \operatorname{Symp}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ is equivalent to $\phi_{\mathrm{t}}^{*} \omega=\omega$.

